

Council of the European Union

> Brussels, 20 November 2023 (OR. en)

15514/23

LIMITE

AUDIO 109 DIGIT 265 CODEC 2160 MI 989 DISINFO 94 FREMP 330 COMPET 1123 EDPS 15 DATAPROTECT 314 JAI 1488 SERVICES 52 POLGEN 161

2022/0277(COD)

Interinstitutional File:

NOTE

NUTE	
From:	General Secretariat of the Council
То:	Permanent Representatives Committee
Subject:	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market (European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU
	 Preparation for the Trilogue

I. <u>NEGOTIATIONS PROCESS</u>

Following the first Trilogue held on 19 October and the Coreper debrief held on 25 October, the Presidency has held <u>6 inter-institutional technical meetings (ITM)</u> on 8, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 16 November. The outcome of these ITM in terms of stable texts is set out in the 4th column of the attached 4-column table (4CT).

ATR/fco

LIMITE

The Audiovisual and Media Working Party (AVMWP) has held meetings on 15 and 20 November.

In parallel, the Presidency has regularly engaged in <u>bilateral consultations with the Member States</u> and sought guidance for the ongoing negotiations at technical level.

Two upcoming <u>Trilogues are scheduled on 29 November and 15 December</u>. Additional ITMs and AVMWP meetings will be convened in due course. The aim of both co-legislators is to conclude negotiations on this file at the third Trilogue on 15 December 2023.

II. PROPOSAL FOR A COUNCIL REVISED MANDATE

The proposed <u>Council revised mandate</u> has three parts:

- first, as set of articles for which stable compromise texts have been identified at technical level and examined at the first Trilogue on 19 October. These texts, as set out in the 4th column of the attached 4CT, can be deemed as preliminarily agreed by the co-legislators in the framework of an overall compromise deal.
- second, another set of articles for which stable compromise texts have been identified at technical level with the EP after the first Trilogue. These are also in the 4th column of the attached 4CT. The Presidency invites Coreper to confirm that they are acceptable in the framework of an overall agreement with the European Parliament, while bearing in mind that nothing is agreed until everything is agreed.
- third, a set of proposals where flexibility is requested as regards the Council mandate for articles on which stable compromise texts have not yet been identified at technical level, which imply changes to the Council position as set out in the 21 June Coreper mandate.

1. Articles for which stable compromise texts were examined at the first Trilogue

Art. 13 – Structured cooperation (lines 182-193b)

Art. 14 – Requests for enforcement of obligations of video-sharing platform providers (lines 194-199)

Art. 15 – Guidance on media regulators matters (lines 200-206)

Art. 16 – Coordination of measures concerning media services from outside the Union (lines 207-209d)

Art. 19 – Right of customization of media offer (lines 226-228d)

Artr. 23 – Audience measurement (lines 256-261a)

The compromise texts for <u>Articles 13, 14, 15, 16, 19 and 23</u> are set out in the 4th column of the attached 4CT. They were examined at the first Trilogue on 19 October, endorsed by the EP and the Presidency, and presented to Coreper on 25 October, where no substantial objections were raised. They can be deemed as preliminarily agreed by the co-legislators in the context of an overall deal, while bearing in mind that nothing is agreed until everything is agreed.

2. <u>Articles for which compromise texts have been identified as stable at technical level.</u>

Art. 5 - Safeguards for the independent functioning of public service media providers (lines 116-122)

Art. 7 – National regulatory authorities or bodies (lines 133-139b)

- Art. 10 Structure of the Board (lines 146-154)
- Art. 20 National measures affecting media service providers (lines 229-235)
- Art. 21 Assessment of media market concentrations (lines 236-250a)
- Art 22 Opinions on media market concentrations (lines 251-254)

ATR/fco

Art. 22a – Delegated acts (lines 254a-254g)

The compromise texts for Articles 5, 7, 10, 20, 21 and 22, which are set out in the 4th column of the attached 4CT, contain technical adjustments that improve the quality and legal certainty of the EMFA Regulation. They incorporate elements from the EP position, while keeping the substance of the Council's mandate.

In the context of this compromise package, the EP is ready to drop its proposed Article 22a on Delegated acts.

3. <u>Articles for which stable compromise texts have not yet been finalised but for which a</u> revised Council mandate is requested.

Despite intensive inter-institutional technical work, stable compromise texts have not been finalized yet for several Articles at technical level. The revised mandate proposal thus aims to request further flexibility from the Council on the basis of the Council's mandate.

• Art. 24 (Allocation of public funds for state advertising and purchases - lines 262-268)

Article 24 is important for the Council because it deals with public funds for state advertising and purchases. It is also important for the EP, whose amendments aim at preserving fairness and improving the transparency of criteria and allocation of such funds through additional requirements.

A compromise text was identified as stable for most of Article 24 as included in the 4th column of the 4CT. There are however two <u>outstanding issues</u>, as follows:

1) Article 24(2), point (a) (line 265): since the EP is ready to remove the introduction of the 15% percentage for the distribution of public funds allocated by public authorities or entities to a single media service provider for the purposes of state advertising in paragraph 1, as well as detailed transparency requirements, in particular a short reasoning of the criteria and procedures applied for the allocation of public funds, the Presidency proposes that in exchange the Council could show some flexibility towards the EP proposal to refer to business groups which media service providers or providers of online platforms are part of.

<u>2) Article 24(1a), 2nd subparagraph (line 266c):</u> while negotiations have made progress, the EP is against the possibility for Member States to exempt subnational governments below the 100.000 inhabitants threshold, as set out in the Council mandate. The key for a compromise agreement on this provision is the balance and proportionality between the obligations imposed by Art. 24(2) and the size of territorial entities. The Council could therefore consider the possibility of accepting a reduction of the threshold or further flexibility, provided that the EP accepts to limit the number of additional obligations on public authorities included in the EP negotiating mandate.

ATR/fco

LIMITE

• Art. 6 (Duties of media service providers [providing news and current affairs content] - lines 123-131), Art. 6a (Restrictions on media ownership - lines 131a-131c)

The EP's amendments on Article 6(1) aim at introducing considerable additional obligations on **media service providers**. The Presidency has offered certain concessions to the EP concerning the transparency obligations for media service providers under paragraph 1, consisting of the disclosure of state advertising revenues and of advertising revenues received from public authorities and entities of third countries as well as clarifying that ownership information encompasses ownership by the State or public authorities or entities.

In addition, given the importance attached by the EP to its amendments concerning **databases** (art 6(1a) and (1f), lines 127i and 127j) and in light of the existing media ownership databases in a significant number of Member States, a balanced compromise could be that Member States entrust national regulatory authorities or bodies or other competent authorities or bodies to *develop* national media ownership databases containing the information that must be disclosed, with the understanding that the actual implementation of these provisions should leave the necessary flexibility to the Member States.

Regarding the provisions on **editorial independence** in Art. 6(2) (lines 128-130), a possible compromise that could be envisaged should stay close to the Council mandate, in particular avoiding any references to "editors" and considering adding clarifications in the recitals.

The mandates of the co-legislators regarding Art. 6 differ on **the scope** (line 123): while the EP follows the Commission's original proposal to limit the scope to 'news and current affairs content', the Council mandate extends the scope of Art. 6 (except paragraph 2) to all media service providers.

In in order to avoid a disproportionate administrative burden, the Commission has invited the colegislators to consider the possibility of accepting paragraph 3 of its proposal (deleted by both EP and Council), which would exempt **micro enterprises** from the obligations imposed under this this Article, to which the Parliament showed openness. In order to remain proportionate, the Council should seek as a minimum to maintain the limitation of the scope of paragraph 2 of this provision to media providing news and current affairs content.

As regards the new Article 6a introduced by the EP on **Restrictions on media ownership** (lines 131a-131c), the Presidency's approach is to ask the EP to drop it in the context of an overall compromise package, as this provision introduced by the EP raises legal and constitutional issues in some Member States.

• Articles 8 to 12 (European Board for Media Services -lines 140-182)

These articles deal with the European Board for Media Services. While the independence of the Board remains one of the key priorities of both co-legislators, and the amendments of both the Council and the EP go in the direction of enhancing the independence of the Board, in the Council's view the EP amendments go too far and appear to suggest creating a new EU agency.

In this perspective, the main objective of the Presidency as regards these provisions is to keep the compromise deal as close as possible to the Council mandate. The Presidency intends to maintain the Council's position against the creation of an EU agency and should therefore reject the EP amendments aiming at establishing the Board as a body of the Union with a legal personality.

7

ATR/fco

LIMITE

As regards the **Steering Group** (Art. 10(4) – line 150) and the **Expert Group to the Board** (Art. 11a - lines 158a-158f) that the EP introduces in these provisions, the Council should maintain its support to the decision-making autonomy of the Board and reject provisions in the EMFA Regulation that impose fixed structures to the Board. It should be up to the Board to decide on its own structures, internal governance and working methods, including the participation of experts. Most of these provisions could be included in the Board's Rules of Procedure.

This said, the Council could consider accommodating certain EP amendments, particularly in relation to the collaboration with experts in the press sector, some refinement of the provisions regarding the Board's secretariat, and the inclusion of some new functions of the Board such as promoting the exchange of good practices in the field of media literacy, while keeping the main thrust of the Council mandate.

Finally, the Council should reject the EP amendments in Art. 12 (Tasks of the Board – lines 159-182) that introduce tasks in relation to **measures that have an impact on media pluralism or editorial independence,** insofar as these are not clearly linked to the internal market and could therefore make the EMFA Regulation more vulnerable to possible future litigation as regards the legal basis (Art. 114 TFEU).

• Art 3 (The right to a plurality of news and current affairs content - lines 107-108)

The Presidency will keep in mind the advice of the Council Legal Service, in particular on the need to keep this article under the scope of the EMFA legal basis. Given its political sensitivity, Art. 3 requires a political discussion at the next Trilogue in order to enable a stable text to emerge.

In the forthcoming discussions, the Presidency will also favour the passive obligation of "respecting" over an active obligation of "ensuring" and will be open to a compromise wording if it preserves the thrust of the mandate.

• Art. 17 (Content of media service providers on very large online platforms (VLOPs) - lines 211-222a), Art. 18 – (Structured dialogue - lines 223-225)

The Presidency aims at seeking middle-ground solutions for paragraphs 1 and 2, as well as the associated recitals. The compromise deal should remain in line with the Council's mandate, with a focus on maintaining proportionality and balance.

With regard to Article 17, it is necessary to strengthen the legal certainty as regards the **scope of EMFA in relation to the Digital Services Act (DSA)**. The relation between DSA and EMFA must therefore be clarified in the text. EMFA protects media service providers that meet the conditions for the declaration of paragraph 1 and focuses on the incompatibility between media content and terms and conditions of VLOPs.

With regard to Art. 17(1), a possible compromise solution could include some more conditions, for example those related to the content created by artificial intelligence (AI) and providing contact details.

Regarding Article 17(2), the Presidency seeks a balance between the ability of VLOPs to suspend or restrict the visibility of content incompatible with their terms and conditions and the guarantees for the media service providers enabling them to respond prior to the restriction of visibility or suspension.

The EP priorities for Art. 17 are having some degree of involvement of media regulators and the Board under this provision and a 24 hour time period for response in Article 17(2). While this 24-hour deadline remains very problematic for some Member States, the Presidency requests some additional flexibility in order to reach a compromise solution for both issues. Such compromise solution should clarify the relationship of Art. 17 with the obligations of VLOPs under Union law, in particular the DSA.

With regard to Article 18, the Presidency requests flexibility from the Member States to introduce some adjustments to the text of the Council mandate in order to accommodate certain amendments proposed by the EP.

• Article 4 (Rights of media service providers - lines 109-115a), Article 1 (Subject mater and scope - lines 66-76), Article 2 (Definitions - lines 77-105a)

These three articles are scheduled to be discussed at the third Trilogue on 15 December, together with the Recitals and the possible leftovers from the 2^{nd} Trilogue.

Article 4 is one of the core articles of the EMFA Regulation and. It will be negotiated both with CULT and LIBE Committees' representatives. In these negotiations, the Presidency will defend the spirit and principles of all elements of Art. 4 as set out in the Council mandate.

The Presidency proposes to show flexibility to the EP as regard specifying some safeguards in the Article, while keeping the scope of Article 4 limited to Member States. In particular, the Presidency invites Member States to consider, in the framework of an overall compromise deal, accepting the inclusion of the obligation of prior judicial authorization and, as regards intrusive surveillance software, the obligation of periodic review, in order to find a compromise on the EP amendments in Art. 4(2a, 2nd subparagraph (line 114d) and Art. 4(2d) (line 114g).

In relation to Article 1, and in particular paragraph 1, the Presidency invites the Council to be flexible towards the EP position, insofar as the EP's amendments do not change the legal obligations for Member States as agreed under the Council mandate.

In relation to Article 2, the Presidency proposes to stick to the Council's mandate, especially as regards the deletion of the definition of "editor" and hence reject the new definition of "editor-inchief" (point (7), line 85) and to reject the new definition of "media pluralism" in point (13) (line 91a) as proposed by the EP. With regard to the definition of "serious crime" in point (17) (lines 95-105), the Presidency proposes to keep on defending the approach of the Council's mandate, namely to avoid an arbitrary selection of some of the criminal offences listed in Art. 2(2) of the European Arrest Warrant Decision, as such an arbitrary selection would not be justified and would be very difficult to defend in Court.

On the other hand, the Presidency proposes to keep the Council's mandate definition of "intrusive surveillance software" in point (16) (line 94) and hence reject the EP amendments related to "spyware" (in particular the definition in point 16a, line 94a), because the Council definition covers a broad range of intrusive surveillance technologies and ensures technological neutrality.

TREE.1.B

Finally, the Presidency suggests that flexibility could be shown on the EP proposals regarding the definitions of "user interface" (point 12a, line 90a) and "media literacy" (point 17a, line 105a) in the framework of an overall compromise package on the above-mentioned articles.

• Arts. 25-28 (Final provisions - lines 269-299)

The positions of both legislators are not too far apart as regards the texts and the structure.

The Presidency considers that the Member States have very solid reasons to request a **reasonable time frame and the necessary flexibility for the implementation of a ground-breaking piece of EU legislation such as EMFA**, and therefore suggests to largely stick to the Council mandate and propose to the EP to:

- reconsider at least some of its proposed detailed and unnecessary provisions introduced in Art. 25 (Monitoring exercise – lines 271, 272a - 276e);
- consider seriously the Council position regarding the deadlines in Art. 26 (Evaluation and reporting – line 279) and Art. 28 (Entry into force and application – lines 292 and 293) in the context of an overall compromise package.

• Recitals (lines 9-64)

The co-legislators have agreed that the recitals will be addressed and adjusted at technical level, with the help of the Commission, once all articles will be stable. The resulting compromise texts on recitals should then be examined and agreed upon at the third Trilogue on 15 December. This said, some recitals that are particularly important in order to find a compromise on some articles are being discussed already (for instance, recital 30).

In this context, the Presidency proposes that the **key parts of recitals which actively contribute to defending the internal market logic of EMFA should be preserved**, since the co-legislators have a joint interest in a text that is legally sound. Additional elements from the EP regarding those recitals which do not contradict or undermine the above-mentioned key parts could however be examined by the co-legislators in the context of an overall deal on EMFA.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

The Presidency believes that the revised Council mandate as presented keeps the main elements of the Council position, incorporates useful elements from the EP position, maintains proportionality and balance, and ensures sufficient room for maneuver for the upcoming negotiations with the EP both at technical level and at the Trilogues on 29 November and 15 December.

The <u>Presidency therefore invites Coreper to examine and approve the revised Council mandate as</u> <u>outlined above</u>.

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market (European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU (Text with EEA relevance)

2022/0277(COD)

DRAFT [November Technical Outcome]

17-11-2023 at 12h28

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Formula	l			

15514/23		ATR/fco	13
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	2022/0277 (COD)	2022/0277 (COD)	2022/0277 (COD)	2022/0277 (COD)
G I				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Proposa	l Title			

15514/23		ATR/fco	14
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
с 2	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market (European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU (Text with EEA relevance)	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market (European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU (Text with EEA relevance)	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market (European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU (Text with EEA relevance)	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL establishing a common framework for media services in the internal market (European Media Freedom Act) and amending Directive 2010/13/EU (Text with EEA relevance)
Formula				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
с <u>3</u>	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	
Citation	1				
б 4	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	
Citation 2					

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
6	5	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,
Cita	ation	3			
G (6	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,
Cita	ation 4	4		1	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
g 7	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee,
Citation	5			
с <u>8</u>	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions,
Citation	6			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
c 9	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,
Formula	1			
σ 10	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:
Recital 1	1			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	(1) Independent media services play a unique role in the internal market. They represent a fast- changing and economically important sector and at the same time provide access to a plurality	 (1) Independent media services play a unique role <i>infor</i> <i>democracy, for ensuring the rule</i> <i>of law and for the functioning of</i> the internal market. They <i>are an</i> <i>indispensable factor in the public</i> 	(1) Independent media services play a unique role in the internal market. They represent a fast- changing and economically important sector and at the same time provide access to a plurality	
11	of views and reliable sources of information to citizens and businesses alike, thereby fulfilling the general interest function of	<i>opinion-forming process,</i> represent a fast-changing and economically important sector and at the same time provide access to	of views and reliable sources of information to citizens and businesses alike, thereby fulfilling the general interest function of	
	'public watchdog'. Media services are increasingly available online and across borders while they are not subject to the same rules and	a plurality of views and reliable sources of information to citizens and businesses alike, thereby fulfilling the general interest	'public watchdog'. Media services are increasingly available online and across borders while they are not subject to the same rules and	
	the same level of protection in different Member States.	function of 'public watchdog'. Media services are increasingly available online and across borders while they are not subject to the same rules and the same level of	the same level of protection in different Member States. While some matters related to the audiovisual media sector have been harmonised at the Union	



(Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		protection in different Member States.	 level through Directive 2010/13/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council¹, the scope and matters covered by that Directive are limited. Moreover, the radio or press sectors are not covered by that Directive, despite their increasing cross-border relevance in the internal market. 1. Directive 2010/13/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 10 March 2010 on the coordination of certain provisions laid down by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States 	
			concerning the provision of	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			audiovisual media services (Audiovisual Media Services Directive) (OJ L 95, 15.4.2010, p. 1).	
Recital 1	a			
11a		(1a) At the same time, media services are always either carriers of cultural forms of expression or directly represent a cultural form of expression themselves. This dual character must be respected throughout. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action under		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		other provisions of the Treaties, in particular in order to respect and to promote the diversity of its cultures.		
Recital 2				
12	(2) Given their unique role, the protection of media freedom and pluralism is an essential feature of a well-functioning internal market for media services (or 'internal media market'). This market has substantially changed since the beginning of the new century, becoming increasingly digital and international. It offers many economic opportunities but also	(2) Given their unique role, the protection of media freedom and pluralism is an essential feature of a well functioning internal market for and the fact that they are one of the main pillars of democracy, special attention should be paid to the protection of media freedom and media services (or 'pluralism in the internal market for media market')services. This market has	(2) Given their unique role, the protection of media freedom and pluralism is an essential feature of a well-functioning internal market for media services (or 'internal media market'). This market, including audiovisual media services as well as radio and press, has substantially changed since the beginning of the new century, becoming increasingly	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
faces a number of challenges. The	substantially changed since the	digital and international. It offers	
Union should help the media sector	beginning of the new century,	many economic opportunities but	
seize those opportunities within the	becoming increasingly digital and	also faces a number of challenges.	
internal market, while at the same	international. It offers many	The Union should help the media	
time protecting the values, such as	economic opportunities but also	sector seize those opportunities	
the protection of the fundamental	faces a number of challenges. The	within the internal market, while at	
rights, that are common to the	Union should helpsupport the	the same time protecting the	
Union and to its Member States.	media sector so that it can seize	values, such as the protection of	
	those opportunities within the	the fundamental rights, that are	
	internal market, while at the same	common to the Union and to its	
	time protecting the values, such as	Member States.	
	the protection of the fundamental		
	rights, that are common to the		
	Union and to its Member States.		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 3	3			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	 (3) In the digital media space, citizens and businesses access and consume media content, immediately available on their personal devices, increasingly in a cross-border setting. Global online 	 (3) In the digital media space, citizens and businesses access and consume media content<u>and</u> <u>services</u>, immediately available on their personal devices, increasingly in a cross-border setting. Global 	 (3) In the digital media space, citizens and businesses access and consume media content, immediately available on their personal devices, increasingly in a cross-border setting. Global online 	
	platforms act as gateways to media content, with business models that	online platforms <u>and search</u> <u>engines</u> , act as gateways to media	platforms act as gateways to This is the case both for audiovisual	
13	tend to disintermediate access to	content, with business models that	media content, with business	
	media services and amplify polarising content and	<i>too often</i> tend to disintermediate access to media services and	models that tend to disintermediate access to media services and	
	disinformation. These platforms	amplify polarising content and	amplify polarising content and	
	are also essential providers of online advertising, which has	disinformation. These platforms and search engines are also	disinformation. These platforms are also essential providers of	
	diverted financial resources from	essential providers or facilitators	online advertising, which has	
	the media sector, affecting its	of online advertising, which <i>has</i>	diverted financial resources from	
	financial sustainability, and consequently the diversity of	diverted divert financial resources from the media sector, affecting its	the-as well as for the press and radio which are easily accessible	
	content on offer. As media services	financial sustainability <u>and</u>	(for example via online news	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
are knowledge- and capital-	journalistic work, and	portals or podcasts) through the	
intensive, they require scale to	consequently the diversity of	Internet. The availability of	
remain competitive and to thrive in	content on offer. Therefore, online	content in a number of languages	
the internal market. To that effect,	platforms and search engines	and the easy access through	
the possibility to offer services	should be included in the scope of	smart devices, such as	
across borders and obtain	this Regulation in order to ensure	smartphones or tablets increases	
investment including from or in	the independence and diversity of	the cross-border relevance of	
other Member States is particularly	the media. As media services are	media sector, affecting its financial	
important.	knowledge- and capital-intensive,	sustainability, and consequently the	
	they require scale<mark>their ability to</mark>	diversity of content on offer. As	
	<i>reach their audiences needs</i> to	media services are knowledge- and	
	remain competitive and to thrive in	capital-intensive, they require scale	
	the internal market. To that effect,	to remain competitive and to thrive	
	the possibility to offer services	inservices, already established in	
	across borders and obtain	a judgment of the Court of	
	investment including from or in	Justice. ² This relevance is	
	other Member States is particularly	underpinned by the growing use	
	important.	and acceptance of automatic	
		translation or subtitling tools which	
		reduces the linguistic barriers	
		within the internal market . To that	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		effect, and the convergence of the	
		different types of media,	
		combining audiovisual and non-	
		audiovisual content in the same	
		offer the possibility to offer	
		services across borders and obtain	
		investment including from or in	
		other Member States is particularly	
		important.	
		2. Judgment of the Court of	
		Justice of 12 December 2006,	
		Germany v Parliament and	
		Council, C-380/03,	
		ECLI:EU:C:2006:772,	
		paragraphs 53 and 54.	
		paragraphs 55 and 54.	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 4	4			
	(4) However, the internal market	(4) However, the internal market	(4) However, the internal market	
	for media services is insufficiently	for media services is insufficiently	for media services is insufficiently	
	integrated. A number of national	integrated. A number of national	integrated, and suffers from a	
	restrictions hamper free movement	restrictions hamper free movement	number of market failures that	
	within the internal market. In	within the internal market. In	are increased by the	
	particular, different national rules	particular, different national rules	digitalisation. First, global online	
	and approaches related to media	and approaches related to media	platforms act as gateways to	
14	pluralism and editorial	pluralism and editorial	media content, with business	
	independence, insufficient	independence, insufficient	models that tend to	
	cooperation between national	cooperation between national	disintermediate access to media	
	regulatory authorities or bodies as	regulatory authorities or bodies as	services and amplify polarising	
	well as opaque and unfair	well as opaque and unfair	content and disinformation.	
	allocation of public and private	allocation of public and private	These platforms are also	
	economic resources make it	economic resources make it	essential providers of online	
	difficult for media market players	difficult for media market players	advertising, which has diverted	
	to operate and expand across	to operate and expand across	financial resources from the	
	borders and lead to an uneven level	borders and lead to an uneven level	media sector, affecting its	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
playing field across the Union. The	playing field across the Union. The	financial sustainability, and	
integrity of the internal market for	integrity of the internal market for	consequently the diversity of	
media services may also be	media services may also be	content on offer. As media	
challenged by providers that	challenged by providers that	services are knowledge- and	
systematically engage in	systematically engage in	capital-intensive, they require	
disinformation, including	disinformation, including	scale to remain competitive and	
information manipulation and	information manipulation and	to thrive in the internal market.	
interference, and abuse the internal	interference, and abuse the internal	To that effect, the possibility to	
market freedoms, including by	market freedoms, including by	offer services across borders and	
state-controlled media service	state-controlled media service	obtain investment including from	
providers financed by certain third	providers financed by certain third	or in other Member States is	
countries.	countries. Furthermore, common	particularly important. Second, .	
	minimum standards for national	a number of national restrictions	
	rules and approaches related to	hamper the free movement within	
	media pluralism and editorial	the internal market. In particular,	
	independence should be	different national rules and	
	established, while respecting the	approaches related to media	
	competence of the Member	pluralism and editorial	
	States. The establishment of such	independence, insufficient	
	standards is a pre-condition to the	cooperation between national	
	functioning of the internal	regulatory authorities or bodies as	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	<u>market.</u>	well as opaque and unfair	
		allocation of public and private	
		economic resources make it	
		difficult for media market players	
		to operate and expand across	
		borders and lead to an uneven level	
		playing field across the Union.	
		Third, the good functioning The	
		integrity of the internal market for	
		media services may also be	
		challenged by providersis	
		challenged by providers	
		(including those controlled by	
		certain third countries) that	
		systematically engage in	
		disinformation, including	
		information manipulation and	
		interference, and abuseuse the	
		internal market freedoms ,	
		including by state-controlled media	
		service providers financed by	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			certain third countries for abusive purposes, thus thwarting the proper functioning of market dynamics.	
Recital 4a				

15514/23		ATR/fco	32
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
14a			(4a) The fragmentation of rules and approaches which characterizes the media market in the Union negatively affects to varying degrees the conditions for the exercise of economic activities in the internal market by media service providers in different sub-sectors, including the audiovisual, radio, and press	
			sub-sectors, and undermines their capability to efficiently	
			operate cross-border or establish operations in other Member	
			States. National measures and	
			procedures could be conducive to media pluralism in a Member	
			State, but the divergence and	
			lack of coordination between	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Member States' national	
		measures and procedures may	
		lead to legal uncertainty and	
		additional costs for media	
		companies willing to enter new	
		markets, and prevent them from	
		benefiting from the scale of the	
		internal market for media	
		services. Moreover,	
		discriminatory or protectionist	
		national measures affecting the	
		operation of media companies	
		disincentivise cross-border	
		investment in the media sector	
		and in some cases may force	
		media companies that are	
		already operating in a given	
		market to exit it. These obstacles	
		affect companies active both in	
		the broadcasting (including	
		audiovisual and radio) and press	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		sub-sectors. Although the	
		fragmentation of editorial	
		independence safeguards	
		concerns all media sub-sectors, it	
		affects the press sector especially	
		as national regulatory or self-	
		regulatory approaches differ	
		more in relation to the press. The	
		internal market for media	
		services may also be affected by	
		insufficient tools for regulatory	
		cooperation between national	
		regulatory authorities, which is	
		key for ensuring that media	
		market players (often active in	
		different media subsectors)	
		systematically engaging in	
		disinformation, including	
		information manipulation and	
		interference, do not benefit from	
		the scale of the internal market	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		for media services. Furthermore,	
		while biased allocation of	
		economic resources, in particular	
		in the form of state advertising,	
		is used to covertly subsidise	
		media outlets in all the media	
		sub-sectors, it tends to have a	
		particularly negative impact on	
		the press, which has been	
		weakened by decreasing levels of	
		advertising revenues. Finally, the	
		challenges stemming from the	
		digital transformation reduce the	
		ability of companies in all media	
		sub-sectors, and in particular the	
		smaller ones in the radio and	
		press sector, to compete on a	
		level playing field with online	
		platforms, which play a key role	
		in online distribution of content.	

15514/23		ATR/fco
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 5				
	(5) Moreover, in response to	(5) Moreover, in response to	(5) Moreover, in response to	
	challenges to media pluralism and media freedom online, some	challenges to media pluralism and media freedom online, some	challenges to media pluralism and media freedom online, some	
	Member States have taken regulatory measures and other	Member States have taken regulatory measures and other	Member States have taken regulatory measures and other	
15	Member States are likely to do so, with a risk of furthering the	Member States are likely to <u>continue to</u> do so , with a risk of	Member States are likely to do so, with a risk of furthering the	
	divergence in national approaches and restrictions to free movement	furthering the divergence in national approaches and	divergence in national approaches and restrictions to free movement	
	in the internal market.	restrictions to free movement in the internal market.	in the internal market.	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Recital 5a	Recital 5a						
15a		(5a) A free and well-functioning internal market for media services is an essential pillar of a functioning democracy because it provides recipients with access to a plurality of views and trustworthy sources of information. The increased role of the online environment and its new functionalities have had a disruptive effect on the market for media services, rendering it increasingly cross-border and fostering a truly European market for media services. In such an environment, media services are not only available but also easily					

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	accessible to Union consumers,		
	irrespective of their Member State		
	of origin. Media services created		
	for recipients in one Member		
	State are able to reach far further		
	than initially intended. Divergent		
	approaches at national level can		
	hamper the ability of media		
	service providers to operate on a		
	fair level-playing field in order to		
	<u>make media services, including</u>		
	news and current affairs		
	information available. Such		
	approaches have created market		
	fragmentation, legal uncertainty		
	and increasing compliance costs		
	<u>for media service providers and</u>		
	<u>media professionals. Therefore, it</u>		
	is necessary to have a single legal		
	<u>framework that ensures a</u>		
	harmonised application of rules		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
	for media service providers throughout the Union, ensuring that Union recipients have access to a broad range of reliable sources of information and to quality journalism as public goods in order to make informed choices, including about the state of their democracies.				
Recital 5b	Recital 5b				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
15b		(5b) The right to freedom of expression and information, enshrined in Article 11 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (the 'Charter') and in Article 10 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, encompasses the right to receive and impart information and media freedom and media pluralism without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers. They also require that diversity is established in European communication spaces and require Member States to safeguard and foster media pluralism. Accordingly, this		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Regulation draws upon the case law of the European Court of Human Rights and builds upon the standards developed by the Council of Europe in that regard.		
Recital 6				
16	 (6) Recipients of media services in the Union (natural persons who are nationals of Member States or benefit from rights conferred upon them by Union law and legal persons established in the Union) should be able to effectively enjoy the freedom to receive free and pluralistic media services in the internal market. In fostering the 	 (6) Recipients of media services in the Union (natural persons who <i>are nationals of Member States or</i> benefit from rights conferred upon them by Union law and legal persons established in the Union) should be able to effectively enjoy the freedom to <i>receivehave access to independent</i>, free and pluralistic media services in the internal 	 (6) Recipients of media services in the Union (Natural persons who are nationals of Member States or benefit from rights conferred upon them by Union law and legal persons established in the Union) should be able to effectively enjoy the freedom to receive services, including free and pluralistic media services in the internal 	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
cross-border flow of media	market. In fostering the cross-	market. In fostering the cross-	
services, a minimum level of	border flow of media services, a	border flow of media services, a	
protection of service recipients	minimum level of protection of	minimum level of protection of	
should be ensured in the internal	service recipients should be	service recipients should be	
market. That would be in	ensured in the internal market. That	ensured in the internal market. That	
compliance with the right to	would be in compliance with the	would be in compliance with the	
receive and impart information	right -to receive and impart	right to receive and impart	
pursuant to Article 11 of the	information, pursuant to Article 11	information of news and current	
Charter of Fundamental Rights of	of the Charter. In accordance with	affairs content produced in	
the European Union ('the	<u>Article 22-of Fundamental Rights</u>	accordance with editorial	
Charter'). It is thus necessary to	of the European Charter, the Union	freedom in the internal market,	
harmonise certain aspects of	('the Charter'). is to respect	to the benefit of cultural and	
national rules related to media	cultural, religious and linguistic	linguistic diversity. This reflects	
services. In the final report of the	diversity. It is thus necessary to	the right to receive and impart	
Conference on the Future of	harmonise certain aspects of	information and the requirement	
Europe, citizens called on the EU	national rules related to media	to respect media freedom and	
to further promote media	services. In the final report of the	media pluralism pursuant to	
independence and pluralism, in	Conference on the Future of	Article 11 of the Charter of	
particular by introducing	Europe, citizens called on the EU	Fundamental Rights of the	
legislation addressing threats to	to further promote media	European Union ('the Charter') It	
media independence through EU-	independence and pluralism, in	is thus necessary to harmonise	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
wide minimum standards ¹ . 	particular by introducing legislation addressing threats to media independence through EU- wide minimum standards ¹ . 	certain aspects of national rulesrelated to, in conjunction withArticle 22 of the Charter whichrequires the Union to respectcultural, religious and linguisticdiversity. Furthermore, infostering the cross-border flow ofmedia services, a minimum levelof protection of service recipientsshould be ensured in the internalmarket. In the final report of theConference on the Future ofEurope, citizens called on the EUto further promote mediaindependence and pluralism, inparticular by introducinglegislation addressing threats tomedia independence through EU-wide minimum standards ¹³ . It isthus necessary to harmonisecertain aspects of national rules	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		related to media services, taking	
		also in consideration Article 167	
		of the TFEU, which reaffirms the	
		importance of respecting the	
		national and regional diversity of	
		the Member States. However,	
		Member States should have the	
		possibility to adopt more detailed	
		or stricter rules in specific fields,	
		provided that those rules comply	
		with Union law and that Member	
		States do not restrict the free	
		movement of media services	
		from other Member States which	
		comply with the rules laid down	
		in these fields. Member States	
		should also retain the possibility	
		to maintain or adopt measures to	
		preserve media pluralism or	
		editorial independence at	
		national level regarding aspects	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		not covered by this Regulation	
		insofar as such measures comply	
		with Union law, including	
		Regulation 2022/2065 of the	
		European Parliament and of the	
		Council ⁴ . It is also appropriate to	
		recall that Article 4(2) of the TEU	
		reaffirms that national security	
		remains the sole responsibility of	
		Member States. This Regulation is	
		without prejudice to the Member	
		States' responsibility for	
		safeguarding national security and	
		their power to safeguard other	
		essential state functions, including	
		ensuring the territorial integrity of	
		the state and maintaining law and	
		order.	
		1. Conference on the Future of	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Europe – Report on the Final	
		Outcome, May 2022, in	
		particular proposal 27 (1) and	
		37 (4).	
		3. Conference on the Future of	
		Europe – Report on the Final	
		Outcome, May 2022, in	
		particular proposal 27 (1) and	
		37 (4).	
		4. Regulation (EU) 2022/2065	
		of the European Parliament	
		and of the Council of 19	
		October 2022 on a Single	
		Market For Digital Services	
		and amending Directive	
		2000/31/EC (Digital Services	
		Act) (OJ L 277, 27.10.2022, p.	
		1).	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 7				
	(7) For the purposes of this	(7) For the purposes of this	(7) For the purposes of this	
	Regulation, the definition of a	Regulation, the definition of a	Regulation, the definition of a	
	media service should be limited to	media service should be limited to	media service should be limited to	
	services as defined by the Treaty	services as defined by the Treaty	services as defined by the Treaty	
	and therefore should cover any	and therefore should cover any	and therefore should cover any	
	form of economic activity. This	form of economic activity, for	form of economic activity. This	
17	definition should exclude user-	which normally remuneration is	definition should exclude user-	
	generated content uploaded to an	provided including non-standard	generated content uploaded to an	
	online platform unless it constitutes	forms of employment, such as	online platform unless it constitutes	
	a professional activity normally	free-lancing or independent	a professional activity normally	
	provided for consideration (be it of	journalism. This definition should	provided for consideration (be it of	
	financial or of other nature). It	exclude user-generated content	financial or of other nature). It	
	should also exclude purely private	uploaded to an online platform	should also exclude purely private	
	correspondence, such as e-mails, as	unless it constitutes a professional	correspondence, such as e-mails, as	
	well as all services that do not have	activity normally provided for	well as all services that do not have	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the provision of audiovisual or	consideration (be it of financial or	the provision of audiovisual or	
audio programmes or press	of other nature). It should also	audio programmes or press	
publications as their principal	exclude purely private	publications as their principal	
purpose, meaning where the	correspondence, such as e-mails, as	purpose, meaning where the	
content is merely incidental to the	well as all services that do not have	content is merely incidental to the	
service and not its principal	the provision of audiovisual or	service and not its principal	
purpose, such as advertisements or	audio programmes or press	purpose, such as advertisements or	
information related to a product or	publications as their principal	information related to a product or	
a service provided by websites that	purpose, meaning where the	a service provided by websites that	
do not offer media services. The	content is merely incidental to the	do not offer media services. The	
definition of a media service	service and not its principal	definition of a media service	
should cover in particular	purpose, such as advertisements or	should cover in particular	
television or radio broadcasts, on-	information related to a product or	television or radio broadcasts, on-	
demand audiovisual media	a service provided by websites that	demand audiovisual media	
services, audio podcasts or press	do not offer media services. The	services, audio podcasts or press	
publications. Corporate	definition of a media service	publications. Corporate	
communication and distribution of	should cover in particular	communication and distribution of	
informational or promotional	television or radio broadcasts, on-	informational or promotional	
materials for public or private	demand audiovisual media	materials for public or private	
entities should be excluded from	services, audio podcasts or press	entities should be excluded from	
the scope of this definition.	<i>publications</i> . Corporate	the scope of this definition.	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		communication and distribution of informational or promotional materials for public or private entities should be excluded from the scope of this definition.		
Recital 7a	3			
17a		(7a) The media environment is undergoing major and rapid changes. While the role of the media in a democratic society has not changed, media have additional tools to facilitate interaction and engagement. It is important that media-related policy take those and future developments into account.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Therefore, the notion of media used in this Regulation should be interpreted broadly to encompass all actors who are involved in the production and dissemination, to potentially large numbers of people, of content, who have editorial responsibility or who oversee content.		
Recital 7	'a			
17b			(7a) Public service media providers should be understood as those concurrently entrusted with a public service remit and receiving public funding for the fulfilment thereof. This should	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			not cover private media undertakings that have agreed to carry out certain specific tasks of general interest in return for payment, as a limited part of their activities.	
Recital 8	3			
18	 (8) In the digitalised media market, providers of video-sharing platforms or very large online platforms may fall under the definition of media service provider. In general, such providers play a key role in the content organisation, including by automated means or algorithms, 	 (8) In the digitalised media market, providers of video-sharing platforms or very large online platforms may fall under the definition of media service provider. In general, such providers play a key role in the content organisation, including by automated means or algorithms, 	 (8) In the digitalised media market, providers of video-sharing platforms or very large online platforms may fall under the definition of media service provider. In general, such providers play a key role in the content organisation, including by automated means or algorithms, 	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission Proposal but do not exercise editorial responsibility over the content to which they provide access. However, in the increasingly convergent media environment, some providers of video-sharing platforms or very large online platforms have started to exercise editorial control over a section or sections of their services. Therefore, such an entity could be qualified both as a video-sharing platform provider or a very large online platform provider and as a media service provider.	EP Mandate but do not exercise editorial responsibility over the content to which they provide access. However, in the increasingly convergent media environment, some providers of video-sharing platforms or very large online platforms have started to exercise editorial control over a section or sections of their services. Therefore, <u>when such entities</u> <u>exercise editorial control over a</u> <u>section or sections of their</u> <u>services, theysuch an entity</u> could be qualified both as a video- sharing platform provider or a very large online platform provider and as a media service provider.	Council Mandate but do not exercise editorial responsibility over the content to which they provide access. However, in the increasingly convergent media environment, some providers of video-sharing platforms or very large online platforms have started to exercise editorial control over a section or sections of their services. Therefore, such an entity could be qualified both as a video-sharing platform provider or a very large online platform provider and as a media service provider.	Draft Agreement

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 8a		· · · · · ·		
18a		(8a) The capacity of online platforms to provide access to media services without exercising editorial responsibility over it and to market the ability to target users with advertising allows them to act as direct competitors to media service providers whose media services they intermediate and distribute. Given the transfer of economic value in favour of online platforms, the definition of 'audience measurement' set out in this Regulation should be understood as including data on the media services consumed by recipients of media services and of		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		online platforms. That will ensure that all intermediaries involved in content distribution are transparent about their audience measurement methodologies so as to enable advertisers to make informed choices, which should drive competition.		
Recital 9)			
19	(9) The definition of audience measurement should cover measurement systems developed as agreed by industry standards within self-regulatory organisations, like the Joint Industry Committees, and measurement systems developed	(9) The definition of audience measurement should cover measurement systems developed as agreed by industry standards within self-regulatory organisations, like the Joint Industry Committees, and measurement systems developed	(9) The definition of audience measurement should cover measurement systems developed as agreed by industry standards within self-regulatory organisations, like the Joint Industry Committees, and measurement systems developed	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
outside such self-regulatory	outside such self-regulatory	outside such self-regulatory	
approaches. The latter tend to be	approaches. The latter tend to be	approaches. The latter tend to be	
deployed by certain online players	deployed by certain online players,	deployed by certain online players,	
who self-measure or provide their	<u>including online platforms,</u> who	including online platforms, who	
proprietary audience measurement	self-measure or provide their	self-measure or develop and	
systems to the market, which do	proprietary audience measurement	provide their proprietary own	
not necessarily abide by the	systems to the market, which do	audience measurement systems to	
commonly agreed industry	not necessarily abide by the	the market, which do not	
standards. Given the significant	commonly agreed industry	necessarily abidewithout abiding	
impact that such audience	standards. Given the significant	by the commonly agreed industry	
measurement systems have on the	impact that such audience	standards or best practices. Such	
advertising and media markets,	measurement systems have on the	systems enable to collect or	
they should be covered by this	advertising and media markets,	otherwise process information	
Regulation.	they should be covered by this	about the use of media content	
	Regulation. Media service	and content created by users on	
	providers which abide by	online platforms that are	
	commonly agreed industry	primarily used to access such	
	standards should not be	content. Given the significant	
	considered providers of	impact that such audience	
	proprietary audience	measurement systems have on the	
	<u>measurement systems.</u>	advertising and media markets,	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			they should be covered by this Regulation.	
Recital 1	10			
	(10) State advertising should be	(10) State advertising should be	(10) State advertising should be	
	understood broadly as covering	understood broadly as covering	understood broadly as covering	
	promotional or self-promotional	promotional or self-promotional	promotional or self-promotional	[Comment: Recital
	activities undertaken by, for or on	activities, which include	activities undertaken by, for or on	[] However, the
	behalf of a wide range of public	advertising and purchases	behalf of a wide range of public	definition of state
20	authorities or entities, including	undertaken by, for or on behalf of a	authorities or entities, including	advertising should
	governments, regulatory authorities	wide range of public authorities or	national and subnational	not include
	or bodies as well as state-owned	entities, including Union	governments or administrations,	announcements that
	enterprises or other state-controlled	institutions, bodies, offices or	regulatory authorities or bodies as	are justified by an
	entities in different sectors, at	agencies, governments, regulatory	well as state-owned-enterprises or	overriding reason of
	national or regional level, or local	authorities or bodies as well as	other state-controlled	public interest, such
	governments of territorial entities	state-owned enterprises or other	entities entities which are	
	of more than 1 million inhabitants.	state-controlled entities in different	controlled by national or	as emergency messages

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
H	However, the definition of state	sectors, at national-or, regional	subnational governments in	by public authorities
a	advertising should not include	level, or local governments of	different sectors. Such control can	which are necessary,
e	emergency messages by public	territorial entities of more than 1	result from rights, contracts or	in cases of natural
a	authorities which are necessary, for	million inhabitants. However, the	any other means which confer	or sanitary
e	example, in cases of natural or	definitionlevel. For the purposes	the possibility of exercising	disasters, accidents
S	sanitary disasters, accidents or	of allocation of state advertising	decisive influence on an	or sudden incidents
0	other sudden incidents that can	should not include emergency	enterprise or entity. In	that can cause harm
с	cause harm to individuals.	messages by public authorities	particular, ownership of capital	
		which are necessary, for	or the right to use all or part of	to individuals. Where
		example, and purchases including	the assets or rights or contracts	the emergency
		in cases of natural or sanitary	which confer decisive influence	situation has ended,
		disasters, accidents or other	on the composition, voting or	announcements
		suddenunforeseen, major incidents	decisions of the organs of an	pertaining to that
		that can cause harm to	enterprise or entity are relevant	emergency [and
		individualssignificant portions of	factors, as laid down in Article	placed, published or
		the population criteria should be	3(2) of Council Regulation (EC)	disseminated in
		laid down in advance by national	No 139/2004 ⁵ , at national or	return for payment or
		law. Emergency messages by	regional level, or local	for any other
		public authorities should be	governments of territorial entities	consideration] should
		understood broadly as different	of more than 1 million inhabitants.	be considered state
		<u>from state advertising</u> .	However, the definition of state	advertising for the
				advorterbring for the

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		advertising should not include	purposes of this
		official announcements that are	Regulation.]
		justified by an overriding reason	
		of public interest, such as	
		emergency messages by public	
		authorities which are necessary, for	
		example, in cases of natural or	
		sanitary disasters, accidents or	
		other sudden incidents that can	
		cause harm to individuals.	
		5. Council Regulation (EC) No	
		139/2004 of 20 January 2004	
		on the control of	
		concentrations between	
		undertakings (the EC Merger	
		Regulation) (OJ L 24,	
		29.1.2004, p. 1-22).	

15514/23		ATR/fco	59
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 1	1			
	(11) In order to ensure that society	(11) In order to ensure that society	(11) In order to ensure that society	
	reaps the benefits of the internal	reaps the benefits of the internal	reaps the benefits of the internal	
	media market, it is essential not	media market, it is essential not	media market, it is essential not	
	only to guarantee the fundamental	only to guarantee the fundamental	only to guarantee the fundamental	
	freedoms under the Treaty, but also	freedoms under the Treaty, but also	freedoms under the Treaty, but also	
	the legal certainty which the	the legal certainty which the	the legal certainty which is needed	
21	recipients of media services need	recipients of media services need	for the enjoyment of benefits of	
	for the enjoyment of the	for the enjoyment of the	an integrated and developed	
	corresponding benefits. Such	corresponding benefits. Such	market. The general public	
	recipients should have access to	recipients Recipients of media	should be able tothe recipients of	
	quality media services, which have	services should have access to	media services need for the	
	been produced by journalists and	quality media services, which have	enjoyment of the corresponding	
	editors in an independent manner	been produced by journalists,	benefits. Such recipients should	
	and in line with journalistic	editors, editors-in-chief and media	have access to quality media	
	standards and hence provide	workers and editors in an	services in a well-functioning	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
trustworthy information, including	independent manner and in line	internal market, which have been	
news and current affairs content.	with <u>ethical and professional</u>	produced by journalists and editors	
Such right does not entail any	journalistic standards and	in an independent manner and in	
correspondent obligation on any	hencewhich, therefore, provide	line with journalistic standards and	
given media service provider to	trustworthy information, including	hence provide trustworthy	
adhere to standards not set out	news and current affairs content.	information, including news and	
explicitly by law. Such quality	Such right does not entail any	current affairs content comprising	
media services are also an antidote	correspondent obligation on any	a wide category of content of	
against disinformation, including	given media service provider to	political, societal or cultural	
foreign information manipulation	adhere to standards not set out	interest at local, national or	
and interference.	explicitly by law <mark>of political or</mark>	international level. While news	
	<u>societal interest at local, national</u>	and current affairs content may	
	<u>or international level without any</u>	reach the general public in	
	interference by public authority or	diverse formats, from	
	without being influenced by	documentaries or magazines to	
	economic interests. Such quality	content uploaded on online	
	media services are also an essential	platforms, news and current	
	antidote against disinformation,	affairs play a major role in	
	including foreign information	shaping public opinion, having a	
	manipulation and interference.	direct impact on democratic	
		participation and societal well-	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		being. Such right does not entail	
		any correspondent obligation on	
		any given media service provider	
		to adhere to standards not set out	
		explicitly by law. Such Quality	
		media services are also an antidote	
		against disinformation, including	
		foreign information manipulation	
		and interference. Access to such	
		services should also be ensured	
		by preventing attempts to silence	
		journalists, ranging from threats	
		and harassments to censorship	
		and cancelling of dissenting	
		opinions, which may limit the	
		free flow of information into the	
		public sphere by reducing the	
		quality and plurality of	
		information. The right to a	
		plurality of news and current	
		affairs content does not entail	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			any correspondent obligation on any given media service provider to adhere to standards not set out explicitly by law.	
Recital	12			
22	(12) This Regulation does not affect the freedom of expression guaranteed to individuals under the Charter. The European Court of Human Rights has observed that in such a sensitive sector as audiovisual media, in addition to its negative duty of non- interference, the public powers have a positive obligation to put in place an appropriate legislative and	(12) This Regulation does not affect the freedom of expression guaranteed to individuals under the Charter. The European Court of Human Rights has observed that in such a sensitive sector as audiovisual media, in addition to its negative duty of non- interference, the public powers have a positive obligation to put in place an appropriate legislative and	(12) This Regulation does not affect the freedom of expression and information guaranteed to individuals under the Charter. The European Court of Human Rights has observed that in such a sensitive sector as audiovisual media, in addition to its negative duty of non-interferencenon- interference , the public powers have a positive obligation to put in	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	administrative framework to guarantee effective pluralism ¹ . 1. Centro Europa 7 S.R.L. and Di Stefano v. Italy [GC], no 38433/09, § 134, ECHR 2012.	administrative framework to guarantee effective pluralism ¹ . 1. Centro Europa 7 S.R.L. and Di Stefano v. Italy [GC], no 38433/09, § 134, ECHR 2012.	 place an appropriate legislative and administrative framework to guarantee effective pluralism⁴⁶. 	
Recital 1	.3			
23	(13) The free flow of trustworthy information is essential in a well- functioning internal market for	(13) The free flow of trustworthy information is essential in a well- functioning internal market for	(13) The free flow of trustworthy information is essential in a well- functioning internal market for	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
media services. Therefore, the	media services. Therefore, the	media services. Therefore, the	
provision of media services shou	ld provision of media services should	provision of media services should	
not be subject to any restrictions	not be subject to any restrictions	not be subject to any restrictions	
contrary to this Regulation or oth	er contrary to this Regulation or other	contrary to this Regulation or other	
rules of Union law, such as	rules of Union law, such as	rules of Union law, such as	
Directive 2010/13/EU of the	Directive 2010/13/EU of the	Directive 2010/13/EU of the	
European Parliament and of the	European Parliament and of the	European Parliament and of the	
Council ¹ providing for measures	Council ¹ providing for measures	Council ¹ -providing for measures	
necessary to protect users from	necessary to protect users from	necessary to protect users from	
illegal and harmful content.	illegal and harmful content.	illegal and harmful content.	
Restrictions could also derive fro	m Restrictions could also derive from	Restrictions could also derive from	
measures applied by national	measures applied by national	measures applied by national	
public authorities in compliance	public authorities in compliance	public authorities in compliance	
with Union law.	with Union law.	with Union law.	
1. Directive 2010/13/EU of th	e 1. Directive 2010/13/EU of the	1. Directive 2010/13/EU of the	
European Parliament and of the	e European Parliament and of the	European Parliament and of the	
Council of 10 March 2010 on	Council of 10 March 2010 on	Council of 10 March 2010 on	
the coordination of certain	the coordination of certain	the coordination of certain	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	provisions laid down by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States concerning the provision of audiovisual media services (Audiovisual Media Services Directive) (OJ L 95, 15.4.2010, p. 1-24).	provisions laid down by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States concerning the provision of audiovisual media services (Audiovisual Media Services Directive) (OJ L 95, 15.4.2010, p. 1-24).	provisions laid down by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States concerning the provision of audiovisual media services (Audiovisual Media Services Directive) (OJ L 95, 15.4.2010, p. 1-24).	
Recital 1	l			
24	(14) The protection of editorial independence is a precondition for exercising the activity of media service providers and their professional integrity. Editorial independence is especially	(14) The protection of editorial independence is a precondition for exercising the activity of media service providers and their professional integrity. <i>Editorial</i> <i>independence is</i> especially	(14) The protection of editorial independence is a precondition for exercising the activity of media service providers and their professional integrity. Editorial independence is especially	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
important for media service	important for media service	important for media service	
providers providing news and	providers providing news and	providers providing news and	
current affairs content given its	eurrent affairs content given its	current affairs content given its	
societal role as a public good.	societal role as a public good.	societal role as a public good.	
Media service providers should be	Media service providers should be	Media service providers should be	
able to exercise their economic	able to exercise their economic	able to exercise their economic	
activities freely in the internal	activities freely in the internal	activities freely in the internal	
market and compete on equal	market and compete on equal	market and compete on equal	
footing in an increasingly online	footing in an increasingly online	footing in an increasingly online	
environment where information	environment where information	environment where information	
flows across borders.	flows across borders.	flows across borders.	
	Furthermore, in order to		
	guarantee independent and		
	pluralistic media, it is of key		
	importance that the necessary		
	measures be put in place to create		
	<u>a safe environment that allows</u>		
	journalists, editors, editors-in-		
	chief and media workers to		
	exercise their activities. To that		
	end, in addition to safeguarding		
	important for media service providers providing news and current affairs content given its societal role as a public good. Media service providers should be able to exercise their economic activities freely in the internal market and compete on equal footing in an increasingly online environment where information	important for media serviceimportant for media serviceproviders providing news andproviders providing news andcurrent affairs content given itssocietal role as a public good.Media service providers should beable to exercise their economicable to exercise their economicable to exercise their economicactivities freely in the internalmarket and compete on equalfooting in an increasingly onlinefooting in an increasingly onlineenvironment where informationflows across borders.Furthermore, in order toguarantee independent andpluralistic media, it is of keyimportance that the necessarymeasures be put in place to createa safe environment that allowsiournalists, editors, editors, in-chief and media workers toexercise their encores	important for media service providers providing news and current affairs content given its societal role as a public good.important for media service providers providing news and current affairs content given its societal role as a public good.important for media service providers should be able to exercise their economic activities freely in the internal market and compet on equal footing in an increasingly online environment where information flows across borders.Media service providers should be able to exercise their economic activities freely in the internal market and compet on equal footing in an increasingly online environment where information flows across borders.Media service freely in the internal flows across borders.for the internal environment that allows jaurnalists, editors, editors.for the internal environment that allows jaurnalists, editors, editors.for the internal environment that allows jaurnalists, editors, editors.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>the freedom of the media, it is</u> <u>necessary to protect freedom</u> <u>within the media.</u>		
Recital 1	.5			
	(15) Member States have taken	(15) Member States have taken	(15) Member States have taken	
	different approaches to the	different approaches to the	different approaches to the	
	protection of editorial	protection of editorial	protection of editorial	
	independence, which is	independence, which is	independence, which is	
25	increasingly challenged across the	increasingly challenged across the	increasingly challenged across the	
25	Union. In particular, there is	Union. In particular, there	Union. In particular, there is	
	growing interference with editorial	is Because of growing interference	growing interference with editorial	
	decisions of media service	with editorial decisions of media	decisions of media service	
	providers in several Member	service providers in several	providers in several Member	
	States. Such interference can be	Member States, <i>legislative action</i>	States. Such interference can be	
	direct or indirect, from the State or	is necessary. Such interference can	direct or indirect, from the State or	
	other actors, including public	represent a breach of principle of	other actors, including public	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
authorities, elected officials,	<u>the rule of law, which can be</u>	authorities, elected officials,	
government officials and	direct or indirect, from the State or	government officials and	
politicians, for example to obtain a	other actors, including public	politicians, for example to obtain a	
political advantage. Shareholders	authorities, elected officials,	political advantage. Shareholders	
and other private parties who have	government officials and	and other private parties who have	
a stake in media service providers	politicians, for example to obtain a	a stake in media service providers	
may act in ways which go beyond	political advantage. Shareholders	may act in ways which go beyond	
the necessary balance between	and other private parties who have	the necessary balance between	
their own business freedom and	a stake in media service providers	their own business freedom and	
freedom of expression, on the one	may act in ways which go beyond	freedom of expression, on the one	
hand, and editorial freedom of	the necessary balance between	hand, and editorial freedom of	
expression and the information	their own business freedom and	expression and the information	
rights of users, on the other hand,	freedom of expression, on the one	rights of users, on the other hand,	
in pursuit of economic or other	hand, and editorial freedom of	in pursuit of economic or other	
advantage. Moreover, recent trends	expression and the information	advantage. Moreover, recent trends	
in media distribution and	rights of users, on the other hand,	in media distribution and	
consumption, including in	in pursuit of economic or other	consumption, including in	
particular in the online	advantage This seems to be	particular in the online	
environment, have prompted	particularly the case where	environment, have prompted	
Member States to consider laws	economic power generates a	Member States to consider laws	
aimed at regulating the provision	power to shape opinions that may	aimed at regulating the provision	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreemen
of media content. Approaches	interfere with the public opinion	of media content. Approaches	
taken by media service providers to	forming process. Moreover, recent	taken by media service providers to	
guarantee editorial independence	trends in media distribution and	guarantee editorial independence	
also vary. As a result of such	consumption, including in	also vary. As a result of such	
interference and fragmentation of	particular in the online	interference and fragmentation of	
regulation and approaches, the	environment, have prompted	regulation and approaches, the	
conditions for the exercise of	Member States to consider laws	conditions for the exercise of	
economic activities by media	aimed at regulating the provision	economic activities by media	
service providers and, ultimately,	of media content. Approaches	service providers and, ultimately,	
the quality of media services	taken by media service providers to	the quality of media services	
received by citizens and businesses	guarantee editorial independence	received by citizens and businesses	
are negatively affected in the	also vary. As a result of such	are negatively affected in the	
internal market. It is thus necessary	interference and fragmentation of	internal market. It is thus necessary	
to put in place effective safeguards	regulation and approaches, the	to put in place effective safeguards	
enabling the exercise of editorial	conditions for the exercise of	enabling the exercise of editorial	
freedom across the Union so that	economic activities by media	freedom across the Union so that	
media service providers can	service providers and, ultimately,	media service providers can	
independently produce and	the quality of media services	independently produce and	
distribute their content across	received by citizens and businesses	distribute their content across	
borders and service recipients can	are negatively affected in the	borders and service recipients can	
receive such content.	internal market. It is thus necessary	receive such content.	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		to put in place effective safeguards enabling the exercise of editorial freedom across the Union so that media service providers can independently produce and distribute their <i>contentmedia</i> <i>services</i> across borders and service recipients can receive such <i>contentmedia services</i> .		
Recital 1	6			
26	(16) Journalists and editors are the main actors in the production and provision of trustworthy media content, in particular by reporting on news or current affairs. It is essential therefore to protect	 (16) Journalists, editors, editors- in-chief and media workers-and editors are the main actors in the production and provision of trustworthy media content, in particular by reporting on news or 	(16) Journalists and editors are the main actors in the production and provision of trustworthy media content, in particular by reporting on news or current affairs. Sources are tantamount to "raw	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
journalists' capability to collect,	current affairs<u>s</u>ervices . It is	material" for journalists: they	
fact-check and analyse	essential therefore to protect	are the basis for the production	
information, including information	journalists' capability to collect,	of the news and current affairs	
imparted confidentially. In	fact-check and analyse	content. It is essential	
particular, media service providers	information, including information	therefore therefore crucial to	
and journalists (including those	imparted confidentially both in the	protect journalists' capability to	
operating in non-standard forms of	<u>offline and online world</u> . In	collect, fact-check and analyse	
employment, such as freelancers)	particular, media service providers,	information, includingin	
should be able to rely on a robust	media workers and journalists	particular information imparted	
protection of journalistic sources	(including those operating in non-	confidentially. In particular, Media	
and communications, including	standard forms of employment,	service providers and their	
against deployment of surveillance	such as freelancers and bloggers)	editorial staff, in particular	
technologies, since without such	should be able to rely on at the most	journalists (including those	
protection sources may be deterred	robust protection of journalistic	operating in non-standard forms of	
from assisting the media in	sources and communications,	employment, such as freelancers)	
informing the public on matters of	including against arbitrary	should be able to rely on a robust	
public interest. As a result,	interferences and deployment of	protection of journalistic sources	
journalists' freedom to exercise	surveillance technologies, since	and communications, including	
their economic activity and fulfil	without such protection sources	against deployment of surveillance	
their vital 'public watchdog' role	may be deterred from assisting the	technologies , since . Without such	
may be undermined, thus affecting	media in informing the public on	protection, the free flow of sources	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
negatively access to quality media	matters of public interest. As a	to the media service providers	
services. The protection of	result, journalists' and media	may be deterred from assisting the	
journalistic sources contributes to	workers' freedom of expression	and thus the free exercise of the	
the protection of the fundamental	and capacity to exercise their	economic activity by media in	
right enshrined in Article 11 of the	economic activity and fulfil their	informingservice providers may	
Charter.	vital 'public watchdog' role may	be hindered, also to the	
	be undermined, thus affecting	detriment of information to the	
	negatively access to quality media	public, including on matters of	
	services. The protection of	public interest. As a result,	
	journalistic sources contributes tois	journalists' freedom to exercise	
	a precondition for the protection	their economic activity and fulfil	
	of the fundamental right enshrined	their vital 'public watchdog' role	
	in Article 11 of the Charter and	may be undermined by such	
	crucial for safeguarding the	obstacles, thus affecting negatively	
	<i>watchdog' role of investigative</i>	access to quality media services.	
	journalism in democratic		
	<u>societies</u> .	In order to avoid circumvention	
		of the protection of journalistic	
		sources and guarantee adequate	
		respect for private and family	
		life, home and communication in	
		accordance with the Charter,	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		safeguards should also apply to	
		persons who because of their	
		regular private or professional	
		relationship with media service	
		providers or members of their	
		editorial staff may have	
		information that could identify	
		journalistic sources. This should	
		include persons living in a close	
		relationship in a joint household	
		and on a stable and continuous	
		basis, who are only targeted due	
		to their close links with media	
		service providers, journalists or	
		other members of the editorial	
		staff. The protection of	
		journalistic sources should also	
		benefit employees of media	
		service providers, such as the	
		technical staff including	
		cybersecurity experts, who could	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		be targeted given their important	
		support role to journalists in	
		their daily work which requires	
		solutions to ensure the	
		confidentiality of journalists'	
		work and the resulting likelihood	
		that they have access to	
		information concerning	
		journalistic sources. The	
		protection of journalistic sources	
		is consistent with and contributes	
		to the protection of the	
		fundamental right enshrined in	
		Article 11 of the Charter. In light	
		thereof, and in order to also	
		strengthen the right to an	
		effective judicial protection, it is	
		important that media service	
		providers, journalists as well as	
		persons in a close professional or	
		personal relationship to them,	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			are able to rely on an adequate assistance in the exercise of this right, which may be of legal, financial or other nature such as providing information on available judicial remedies. Such assistance could be effectively provided, for example, by national regulatory authorities or bodies, relevant self- regulatory bodies or other national competent authorities.	
Recital 1	.6a		·	
26a		(16a) Upholding the rule of law in the Union is essential for the functioning of democracies in the		





EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Member States. Union		
instruments for that purpose have		
expanded to include, in addition to		
procedure set out in Article 7		
TEU, new frameworks such as the		
Commission's annual rule of law		
report and Regulation (EU,		
Euratom) 2020/2092 of the		
European Parliament and of the		
Council ^{1a} . The functionality of		
rule of law systems is directly		
interlinked with free and		
pluralistic media. Media freedom		
and media pluralism represent a		
central pillar of the Union		
framework for upholding the rule		
of law and the state of media		
freedom and media pluralism is		
examined annually through the		
Commission's annual rule of law		
report. The protection of		
	Member States. Unioninstruments for that purpose haveexpanded to include, in addition toprocedure set out in Article 7TEU, new frameworks such as theCommission's annual rule of lawreport and Regulation (EU,Euratom) 2020/2092 of theEuropean Parliament and of theCouncil ^{1a} . The functionality ofrule of law systems is directlyinterlinked with free andpluralistic media. Media freedomand media pluralism represent acentral pillar of the Unionframework for upholding the ruleof law and the state of mediafreedom and media pluralism isexamined annually through theCommission's annual rule of law	Member States. Unioninstruments for that purpose haveexpanded to include, in addition toprocedure set out in Article 7TEU, new frameworks such as theCommission's annual rule of lawreport and Regulation (EU,Euratom) 2020/2092 of theEuropean Parliament and of theCouncill'a. The functionality ofrule of law systems is directlyinterlinked with free andpluralistic media. Media freedomand media pluralism represent acentral pillar of the Unionframework for upholding the ruleof law and the state of mediafreedom and media pluralism isexamined annually through theCommission's annual rule of law



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	journalistic sources, guarantees		
	for editorial independence and a		
	robust protection system against		
	<u>the abusive use of certain</u>		
	measures and technologies are		
	essential for upholding the		
	<u>Union's rule of law framework.</u>		
	Actions that put the freedom and		
	<u>pluralism of the media at risk,</u>		
	such as the detention, sanctioning,		
	search, seizure or inspection of		
	<u>media service providers, severely</u>		
	damage the rule of law and		
	therefore should be considered		
	breaches of the principle of the		
	rule of law, thus triggering		
	sanctioning mechanisms provided		
	<u>for by Article 7 TEU and</u>		
	<u>Regulation (EU, Euratom)</u>		
	<u>2020/2092.</u>		

15514/23	
ANNEX	TREE.1.B

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		^{1a} Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2020/2092 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a general regime of conditionality for the protection of the Union budget (OJ L 4331, 22.12.2020, p. 1).		
Recital 1	6b			
26b		(16b) Surveillance methods deployed against journalists and media workers are varied and include the interception of electronic communications and metadata, device or software		



EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
hacking, including denial of		
service attacks, wiretapping,		
bugging, videotaping, geolocation		
tracking via radio-frequency		
identification, the global		
positioning system or cell-site		
data, data mining and social		
media monitoring. Such methods		
could gravely impact journalists'		
and media workers' rights to		
privacy, to the protection of their		
data and to the freedom of		
expression. The protections		
afforded by this Regulation,		
therefore, encompass both current		
forms of digital surveillance and		
future technologies that might		
appear as a result of technological		
innovation. Those protections are		
without prejudice to the		
application of existing and future		
	hacking, including denial of service attacks, wiretapping, bugging, videotaping, geolocation tracking via radio-frequency identification, the global positioning system or cell-site data, data mining and social media monitoring. Such methods could gravely impact journalists? and media workers' rights to privacy, to the protection of their data and to the freedom of expression. The protections afforded by this Regulation, therefore, encompass both current forms of digital surveillance and future technologies that might appear as a result of technological innovation. Those protections are without prejudice to the	hacking, including denial of service attacks, wiretapping, bugging, videotaping, geolocationtracking via radio-frequency identification, the global positioning system or cell-site data, data mining and social media monitoring. Such methods could gravely impact journalists' and media workers' rights to privacy, to the protection of their data and to the freedom of expression. The protections afforded by this Regulation. therefore, encompass both current forms of digital surveillance and future technologies that might appear as a result of technological innovation. Those protections are without prejudice to the



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Union law that restricts or prohibits the development and use of, and trade in, specific surveillance technologies deemed too invasive. Spyware that grants full unlimited access to personal data, including sensitive data, on a device could affect the very essence of the right to privacy and should, therefore, under no circumstance be considered necessary and proportionate under Union law.		
Recital 1	7			
27	(17) The protection of journalistic sources is currently regulated	(17) The protection of journalistic sources <i>and communications</i> is	(17) The protection of journalistic sources is currently regulated	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
heterogeneously in the Member	currently regulated	heterogeneously in the Member	
States. Some Member States	heterogeneously in the Member	States. Some Member States	
provide an absolute protection	States. Some Member States	provide an absolute protection	
against coercing journalists to	provide an absolute protection	against coercing journalists to	
disclose information that identify	against coercing journalists to	disclose information that identify	
their source in criminal and	disclose information that identify	their source in criminal and	
administrative proceedings. Other	their source in criminal and	administrative proceedings. Other	
Member States provide a qualified	administrative proceedings. Other	Member States provide a qualified	
protection confined to judicial	Member States provide a qualified	protection confined to judicial	
proceedings based on certain	protection confined to judicial	proceedings based on certain	
criminal charges, while others	proceedings based on certain	criminal charges, while others	
provide protection in the form of a	criminal charges, while others	provide protection in the form of a	
general principle. This leads to	provide protection in the form of a	general principle. This leads to	
fragmentation in the internal media	general principle. In spite of	fragmentation in the internal media	
market. As a result, journalists,	existing standards codified by the	market. As a result, Moreover,	
which work increasingly on cross-	Council of Europe and of	media professionals, in	
border projects and provide their	established case law by the	particular journalists , which and	
services to cross-border audiences,	European Court of Human	other media professionals	
and by extension providers of	Rights, practical examples from	involved in editorial activities,	
media services, are likely to face	several Member States have	work increasingly on cross-border	
barriers, legal uncertainty and	revealed that there are very	projects and provide their services	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
uneven conditions of competition.	different approaches to the matter	to cross-border audiences, and by	
Therefore, the protection of	and that journalistic sources are	extension providers of media	
journalistic sources and	not protected in some situations.	services. As a result, media	
communications needs	This leads to fragmentation in the	service providers , are likely to	
harmonisation and further	internal media market. As a result,	face barriers, legal uncertainty and	
strengthening at Union level.	journalists, which work	uneven conditions of competition.	
	increasingly on cross-border	Therefore, the protection of	
	projects and provide their services	journalistic sources-and	
	to cross-border audiences, and by	communications needs	
	extension providers of media	harmonisation and further	
	services, are likely to face barriers,	strengthening at Union level. This	
	legal uncertainty and uneven	should be without prejudice to	
	conditions of competition.	further or absolute protection at	
	Therefore, the protection of	national level.	
	journalistic sources and		
	communications needs		
	harmonisation and further		
	strengtheningto be strengthened as		
	comprehensively and as		
	extensively as possible. To that		
	end, this Regulation harmonises		
	uneven conditions of competition. Therefore, the protection of journalistic sources and communications needs harmonisation and further	uneven conditions of competition.different approaches to the matterTherefore, the protection ofand that journalistic sources arejournalistic sources andnot protected in some situations.communications needsThis leads to fragmentation in theharmonisation and furtherinternal media market. As a result,strengthening at Union level.journalists, which workincreasingly on cross-borderprojects and provide their servicesto cross-border audiences, and byextension providers of mediaservices, are likely to face barriers,legal uncertainty and unevenconditions of competition.Therefore, the protection ofjournalistic sources andcommunications needsharmonisation and furtherstrengthening to be strengthened ascommunications needsharmonisation and further	uneven conditions of competition.different approaches to the matter and that journalistic sources are and that journalistic sources are ournalistic sources andto cross-border audiences, and by extension providers of mediajournalistic sources andnot protected in some situations. This leads to fragmentation in the internal media market. As a result, journalistic sources andservices. As a result, mediastrengthening at Union level.journalists, which workuneven conditions of competition. increasingly on cross-borderprojects and provide their services to cross-border audiences, and by extension providers of mediaeommunications needskarbonisation and furtherto cross-border audiences, and by extension providers of mediakarbonisation and furtherincreasingly on cross-borderTherefore, the protection of journalistic sources and



EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the standard of protection		
provided to journalistic sources		
and communications by		
introducing minimum rules at		
Union level. An interference with		
journalistic sources always needs		
to be balanced against the harm to		
the freedom of expression and		
information. Any measures which		
interfere with journalistic sources		
should be subject to appeal to a		
court. Journalists working on		
cross-border projects should		
benefit from the highest standards		
of protection of the Member States		
involved. At Union level, the		
protection of journalistic sources		
and communications should		
correspond, as minimum, to the		
protection provided in accordance		
with international and European		
	the standard of protectionprovided to journalistic sourcesand communications byintroducing minimum rules atUnion level. An interference withjournalistic sources always needsto be balanced against the harm tothe freedom of expression andinformation. Any measures whichinterfere with journalistic sourcesshould be subject to appeal to acourt. Journalists working oncross-border projects shouldbenefit from the highest standardsof protection of the Member Statesinvolved. At Union level, theprotection of journalistic sourcesand communications shouldcorrespond, as minimum, to theprotection provided in accordance	the standard of protectionprovided to journalistic sourcesand communications byintroducing minimum rules atUnion level. An interference withjournalistic sources always needsto be balanced against the harm tothe freedom of expression andinformation. Any measures whichinterfere with journalistic sourcesshould be subject to appeal to acourt. Journalists working oncross-border projects shouldbenefit from the highest standardsof protection of the Member Statesinvolved. At Union level, theprotection of journalistic sourcesand communications shouldcorrespond, as minimum, to theprotection provided in accordance



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		standards and should be in accordance with the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union and the European Court of Human Rights.		
Recital 1	.7a			
27a		(17a) Digital safety and the confidentiality of electronic communications have become a major concern for journalists and media workers. In light of that fact, the promotion and protection of anonymisation tools and end- to-end encrypted services used by media service providers and their		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		employees needs to be encouraged at Union level in order to ensure an equal level of access to such equipment across all Member States. Those tools have become vital for them to freely exercise their work and their rights to privacy, to data protection and to the freedom of expression, including by securing their communications and protecting the confidentiality of their sources.		
Recital 1	L7a	I		
27ь			(17a) Intrusive surveillance software, commonly referred to	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		as 'spyware', represents a	
		particularly invasive form of	
		surveillance over media	
		professionals and their sources.	
		It can be deployed to secretly	
		record calls or otherwise use the	
		microphone of an end-user	
		device, film or photograph	
		natural persons, machines or	
		their surroundings, copy	
		messages, track browsing	
		activity, track geolocation or	
		collect other sensor data or track	
		activities across multiple end-	
		user devices, without the natural	
		or legal person concerned being	
		made aware in a specific manner	
		and having given their express	
		specific consent in that regard. It	
		has dissuasive effects on the free	
		exercise of the economic	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		activities in the media sector. It	
		jeopardises, in particular, the	
		trusted relationship of	
		journalists with their sources,	
		which is the core of the	
		journalistic profession. Given the	
		digital and intrusive nature of	
		spyware and the use of devices	
		across borders, it has a	
		particularly detrimental impact	
		on the exercise of the economic	
		activities of media service	
		providers in the internal market.	
		It is therefore necessary to	
		ensure that media service	
		providers, including journalists,	
		operating in the internal media	
		market rely on a robust	
		harmonised protection in	
		relation to the deployment of	
		spyware in the Union. In	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		particular, the deployment of	
		spyware should only take place if	
		it is justified by an overriding	
		requirement in the public	
		interest and provided for in	
		national law and is in compliance	
		with Article 52(1) of the Charter	
		as interpreted by the Court of	
		Justice and other Union law and	
		occurs in investigations of	
		offences referred to in Article	
		2(2) of the Council Framework	
		Decision 2002/584/JHA ⁷ , and	
		punishable in the Member State	
		concerned by a custodial	
		sentence or a detention order for	
		a maximum period of at least	
		three years or other specific	
		offences punishable in the	
		Member State concerned by a	
		custodial sentence or a detention	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			order for a maximum period of at least five years, as determined by the law of that Member State. 	
Recital 1	8			
28	(18) Public service media established by the Member States	(18) Public service media established by the Member States	(18) Public service media established by the Member States	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
play a particular role in the internal	play a particular role in the internal	play a particular role in the internal	
media market, by ensuring that	media market <u>and in safeguarding</u>	media market, by ensuring that	
citizens and businesses have access	media pluralism, by ensuring that	citizens and businesses have access	
to quality information and	citizens and businesses have access	to quality information and	
impartial media coverage, as part	to <u>a diverse content offer,</u>	impartial and balanced media	
of their mission. However, public	including quality information and	coverage, as part of their	
service media can be particularly	impartial media coverage, as part	missionremit as defined at	
exposed to the risk of interference,	of their mission remit. They provide	national level in line with	
given their institutional proximity	a forum for public discussion and	Protocol No 29 on the system of	
to the State and the public funding	a means of promoting the broader	public broadcasting in the	
they receive. This risk may be	democratic participation of	Member States, annexed to the	
exacerbated by uneven safeguards	individuals. That is why media	Treaty on European Union	
related to independent governance	pluralism can only be guaranteed	(TEU) and the Treaty on the	
and balanced coverage by public	by a proper diversity reflected in	Functioning of the European	
service media across the Union.	the content offer of public service	Union (TFEU). They play an	
This situation may lead to biased or	<u>media. Independence of public</u>	important role in upholding the	
partial media coverage, distort	service media is particularly	fundamental right to freedom of	
competition in the internal media	important during electoral periods	expression and information,	
market and negatively affect access	to ensure citizens have access to	enabling people to seek and	
to independent and impartial media	impartial and quality information	receive diverse information, and	
services. It is thus necessary,	However, public service media can	promoting the values of	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
building on the international	be particularly exposed to the risk	democracy, cultural diversity	
standards developed by the Council	of interference, given their	and social cohesion. However,	
of Europe in this regard, to put in	institutional proximity to the State	public service media can be	
place legal safeguards for the	and the public funding they	particularly exposed to the risk of	
independent functioning of public	receive, which might expose them	interference, given their	
service media across the Union. It	to additional vulnerabilities	institutional proximity to the State	
is also necessary to guarantee that,	compared to other players in the	and the public funding they	
without prejudice to the application	internal media market to the	receive. This risk may beis	
of the Union's State aid rules,	extent that they threaten their	exacerbated by uneven safeguards	
public service media providers	existence. This risk may be	related to independent governance	
benefit from sufficient and stable	exacerbated by uneven safeguards	and balanced coverage bybalanced	
funding to fulfil their mission that	related to independent governance	coverage by and independent	
enables predictability in their	and balanced coverage by public	governance of public service	
planning. Preferably, such funding	service media across the Union.	media across in the Union. This	
should be decided and appropriated	This s<i>ituation</i> risk can also result	situation may lead to biased or	
on a multi-year basis, in line with	in politically appointed senior	partial media coverage, distort	
the public service mission of public	management exerting pressure on	competition in the internalBoth the	
service media providers, to avoid	the editorial independence of	communication from the	
potential for undue influence from	journalists and editors-in-chief for	Commission of 13 July 2022,	
yearly budget negotiations. The	political or economic interests.	entitled "2022 Rule of Law	
requirements laid down in this	Those situations may lead to	Report", and the 2022 Media	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Regulation do not affect the	biased or partial media coverage,	market and negatively affect access	
competence of Member States to	distort competition in the internal	to independent and	
provide for the funding of public	media market and negatively affect	impartialPluralism Monitor by	
service media as enshrined in	access to independent and impartial	the Centre for Media Pluralism	
Protocol 29 on the system of public	media services. It is thus necessary,	and Media services. It is thus	
broadcasting in the Member States,	building on the international	necessary, building on the	
annexed to the Treaty on European	standards developed by the Council	international standards	
Union and to the Treaty on the	of Europe in this regard, to put in	developedFreedom, confirm the	
Functioning of the European	place legal safeguards for the	fragmentation of such safeguards	
Union.	independent functioning of public	and point to risks stemming from	
	service media across the Union.	inadequate funding. As shown by	
	The management of public service	the Council of Europe in this	
	<u>media providers should be</u>	regard, to put in place legal	
	independent, impartial and free	safeguards European Audiovisual	
	<u>from political or economic</u>	Observatory in their 2022 report	
	interests. There should be clear	'Governance and independence	
	rules for any conflicts of interest	of public service media' (the	
	on the part of the management of	'EAO report'), guarantees for the	
	public media service providers.	independent functioning of public	
	The persons or bodies constituting	service media vary across the	
	the highest decision-making	Union. It is also necessary to	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	authority within public service media providers should be appointed, and, if necessary, dismissed in accordance with predictable, transparent, non- discriminatory, gender-balanced and objective criteria, ensuring the qualification of persons filling those positions. It is also necessary to guarantee that, without prejudice to the application of the Union's State aid rules, public service media providers benefit from sufficient and stable funding to fulfil their <i>missionremit</i> that enables predictability in their planning. <i>Preferably</i> , allows them to develop offerings for new areas of interest to the public or new content and forms and evolve technologically in order to	guarantee that, without prejudice to the application of, with differences in their scope and the level of detail in national approaches. In particular, legal frameworks to ensure balanced coverage by public service media vary across the Union. Moreover, rules vary across the Union's State aid rules, as regards the appointment and dismissal of the management of public service media. For instance, most national legal orders set out several grounds for dismissal while others do not provide for any specific rules. Where rules exist, in some cases they are insufficient or are not effective in practice. There are also cases of legislative reforms	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	maintain a competitive position on	in Member States increasing the	
	<u>the internal media market.</u> Such	governmental control of	
	funding should be decided and	providers benefit from sufficient	
	appropriated on the basis of	and stable funding to fulfil their	
	<u>predictable, transparent,</u>	mission that enables predictability	
	independent, impartial and non-	in their planning. Preferably, such	
	<u>discriminatory procedures, on a</u>	funding should be decided and	
	multi-year basis, in line with the	appropriated on a multi-year basis,	
	public service missionremit of	in line with the public service	
	public service media providers, to	mission of media, including as	
	avoid potential for undue influence	regards the appointment of the	
	from yearly budget negotiations	members of the board governing	
	<u>The transparency</u> . The	public service media. Approaches	
	requirements laid down in this	to ensuring funding adequacy	
	Regulation do not affect the	and predictability for public	
	competence of Member States to	service media also diverge across	
	provide for the funding of public	the Member States. Where	
	service media as enshrined in	safeguards do not exist or are	
	Protocol 29 on the system of public	insufficient, there are risks of	
	broadcasting in the Member States	political interference in the	
	, annexed to the Treaty on	editorial line or governance of	



Co	mmission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		European Union and to the Treaty	public service media. Non-	
		on the Functioning of the European	existent or insufficient	
		Union, (the 'Amsterdam	safeguards for independence	
		<u>Protocol')</u> .	may also lead to lack of stability	
			in funding, thus exposing public	
			service media to the risk of	
			(further) political control. This	
			may lead to cases of partial	
			reporting or biased media	
			coverage by providers, to avoid	
			potential for undue influence from	
			yearly budget negotiations. The	
			requirements laid down in this	
			Regulation do not affect the	
			competence of Member States to	
			provide for the funding of public	
			service media, instances of	
			interference by the government	
			in appointments or dismissal of	
			their management, arbitrary	
			adjustments of or unstable	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		funding of such media. All this	
		negatively affects the access to	
		independent and impartial media	
		services, thereby affecting the	
		right to freedom of expression as	
		enshrined in Article 11 of the	
		Charter and may lead to	
		distortion of competition in the	
		internal market for media	
		services, including those	
		established in other Member	
		States as enshrined in Protocol 29	
		on the system of public	
		broadcasting in the Member States,	
		annexed to the Treaty on European	
		Union and to the Treaty on the	
		Functioning of the European	
		Union.	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 18a				
28a		(18a) For the benefit of European audiences, public service media providers should promote media pluralism and contribute to making media markets more robust. They should offer an extensive array of content catering to diverse interests, perspectives and demographics, encompassing all segments of society, including minorities.		
Recital 18a				
28b				

15514/23		ATR/fco	98
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(18a) Public service media	
		promote media pluralism and	
		foster competition in the media	
		sector, by producing a wide	
		range of content that caters to	
		various interests, perspectives,	
		and demographics, and offering	
		alternative viewpoints and	
		programming options, making	
		available a rich and unique offer.	
		Public service media providers	
		compete with private media	
		companies and online platforms,	
		including those established in	
		other Member States, for	
		audiences and, where applicable,	
		for advertising resources. This	
		concerns commercial	
		broadcasters, in both the	
		audiovisual and radio sub-	
		sectors, and publishers, and is	
		_	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		particularly true in the current	
		digital media environment, in	
		which all media expand into the	
		online sphere and increasingly	
		provide their services across	
		borders. Where this dual and	
		competitive media market, which	
		is distinctive for large parts of	
		the Union, is functioning well, it	
		ensures a diverse and qualitative	
		supply of media services in all	
		subsectors. However, where	
		public funding does not serve to	
		fulfil the remit benefiting all	
		viewers but to serve partisan	
		views, due to political	
		interference in governance and	
		the editorial line it may affect	
		trading conditions and	
		competition in the Union to an	
		extent contrary to the common	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		interest. The Court of First	
		Instance has confirmed that	
		"public service broadcasting can	
		have its State funding declared to	
		be compliant with the provisions	
		of the Treaty on State aid only	
		inasmuch as the qualitative	
		requirements set out in the	
		public service remit are complied	
		with" ⁸ .	
		8. Judgment of the Court of	
		First Instance of 26 June 2008,	
		SIC v. Commission, T-442/03,	
		ECLI:EU:T:2008:228,	
		paragraph 211.	
Recital 18b			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
28c		(18b) Article 5(2) should not apply to a media service provider that is part of a group of which the securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market of any Member State and of which the total revenues linked to the public service remit represent less than 10 % of the consolidated media related revenue of such group at the time at which this Regulation enters into force.		
Recital 1	8b			
28d			(18b) While risks of what is	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		commonly referred to as 'media	
		capture' are relevant for the	
		entire market for media services,	
		public service media are	
		particularly exposed to such	
		risks, given their proximity to the	
		state. Diverging or insufficient	
		safeguards for the independent	
		functioning of public service	
		media providers may prevent or	
		disincentivise media service	
		providers from other Member	
		States to operate in or enter a	
		given media market. While	
		independent media companies	
		invest their resources in high-	
		quality reporting complying with	
		journalistic standards, certain	
		"captured" public service media	
		providers not adhering to such	
		standards may provide	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		imbalanced reporting, while	
		being subsidised by the State.	
		The competitive advantage that	
		independent media may obtain	
		through independent reporting,	
		could be lessened as such public	
		service media retain their market	
		position despite the lack of	
		fulfilment of their public service	
		remit. Politicised media markets	
		can affect advertising markets as	
		a whole, as businesses have to	
		factor in politics in addition to	
		devising effective advertising	
		campaigns. If public service	
		media, which tend to be	
		considered as trusted sources of	
		information, provide biased	
		coverage on the political or	
		economic situation or concerning	
		specific economic actors, this	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		may also reduce the ability of	
		companies to inform themselves	
		properly about the economic	
		situation in a given market and	
		thus taking informed business	
		decisions, adversely impacting	
		the functioning of the internal	
		market as a whole. Finally, as a	
		result of biased reporting by	
		certain "captured" public service	
		media in some Member States,	
		citizens may turn to alternative	
		sources of information, in	
		particular those available on	
		online platforms, which further	
		distorts the level playing field	
		between professional media and	
		such global platforms.	
		Such Broom Protor into	

15514/23		
ANNEX		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
Recital 18c								
28e			(18c) It is thus necessary that Member States, building on the international standards developed by the Council of Europe in this regard, put in place legal safeguards for the independent functioning of public service media across the Union, without prejudice to national constitutional laws consistent with the Charter. This should include principles, such as those that exist in national administrative or corporate law frameworks, as applicable also to private listed companies, for the appointment and dismissal of the	(18a) Council: this new recital 18c is part of recital 18				



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		persons or bodies which have a	
		role in determining editorial	
		policies and constitute the	
		highest decision-making	
		authority in this respect within	
		the public service media	
		provider, which should be set out	
		at national level. It is also	
		necessary to guarantee that,	
		without prejudice to the	
		application of the Union's State	
		aid rules, public service media	
		providers benefit from	
		transparent and objective	
		funding procedures, which seek	
		to guarantee adequate and stable	
		financial resources for the	
		fulfilment of their public service	
		remit and enable predictability	
		in their planning. Preferably,	
		such funding should be decided	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
			and appropriated on a multi- year basis, in line with the public service mission of public service media providers, to avoid potential for undue influence from yearly budget negotiations. The requirements laid down in this Regulation do not affect the competence of Member States to provide for the funding of public service media as enshrined in the Protocol No 29.					
Recital 19								
29	(19) It is crucial for the recipients of media services to know with certainty who owns and is behind	(19) It is crucial for the recipients of media services to know with certainty who owns and is behind	(19) It is crucial for the recipients of media services to know with certainty who owns and is behind					



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the news media so that they can	the news media so that they can	the news-media so that they can	
identify and understand potential	identify and understand potential	identify and understand potential	
conflicts of interest which is a	conflicts of interest which is a	conflicts of interest which is a	
prerequisite for forming well-	prerequisite for forming well-	prerequisite for forming well-	
informed opinions and	informed opinions and	informed opinions and	
consequently to actively participate	consequently to actively participate	consequently to actively participate	
in a democracy. Such transparency	in a democracy. Such transparency	in a democracy. Such transparency	
is also an effective tool to limit	is <u>, <i>therefore</i>, also an effective tool</u>	is also an effective tool to	
risks of interference with editorial	to limit risks of interference with	disincentivise and thus to limit	
independence. It is thus necessary	editorial independence. It is thus	risks of interference with editorial	
to introduce common information	necessary to introduce common	independence. Furthermore, it	
requirements for all relevant media	information requirements for all	contributes to an open and fair	
service providers across the Union	relevant media service providers	market environment and	
that should include proportionate	exercising editorial responsibility	enhances media accountability	
requirements to disclose ownership	across the Union that should	vis-à-vis the general public,	
information. In this context, the	include proportionate requirements	ultimately contributing to the	
measures taken by Member States	to disclose ownership information.	quality of media services in the	
under Article 30(9) of Directive	In this context, the measures taken	internal market. It is thus	
(EU) $2015/849^1$ should not be	by Member States under Article	necessary to introduce common	
affected. The required information	30(9) of Directive (EU) 2015/849 ¹	information requirements for all	
should be disclosed by the relevant	should not be affected. The	relevant-media service providers	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
providers on their websites or other	required information should be	across the Union that should	
medium that is easily and directly	disclosed by the relevant providers	include proportionate requirements	
accessible.	on their websites or other medium	to disclose ownership information.	
	that is easily and directly	These requirements should be	
	accessible <u>in a user-friendly</u>	limited to disclosing the legal	
1. Directive (EU) 2015/849 of	<u>format. It is therefore necessary</u>	name of the media service	
the European Parliament and of	<u>that Member States entrust a</u>	provider, the details which allow	
the Council of 20 May 2015 on	<u>relevant national regulatory</u>	the provider to be contacted	
	<u>authority or body with monitoring</u>	rapidly in a direct and effective	
the prevention of the use of the	compliance with such information	manner, such as the professional	
financial system for the	requirements and with developing	email address or website, as well	
purposes of money laundering	and maintaining a media	as the names of direct, indirect	
or terrorist financing, amending	ownership database. That national	and beneficial owners. Such	
Regulation (EU) No 648/2012	regulatory authority or body	information is necessary for the	
of the European Parliament and	should be able to request and	recipients of media services to	
of the Council, and repealing	receive additional information	understand and be able to	
Directive 2005/60/EC of the	<u>from media service providers</u>	enquire about potential conflicts	
European Parliament and of the	relevant to its tasks. To further	of interest, as a pre-condition for	
Council and Commission	strengthen and guarantee the	their ability to assess the	
	accessibility and uniformity of the	reliability of information they	
Directive 2006/70/EC (OJ L	information available to recipients	receive and their right to receive	

Commission	Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission 141, 5.6.2015, p. 7	73-117). of sh Eu on 1. the the the the fir pu or Re of of Di Eu	EP Mandate	Council Mandate impartial media coverage. This can only be achieved if the recipients of media services have user friendly and up-to-date media ownership information at their disposal at the time they are viewing, listening or reading media content, so that they can put the content in the right context and form the right impression of it. Thus, the disclosure to the general public of limited media ownership information in the form of only the names of media service providers and their owners would produce benefits clearly outweighing any possible impact of the disclosure obligation on fundamental rights, including the	Draft Agreement
	Di	irective 2006/70/EC (OJ L	right to private and family life	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	141, 5.6.2015, p. 73-117).	and the right to protection of	
		personal data. In this context, the	
		measures taken by Member States	
		under Article 30(9) of Directive	
		(EU) 2015/849 ⁴⁹ should not be	
		affected. The required information	
		should be disclosed by the relevant	
		providers in an electronic format,	
		for instance on their websites, or	
		other medium that is easily and	
		directly accessible.	
		1. Directive (EU) 2015/849 of	
		the European Parliament and of	
		the Council of 20 May 2015 on	
		the prevention of the use of the	
		financial system for the	
		purposes of money laundering	
		or terrorist financing, amending	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Regulation (EU) No 648/2012	
		of the European Parliament and	
		of the Council, and repealing	
		Directive 2005/60/EC of the	
		European Parliament and of the	
		Council and Commission	
		Directive 2006/70/EC (OJ L	
		141, 5.6.2015, p. 73-117).	
		9. Directive (EU) 2015/849 of	
		the European Parliament and	
		of the Council of 20 May 2015	
		on the prevention of the use of	
		the financial system for the	
		purposes of money laundering	
		or terrorist financing,	
		amending Regulation (EU) No	
		648/2012 of the European	
		Parliament and of the	
		Council, and repealing	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directive 2006/70/EC (OJ L 141, 5.6.2015, p. 73-117).	
Recital 1	9a			
29a		(19a) Public access to certain contact details, ownership information and information on state advertising and state financial support allocated to media service providers is essential so that the recipients of media services can understand and scrutinise potential conflicts of interest, contributing at the		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		same time to preserving trust and facilitating the timely and efficient availability of information for national regulatory authorities or bodies or the Board. Nevertheless, in order to mitigate possible administrative burden, certain categories of data should be provided only in duly justified cases, in a proportionate and balanced manner and to guarantee the rights to respect for private life and the protection of personal data.		
Recital 2	0			
30	(20) Media integrity also requires	(20) Media integrity also requires	(20) Media integrity also requires	





Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
a proactive approach to promote	a proactive approach to promote	a proactive approach to promote	
editorial independence by news	editorial independence by news<mark>can</mark>	editorial independence by news	
media companies, in particular	be supported by promoting and	media companies, in particular	
through internal safeguards. Media	ensuring journalistic standards	through internal safeguards. Media	
service providers should adopt	across the Union and by	service providers should adopt	
proportionate measures to	promoting and ensuring the	proportionate measures to	
guarantee, once the overall	<u>editorial independence of</u> media	guarantee , once the overall	
editorial line has been agreed	companies service providers, in	editorial line has been agreed	
between their owners and editors,	particular through internal	between their owners and editors,	
the freedom of the editors to take	safeguards <u>, <i>in order to guarantee</i></u>	the freedom of the editors to take	
individual decisions in the course	that information is trustworthy	individualeditorial decisions in the	
of their professional activity. The	and that any ideological	course of their professional	
objective to shield editors from	orientation is limited by the	activity within the established	
undue interference in their	absolute requirement to report the	editorial line of the media service	
decisions taken on specific pieces	news and opinions truthfully and	provider. The objective to shield	
of content as part of their everyday	ethically. Media service providers	editors from undue interference in	
work contributes to ensuring a	should adopt proportionate	theireditorial decisions taken on	
level playing field in the internal	measures to guarantee <mark>, <i>once the</i></mark>	specific pieces of content as part of	
market for media services and the	overall editorial line has been	their everyday workfrom undue	
quality of such services. That	agreed between their owners and	interference contributes to	
objective is also in conformity with	editors, the freedom the freedom of	ensuring a level playing field in the	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the fundamental right to receive	editors and editors-in-chief to take	internal market for media services	
and impart information under	<u>editorial decisions, on the basis</u> of	and the quality of such services.	
Article 11 of the Charter. In view	the editors to take individual	That objective is also in conformity	
of these considerations, media	decisions established editorial line,	with the fundamental right to	
service providers should also	in the course of their professional	receive and impart information	
ensure transparency of actual or	activity. <i>Those measures should</i>	under Article 11 of the Charter. In	
potential conflicts of interest to	not only reinforce the safeguards	view of these considerations,	
their service recipients.	for freedom of the media but also	media service providers should	
	<u>freedom within the media.</u> The	also ensure transparency of actual	
	objective to shield editors and	or potential conflicts of interest to	
	editors-in-chief from undue	their service recipients.	
	interference in their decisions taken		
	on specific pieces of content as part		
	of their everyday work contributes		
	to ensuring a level playing field in		
	the internal market for media		
	services and the quality of such		
	services. That objective is also in		
	conformity with the fundamental		
	right to receive and impart		
	information under Article 11 of the		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Charter <u>and with Resolution 1003</u> (1993) of the Council of Europe. In view of these considerations, media service providers should also ensure transparency of and disclose any actual or potential conflicts of interest to their service recipients <u>and ensure that their</u> owners, publishers and management follow the highest professional standards with respect to editorial integrity and independence.		
Recital 2	1			
31	(21) To mitigate regulatory burdens, micro enterprises within	(21) <i>To mitigate regulatory</i> <i>burdens, micro enterprises within</i>	(21) To mitigate regulatory burdens, micro enterprises within	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the meaning of Article 3 of	the meaning of Article 3 of	the meaning of Article 3 of	
Directive 2013/34/EU of the	Directive 2013/34/EU of the	Directive 2013/34/EU of the	
European Parliament and of the	European Parliament and of the	European Parliament and of the	
Council ¹ should be exempted from	Council ⁺ should be exempted from	Council⁺media service providers	
the requirements related to	the requirements related to	should be exempted from the	
information and internal safeguards	information and internal	requirements related to information	
with a view to guaranteeing the	safeguards with a view to	andfree to tailor the internal	
independence of individual	guaranteeing the independence of	safeguards with a view to	
editorial decisions. Moreover,	individual editorial decisions.	guaranteeing the independence of	
media service providers should be	Moreover, Media service providers	individual editorial decisions.	
free to tailor the internal safeguards	should adopt internal safeguards	Moreover, media service providers	
to their needs, in particular if they	in line with their structures and	should be free to tailor the internal	
are small and medium-sized	needs. The Recommendation that	safeguards to their needs, in	
enterprises within the meaning of	accompanies this Regulation ²	particular if they are micro-, small	
that Article. The Recommendation	provides a catalogue of voluntary	andor medium-sized	
that accompanies this Regulation ²	internal safeguards that could be	enterprisesundertakings within the	
provides a catalogue of voluntary	considered within media	meaning of that ArticleArticle 3 of	
internal safeguards that can be	companies in this regard. This	Directive 2013/34/EU of the	
adopted within media companies in	Regulation should not be	European Parliament and of the	
this regard. The present Regulation	construed to the effect of	Council ¹⁰ . The Recommendation	
should not be construed to the	depriving the owners of private	that accompanies this Regulation ²¹¹	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
effect of depriving the owners of private media service providers of their prerogative to set strategic or general goals and to foster the growth and financial viability of	media service providers should be free to tailor the internal safeguards toof their prerogative to decide on the composition of their editorial teams or on their	provides a catalogue of voluntary internal safeguards that can be adopted within media companies in this regard. The presentThis Regulation should not be construed	
their undertakings. In this respect, this Regulation recognises that the goal of fostering editorial independence needs to be reconciled with the legitimate	needs, in particular if they are small and medium-sized enterprises within the meaning of that Article. The Recommendation that accompanies <u>editorial line, to</u>	to the effect of depriving the owners of private media service providers of their prerogative to set strategic or general goals and to foster the growth and financial	
rights and interests of private media owners.	set strategic or general goals and to foster the growth and financial viability of their undertakings. <u>However</u> , this Regulation ² provides	viability of their undertakings. In this respect, this Regulation recognisesshould recognise that the goal of fostering editorial	
 1. Directive 2013/34/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on the annual financial statements, consolidated financial statements and related reports of 	a catalogue of voluntary internal safeguards that can be adopted within <u>should also not be</u> <u>construed as meaning that the</u> <u>owner or corporate manager of a</u> media companies in this regard. <u>The present Regulation should not</u>	 independence needs to be reconciled with the legitimate rights and interests of private media owners, such as the right to determine the editorial line of the media service provider and shape the composition of their 	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission Proposalcertain types of undertakings, amending Directive 2006/43/R of the European Parliament ar of the Council and repealing Council Directives 78/660/EE and 83/349/EEC (OJ L 182, 29.6.2013, p. 19-76).2. OJ C , , p	be construed to the effect of depriving the owners of private media service providers of their prerogative to set strategic or apparel goals and to foster the	Council Mandateeditorial teams	Draft Agreement
	media owners.	of the Council of 26 June 2013	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 22		 <i>1. Directive 2013/34/EU of the</i> <i>European Parliament and of the</i> <i>Council of 26 June 2013 on the</i> <i>annual financial statements,</i> <i>consolidated financial</i> <i>statements and related reports</i> <i>of certain types of undertakings,</i> <i>amending Directive 2006/43/EC</i> <i>of the European Parliament and</i> <i>of the Council and repealing</i> <i>Council Directives 78/660/EEC</i> <i>and 83/349/EEC (OJ L 182,</i> <i>29.6.2013, p. 19-76).</i> 2. OJ C , , p 	on the annual financial statements, consolidated financial statements and related reports of certain types of undertakings, amending Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC (OJ L 182, 29.6.2013, p. 19-76). 2. OJ C , , p 11. OJ C , , p	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	 (22) Independent national regulatory authorities or bodies are key for the proper application of media law across the Union. National regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU are best 	 (22) Independent national regulatory authorities or bodies are key for the proper application of media law across the Union. National regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU are best 	(22) Independent national regulatory authorities or bodies are key for the proper application of media law across the Union. While national regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU often do not	
32	placed to ensure the correct application of the requirements	placed to ensure the correct application of the requirements	have competences related to the press sector, they are best placed	
	related to regulatory cooperation and a well-functioning market for	related to regulatory cooperation and a well-functioning market for	to ensure the correct application of the requirements related to	
	media services, envisaged in Chapter III of this Regulation. In	media services, envisaged in Chapter III of this Regulation. In	regulatory cooperation and a well- functioning market for media	
	order to ensure a consistent application of this Regulation and	order to ensure a consistent application of this Regulation and	services , envisaged in Chapter III of this Regulation. In order to	
	other Union media law, it is necessary to set up an independent	other Union media law, it is necessary <u>that national regulatory</u>	ensure a consistent application of in general, as envisaged in this	
	advisory body at Union level gathering such authorities or bodies	<u>authorities or bodies hold</u> <u>consultations with representatives</u>	Regulation and other Union media law, it is necessary to set up an	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
and coordinating their actions. The	of media service providers, civil	independent advisory body at	
European Regulators Group for	society organisations, media	Union level gathering such.	
Audiovisual Media Services	experts, representatives of	National regulatory authorities or	
(ERGA), established by Directive	academia, trade union	bodies and coordinating their	
2010/13/EU, has been essential in	associations and associations of	actions. The European Regulators	
promoting the consistent	journalists. In addition, it is	Group for Audiovisual Media	
implementation of that Directive.	necessary to set up an independent	Services (ERGA), established by	
The European Board for Media	advisory body at Union level	Directive 2010/13/EU, has been	
Services ('the Board') should	gathering such authorities or bodies	essential in promoting the	
therefore build on ERGA and	and coordinating their actions. The	consistent implementation of that	
replace it. This requires a targeted	European Regulators Group for	Directive. The European Board for	
amendment of Directive	Audiovisual Media Services	Media Services ('the	
2010/13/EU to delete its Article	(ERGA), established by Directive	Board')should have the resources	
30b, which establishes ERGA, and	2010/13/EU, has been essential in	necessary for the fulfilment of	
to replace references to ERGA and	promoting the consistent	their tasks in terms of staffing,	
its tasks as a consequence. The	implementation of that Directive.	expertise, and financial means.	
amendment of Directive	The European Board for Media	They should be provided with	
2010/13/EU by this Regulation is	Services ('the Board') should	technical resources, for instance	
justified in this case as it is limited	therefore build on ERGA and	relevant digital tools. They	
to a provision which does not need	replace it. This requires a targeted	should therefore build on ERGA	
to be transposed by Member States	amendment of Directive	and replace it. This requires a	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
and is addressed to the institutions	2010/13/EU to delete its Article	targeted amendment of Directive	
of the Union.	30b, which establishes ERGA, and	2010/13/EU to delete its Article	
	to replace references to ERGA and	30b also have appropriate	
	its tasks as a consequence. The	powers, in particular to request	
	amendment of Directive	information from any natural or	
	2010/13/EU by this Regulation is	legal person to which this	
	justified in this case as it is limited	Regulation applies, or which, for	
	to a provision which does not need	purposes related to their trade,	
	to be transposed by Member States	business or profession, may	
	and is addressed to the institutions	reasonably be in possession of	
	of the Union. National regulatory	the information needed, in	
	authorities or bodies should have	respect of the rights and interest	
	adequate financial and human	of such persons-establishes	
	resources proportional to the	ERGA, and to replace references to	
	additional tasks conferred to them	ERGA and its tasks as a	
	under this Regulation to perform	consequence. The amendment of	
	necessary tasks within Member	Directive 2010/13/EU by this	
	States and enable the independent	Regulation is justified in this case	
	and effective functioning of the	as it is limited to a provision which	
	Board and the application of this	does not need to be transposed by	
	<u>Regulation. National regulatory</u>	Member States and is addressed to	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		authorities or bodies should enjoy full operational autonomy and be independent of any political and economic interference. The independence of national regulatory authorities or bodies participating in the activities of the Board is a necessary condition for the effective performance of the Board's tasks and the credibility of the Expert Group established by this Regulation.	the institutions of the Union.	
Recital 2	22a			
32a			(22a) In order to ensure a consistent application of this Regulation and other Union	(22a) <u>Council: split from recital</u> 22

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		media law, it is necessary to set	
		up an independent advisory body	
		at Union level gathering such	
		authorities or bodies and	
		coordinating their actions. In the	
		performance of its tasks and the	
		exercise of its powers, this body	
		should neither seek nor take	
		instructions from any	
		government, institution (either	
		national, supranational, or	
		international), and public or	
		private person or body. The	
		European Regulators Group for	
		Audiovisual Media Services	
		(ERGA), established by Directive	
		2010/13/EU, has been essential in	
		promoting the consistent	
		implementation of that Directive.	
		The European Board for Media	
		Services ('the Board') should	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		therefore build on ERGA and replace it. This requires a targeted amendment of Directive 2010/13/EU to delete its Article 30b, which establishes ERGA, and to replace references to ERGA and its tasks as a consequence. The amendment of Directive 2010/13/EU by this Regulation is justified in this case as it is limited to a provision which does not need to be transposed by Member States and is addressed to the institutions of the Union.	
Recital 23			
33			

15514/23		ATR/fco	128
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreemen
(23) The Board should bring	(23) The Board should bring	(23) The Board should bring	
together senior representatives of	together senior representatives of	together senior representatives of	
the national regulatory authorities	the national regulatory authorities	the national regulatory authorities	
or bodies referred to in Article 30	or bodies r<i>eferred to</i> established in	or bodies referred to in Article 30	
of Directive 2010/13/EU,	accordance with the requirements	of Directive 2010/13/EU,	
appointed by such authorities or	set out in Article 30 of Directive	appointed by such authorities or	
bodies. In cases where Member	2010/13/EU , <i>appointed by such</i>	bodies. In cases where Member	
States have several relevant	authorities or bodies . In cases	States have several relevant	
regulatory authorities or bodies,	where Member States have several	regulatory authorities or bodies,	
including at regional level, a joint	relevant regulatory authorities or	including at regional level, a joint	
representative should be chosen	bodies, including at regional level,	representative should be chosen	
through appropriate procedures and	a joint representative should be	through appropriate procedures and	
the voting right should remain	chosen through appropriate	the voting right should remain	
limited to one representative per	procedures and the voting right	limited to one representative per	
Member State. This should not	should remain limited to one	Member State. For the purposes	
affect the possibility for the other	representative per Member State.	of their activities within the	
national regulatory authorities or	This should not affect the	Board, national regulatory	
bodies to participate, as	possibility for the other national	authorities or bodies should be	
appropriate, in the meetings of the	regulatory authorities or bodies or,	able to consult and coordinate	
Board. The Board should also have	where applicable, a common	with relevant competent	
the possibility to invite to attend its	representative of self-regulatory	authorities or bodies and, where	



Commission Pr	oposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
meetings, in agreemen	t with the	or co-regulatory mechanisms to	relevant, with self-regulatory	
Commission, experts a	ind	participate, as appropriate, in the	bodies in their Member States.	
observers, including in	particular	meetings of the Board. The Board	This should not affect the	
regulatory authorities of	or bodies	and the Expert Group should also	possibility for the other national	
from candidate countri	es, potential	have the possibility to invite to	regulatory authorities or bodies to	
candidate countries, El	EA	attend its meetings, external	participate, as appropriate, in the	
countries, or ad hoc de	legates from	experts on a case-by-case basis.	meetings of the Board. The	
other competent nation	nal	The Board should also have the	Commission does not have a	
authorities. Due to the	sensitivity	possibility, in agreement with the	member in the Board should	
of the media sector and	d following	Commission, experts and to	also but designates a	
the practice of ERGA	decisions in	<u>designate permanent</u> observers <u>to</u>	representative without voting	
accordance with its rul	es of	attend its meetings, including in	rights. The Board should have the	
procedure, the Board s	hould adopt	particular regulatory authorities or	possibility to invite, on a case-by-	
its decisions on the bas	sis of a two-	bodies from candidate countries,	case basis, external experts to	
thirds majority of the v	votes.	potential candidate countries, EEA	attend its meetings. It should also	
		countries, or ad hoc delegates from	have the possibility to invite, in	
		other competent national	agreement with the Commission,	
		authorities. Due to the sensitivity	experts and permanent observers,	
		of the media sector and following	including in particular regulatory	
		the practice of ERGA decisions in	authorities or bodies from	
		accordance with its rules of	candidate countries , or potential	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission Proposal	procedure, the Board should adopt its decisions on the basis of a two- thirds majority of <u>of its members</u> <u>with voting rights. The Board's</u> <u>rules of procedure should specify</u> <u>the role and tasks of, and the</u> <u>procedures for the appointment</u> <u>and the term of office of the</u>	candidate countries, EEA countries, or ad hoc delegates from other competent national authorities. Due to the sensitivity of the media sector and following the practice of ERGA decisions in accordance with its rules of procedure, the Board should adopt	Draft Agreement
	members of, the Steering Group.The Steering Group shouldconsist of a chair, a vice-chair, theoutgoing chair and two othermembers. The election of thechair and of the other members ofthe Steering Group should takeinto account the principle of	its decisions on the basis of a two- thirds majority of the votes.	
	geographical balance. <u>Furthermore, in its rules of</u> <u>procedure, the Board should</u> <u>include mechanisms for the</u> <u>prevention and management of</u>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		conflicts of interest, for assessing the independence of the national regulatory authorities or bodies and for temporarily suspending the voting rights of members whose independence has been challengedthe votes.		
Recital 2	За			
33a		(23a) The Board will need to address, in accordance with this Regulation, issues beyond the remit of the ERGA, in particular issues related to press publications, radio, online media. It is thus necessary to establish an Expert Group, consisting of		



EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
experts, media representatives of		
self-regulatory or co-regulatory		
organisations such as journalistic		
associations, media or press		
councils, and representatives of		
civil society, to advise and consult		
the Board on the implementation		
of this Regulation. The		
composition of the Expert Group		
should be determined by the		
Board's rules of procedure and		
reflect the existing self-regulatory		
<u>media frameworks from each</u>		
Member State and different		
sectoral and geographic areas		
within the Member States. In		
addition to representatives from		
the Member States, the Expert		
Group should consist of widely		
recognised and established		
European organisations		
	experts, media representatives of self-regulatory or co-regulatory organisations such as journalistic associations, media or press councils, and representatives of civil society, to advise and consult the Board on the implementation of this Regulation. The composition of the Expert Group should be determined by the Board's rules of procedure and reflect the existing self-regulatory media frameworks from each Member State and different sectoral and geographic areas within the Member States. In addition to representatives from the Member States, the Expert Group should consist of widely recognised and established	experts, media representatives of self-regulatory or co-regulatory organisations such as journalisticassociations, media or press councils, and representatives of civil society, to advise and consult the Board on the implementation of this Regulation. The composition of the Expert Group Should be determined by the Board's rules of procedure and reflect the existing self-regulatory media frameworks from each Member State and different sectoral and geographic areas within the Member States. In addition to representatives from the Member States, the Expert Group should consist of widely recognised and established



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	representing diverse interests from		
	the media sector. The Expert		
	Group should be positioned within		
	the structure of the Board. The		
	Expert Group should advise the		
	Board on the performance of its		
	tasks. The Expert Group should		
	have the necessary autonomy to		
	act independently. The Expert		
	Group should be able to invite, on		
	its own initiative, experts and		
	<u>media representatives, whether in</u>		
	a structured dialogue or		
	otherwise, to help it assess the		
	application of this Regulation and		
	to contribute to its work based on		
	its needs. The Expert Group		
	should be empowered to issue		
	recommendations and draw the		
	Board's attention to possible		
	breaches of this Regulation on its		
	Board's attention to possible		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	own initiative or where requested		
	by the Commission or by the		
	European Parliament. The Expert		
	Group should make its		
	recommendations or reports on		
	the results of consultations with		
	<u>relevant stakeholders publicly</u>		
	available. Such contributions of		
	the Expert Group should provide		
	the Board with adequate		
	information to base its decisions		
	upon them, while complementing		
	and feeding into existing		
	established mechanisms in the		
	Union, such as the Commission's		
	annual rule of law reports or the		
	<u>Media Pluralism Monitor. Such</u>		
	<u>contributions should also enable</u>		
	the Board to deal with outstanding		
	issues. The Board should take into		
	<u>consideration such contributions</u>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		when preparing its annual work programme. The Board should be able to seek advice from the Expert Group whenever it needs analysis and insight from a particular field of expertise. The Board should consult the Expert Group for any opinion or decision the Board takes which relates to issues beyond the audiovisual media sector.		
Recital 2	24	1		·
34	(24) Without prejudice to the powers granted to the Commission by the Treaties, it is essential that the Commission and the Board	(24) Without prejudice to the powers granted to the Commission by the Treaties, it is essential that the Commission and the Board	(24) Without prejudice to the powers granted to the Commission by the Treaties, it is essential that the Commission and the Board	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
work and cooperate closely. In	work and cooperate closely. In	work and cooperate closely. In	
particular, the Board should	particular<mark>Nevertheless</mark>, the	particular, cooperate closely,	
actively support the Commission in	Board <u>'s work should be</u>	enabling the Board to advise and	
its tasks of ensuring the consistent	independent from the Commission	support the Commission on	
application of this Regulation and	and from any political or	matters related to media services	
of the national rules implementing	economic influence. The Board	within its competence. The Board	
Directive 2010/13/EU. For that	should actively support the	should actively support the	
purpose, the Board should in	Commission in its tasks of	Commission in its tasks of	
particular advise and assist the	ensuring the consistent application	ensuring the consistent application	
Commission on regulatory,	of this Regulation and of the	of this Regulation and	
technical or practical aspects	national rules implementing	implementation of the national	
pertinent to the application of	Directive 2010/13/EU. For that	rules implementing Directive	
Union law, promote cooperation	purpose, the Board should in	2010/13/EU. For that purpose, the	
and the effective exchange of	particular advise and assist the	Board should in particular advise	
information, experience and best	Commission on regulatory,	and assist the Commission on	
practices and draw up opinions in	technical or practical aspects	regulatory, technical or practical	
agreement with the Commission or	pertinent to the application of	aspects pertinent to the application	
upon its request in the cases	Union law, promote cooperation	of Union law, promote cooperation	
envisaged by this Regulation. In	and the effective exchange of	and the effective exchange of	
order to effectively fulfil its tasks,	information, experience and best	information, experience and best	
the Board should be able to rely on	practices <mark>-and,</mark> draw up opinions in	practices and draw up opinions in	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the expertise and human resources	agreement withand carry out any	agreement with the Commission or	
of a secretariat provided by the	<u>other tasks on its own initiative or</u>	upon its request the cases	
Commission. The Commission	at the request of the Commission	envisaged by this Regulation,	
secretariat should provide	or upon its request<u>the European</u>	taking, into account, where	
administrative and organisational	Parliament in the cases envisaged	relevant, the situation regarding	
support to the Board, and help the	by this Regulation. In order to	media freedom and pluralism in	
Board in carrying out its tasks.	effectively and independently	the cases envisaged by concerned	
	fulfil its tasks, the Board should be	media markets. Such opinions	
	able to rely on the expertise and	would not be legally binding but	
	human resources of <i>aan</i>	useful as guidance for the	
	<u>independent</u> secretariat. <u>The</u>	national regulatory authorities	
	secretariat should act only on the	or bodies concerned and could be	
	Board's instructions. The	taken into account by the	
	secretariat should be provided	Commission in its tasks of	
	with sufficient budgetary and	ensuring the consistent	
	<u>human resources. The provided by</u>	application of this Regulation and	
	the Commission. The Commission	implementation of Directive	
	secretariat should provide	2010/13/EU. By making best	
	substantive, administrative and	efforts to implement the opinion	
	organisational support to the	of the Board, or by properly	
	Board, and help the Board in	explaining any deviation	

Commission Proposa	I EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	carrying out its tasks.	therefrom, national regulatory	
		authorities or bodies should be	
		considered to have done their	
		utmost to take the opinion of the	
		Board into account. In order to	
		effectively fulfil its tasks, the	
		Board should be able to rely on the	
		expertise and human resources of a	
		secretariat provided by the	
		Commission and devoted to the	
		activities of the Board. The	
		secretariat should be able to rely	
		on the expertise and resources of	
		national regulatory authorities	
		or bodies. This would be key to	
		assist the Board when it is	
		preparing its deliverables.	
		Therefore, the. The Commission	
		secretariat should include an	
		appropriate number of staff	
		seconded by those national	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			regulatory authorities or bodies to benefit from their competences and experience. The secretariat should also provide administrative and organisational support to the Board, and helpassist the Board inwhen it is carrying out its tasks by conducting relevant research or information-gathering activities.	
Recital 2	24a	I		
34a		(24a) It is important that the Board issue, in cooperation with the national regulatory authorities or bodies and taking into account existing national law, guidelines		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		on the definition of media services of general interest and on the criteria, assessment framework and process for determining their scope. It is important that those guidelines be consistent with Union values and established general interest objectives such as media pluralism, freedom of expression, access to reliable information, social cohesion and cultural diversity.		
Recital 2	5		-	
35	(25) Regulatory cooperation between independent media regulatory authorities or bodies is	(25) Regulatory cooperation between independent media regulatory authorities or bodies is	(25) Regulatory cooperation between independent media regulatory authorities or bodies is	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
essential to make the internal	essential to make the internal	essential to make the internal	
market for media services function	market for media services function	market for media services function	
properly. However, Directive	properly. However, Directive	properly. However, Directive	
2010/13/EU does not provide for a	2010/13/EU does not provide for a	2010/13/EU does not provide for a	
structured cooperation framework	structured cooperation framework	structured cooperation framework	
for national regulatory authorities	for national regulatory authorities	for national regulatory authorities	
or bodies. Since the revision of the	or bodies. Since the revision of the	or bodies. Since the revision of the	
EU framework for audiovisual	EU framework for audiovisual	EU framework for audiovisual	
media services by Directive	media services by Directive	media services by Directive	
2018/1808/EU of the European	2018/1808/EU of the European	2018/1808/EU of the European	
Parliament and of the Council ¹ ,	Parliament and of the Council ¹ ,	Parliament and of the Council ¹ ,	
which extended its scope to video-	which extended its scope to video-	which extended its scope to video-	
sharing platforms, there has been	sharing platforms, there has been	sharing platforms, there has been	
an ever-increasing need for close	an ever-increasing need for close	an ever-increasing need for close	
cooperation among national	cooperation among national	cooperation among national	
regulatory authorities or bodies, in	regulatory authorities or bodies, in	regulatory authorities or bodies, in	
particular to resolve cross-border	particular to resolve cross-border	particular to resolve cross-border	
cases. Such a need is also justified	cases. Such a need is also justified	cases. Such a need is also justified	
in view of the new challenges in	in view of the new challenges in	in view of the new challenges in	
the EU media environment that this	the EU media environment that this	the EU media environment that this	
Regulation seeks to address,	Regulation seeks to address,	Regulation seeks to address,	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission Proposal including by entrusting national regulatory authorities or bodies with new tasks. 	EP Mandate including by entrusting national regulatory authorities or bodies with new tasks. <i>Therefore, the</i> <i>Board, in consultation with the</i> <i>Commission, should also be able</i> <i>to establish cooperation</i> <i>arrangements with competent</i> <i>Union bodies, offices, agencies</i> <i>and advisory groups, with</i> <i>competent authorities of third</i> <i>countries and with international</i> <i>organisations.</i> 1. Directive (EU) 2018/1808 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 November 2018 amending Directive 2010/13/EU on the coordination	Council Mandate including by entrusting national regulatory authorities or bodies with new tasks. 	Draft Agreement
28.11.2018, p. 69-92).	of certain provisions laid down	28.11.2018, p. 69-92).	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		by law, regulation or administrative action in Member States concerning the provision of audiovisual media services (Audiovisual Media Services Directive) in view of changing		
Recital 2	.6	market realities (OJ L 303, 28.11.2018, p. 69-92).		
36	 (26) To ensure the effective enforcement of Union media law, to prevent the possible circumvention of the applicable media rules by rogue media service providers and to avoid the raising 	(26) <u>In 2020, the ERGA adopted</u> <u>a Memorandum of Understanding</u> <u>consisting of a voluntary</u> <u>framework for cooperation to</u> <u>strengthen the cross-border</u> <u>enforcement of media rules on</u>	(26) Aware of these challenges, and in order to respond to the need for closer cooperation in the field of audiovisualTo ensure the effective enforcement of Union media law, to prevent the possible	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
of additional barriers in the internal	audiovisual media services and	circumvention of the applicable	
market for media services, it is	video-sharing platform services.	media rules by rogue media service	
essential to provide for a clear,	Building on that voluntary	providers and services, ERGA	
legally binding framework for	framework and in order to ensure	members have agreed a	
national regulatory authorities or	the comprehensive and effective	Memorandum of Understanding,	
bodies to cooperate effectively and	enforcement of Union measures	which sets out non-binding	
efficiently.	concerning media law, to prevent	mechanisms for cross-border	
	the possible circumvention of the	cooperation. However, to ensure	
	applicable -media rules by rogue	the effective enforcement of	
	media service providers and to	Union media law, to avoid the	
	avoid <i>additional barriers to the</i>	raising of additional barriers in the	
	provision of media services <mark>the</mark>	internal market for media services	
	raising of additional barriers in the	and to prevent the possible	
	internal market <i>for media services</i>,	circumvention of the applicable	
	it is essential to provide for a clear,	media rules by rogue media	
	legally binding framework for<mark>that</mark>	service providers, it is essential to	
	national regulatory authorities or	provide for a clear, legally binding	
	bodies to -cooperate effectively and	framework for national regulatory	
	efficiently with one another within	authorities or bodies to cooperate	
	the established legal framework.	effectively and efficiently. Such a	
		framework is crucial for	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		upholding the country of origin	
		principle, which is a cornerstone	
		of Directive 2010/13/EU as well	
		as for ensuring that regulatory	
		authorities or bodies are able to	
		exercise oversight over relevant	
		media service providers. The	
		objective should be to ensure the	
		consistent and effective	
		application of this Regulation	
		and the implementation of	
		Directive 2010/13/EU, for	
		instance by ensuring a smooth	
		exchange of information between	
		national regulatory authorities	
		or bodies or allowing to quickly	
		address queries related to	
		jurisdiction issues. Where	
		national regulatory authorities	
		or bodies exchange information,	
		all relevant Union and national	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		law on exchange of information,	
		including relevant data	
		protection law, should be	
		respected. Such cooperation, and	
		in particular the accelerated	
		cooperation, is of key relevance	
		to support actions to protect the	
		internal market from such rogue	
		media service providers, while	
		ensuring compliance with	
		fundamental rights, in particular	
		freedom of expression. In	
		particular, such accelerated	
		cooperation is needed to prevent	
		that media services suspended in	
		certain Member States under	
		Articles 3(3) and 3(5) of Directive	
		2010/13/EU continue to be	
		provided via satellite or other	
		means in those Member States,	
		and thus to contribute to the	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			'effet utile' of the relevant national measures, in compliance with Union law. The opinions of the Board will be important for the effective functioning of the cooperation mechanism.	
Recital 2	27			
37	(27) Due to the pan-European nature of video-sharing platforms, national regulatory authorities or bodies need to have a dedicated tool to protect viewers of video- sharing platform services from certain illegal and harmful content, including commercial communications. In particular, a	(27) Due to the pan-European nature of video-sharing platforms, national regulatory authorities or bodies need to have a dedicated tool to protect <i>viewersusers</i> of video-sharing platform services from certain- <i>illegal and</i> harmful content, including commercial communications. In particular, <i>and</i>	(27) Due to the pan-European nature of video-sharing platforms, national regulatory authorities or bodies need to have a dedicated tool to protect viewers of video- sharing platform services from certain illegal and harmful content, including commercial communications. In particular, a	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate
mechanism is needed to allow any	without prejudice to the country-	mechanism is needed to allow any
relevant national regulatory	of-origin principle, a mechanism is	relevant national regulatory
authority or body to request its	needed to allow any relevant	authority or body to request its
peers to take necessary and	national regulatory authority or	peerscounterpart to take
proportionate actions to ensure	body to request its peers to take	necessary and proportionate
enforcement of obligations under	necessary and proportionate	actions to ensure enforcement of
this Article by video-sharing	actions to ensure enforcement of	obligations by video-sharing
platform providers. In case the use	obligations under this Article by	platform providers under Articles
of such mechanism does not lead to	video-sharing platform providers.	28b(1) to 28b(3) of Directive
an amicable solution, the freedom	In case the use of such mechanism	2010/13/EU. This is key for
to provide information society	does not lead to an amicable	ensuring that audiences, and in
services from another Member	solution, the freedom to provide	particular minors, are effectively
State can only be restricted if the	information society services from	protected across the Union when
conditions set out in Article 3 of	another Member State can only be	accessing the content on video-
Directive 2000/31/EC of the	restricted if the conditions set out	sharing platforms and that they
European Parliament and of the	in Article 3 of Directive	can rely on the appropriate level
Council ¹ are met and following the	2000/31/EC of the European	of transparency when it comes to
procedure set out therein.	Parliament and of the Council ¹ are	commercial communications
	met and following the procedure	online. Mediation and possible
	set out therein.	opinions by the Board will be
1. Directive 2000/31/EC of the		conducive to ensure mutually

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce') (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1-16).	1. Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce') (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1-16).	acceptable and satisfactory results for the national regulatory authorities or bodies concernedthis Article by video- sharing platform providers. In case the use of such mechanism does not lead to an amicable solution, the freedom to provide information society services from another Member State can only be restricted if the conditions set out in Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ are met and following the procedure set out therein.1. Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market ('Directive on electronic commerce'), (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000 , p. 1-16) .	
Recital 2	8			
38	 (28) Ensuring a consistent regulatory practice regarding this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU is essential. For this purpose, and to contribute to ensuring a convergent implementation of EU media law, 	 (28) Ensuring a consistent <i>regulatory practice regardingand</i> <i>effective implementation of</i> this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU is essential. For this purpose, and to contribute to ensuring a convergent 	 (28) Ensuring a consistent regulatory practice regarding this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU is essential. For this purpose, and to contribute to ensuring a convergent implementation of EU media law, 	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the Commission may issue	implementation of EU media law,	the Commission may issue	
guidelines on matters covered by	the Commission mayshould issue	guidelines on cross-border matters	
both this Regulation and Directive	guidelines on matters covered by	covered by both this Regulation	
2010/13/EU when needed. When	both this Regulation and Directive	and Directive 2010/13/EU when	
deciding to issue guidelines, the	2010/13/EU when needed. When	needed. When deciding to issue	
Commission should consider in	deciding to issue guidelines, the	guidelines, and in light of the	
particular regulatory issues	Commission should consider in	relevant discussions with the	
affecting a significant number of	particular regulatory issues	contact committee established by	
Member States or those with a	affecting a significant number of	Directive 2010/13/EU, the	
cross-border element. This is the	Member States or those with a	Commission should consider in	
case in particular for national	cross-border element. This is the	particular regulatory issues	
measures taken under Article 7a of	case in particular for national	affecting a significant number of	
Directive 2010/13/EU on the	measures taken under Article 7a of	Member States or those with a	
appropriate prominence of	Directive 2010/13/EU on the	cross-border element. This is the	
audiovisual media services of	appropriate prominence of	case in particular for national	
general interest. In view of the	audiovisual media services of	measures taken under Article 7a of	
abundance of information and the	general interest. In view of the	Directive 2010/13/EU on the	
increasing use of digital means to	abundance of information and the	appropriate prominence of	
access the media, it is important to	increasing use of digital means to	audiovisual media services of	
ensure prominence for content of	access the media, it is important to	general interest. In view of the	
general interest, in order to help	ensure prominence for content of	abundance of information and the	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
acl	chieving a level playing field in	general interest, in order to help	increasing use of digital means to	
the	e internal market and compliance	achieving a level playing field in	access the media, it is important to	
wi	ith the fundamental right to	the internal market and compliance	ensure prominence for content of	
rec	eceive information under Article	with the fundamental right to	general interest, in order to help	
11	l of the Charter of Fundamental	receive information under Article	achieving a level playing field in	
Ri	ights of the Union. Given the	11 of the Charter of Fundamental	the internal market and compliance	
ро	ossible impact of the national	Rights of the Union. Given the	with the fundamental right to	
me	easures taken under Article 7a on	possible impact of the national	receive information under Article	
the	e functioning of the internal	measures taken under Article 7a on	11 of the Charter-of Fundamental	
me	edia market, guidelines by the	the functioning of the internal	Rights of the Union. Given the	
Co	ommission would be important to	media market, guidelines by the	possible impact of the national	
acl	chieve legal certainty in this field.	Commission would be important to	measures taken under Article 7a of	
It	would also be useful to provide	achieve legal certainty in this field.	Directive 2010/13/EU on the	
gu	uidance on national measures	Such guidelines should be drafted	functioning of the internal media	
tak	ken under Article 5(2) of	with the support of the Board and	market, guidelines by the	
Di	irective 2010/13/EU with a view	should respect the Member States'	Commission would be important to	
to	ensuring the public availability	competence in cultural matters	achieve legal certainty in this field.	
of	f accessible, accurate and up-to-	with a view to promoting media	It would also be useful to provide	
da	ate information related to media	pluralism, be principle-based and	guidance on national measures	
ow	wnership. In the process of	be without prejudice to existing	taken under Article 5(2) of	
pre	reparing its guidelines, the	<u>national prominence measures</u> . It	Directive 2010/13/EU with a view	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
	Commission should be assisted by	would also be useful to provide	to ensuring the public availability		
	the Board. The Board should in	guidance on national measures	of accessible, accurate and up-to-		
	particular share with the	taken under Article 5(2) of	date information related to media		
	Commission its regulatory,	Directive 2010/13/EU with a view	ownership. In the process of		
	technical and practical expertise	to ensuring the public availability	preparing its guidelines, the		
	regarding the areas and topics	of accessible, accurate and up-to-	Commission should be assisted by		
	covered by the respective	date information related to media	the Board. The Board should in		
	guidelines.	ownership. In the process of	particular share with the		
		preparing its guidelines, the	Commission its regulatory,		
		Commission should be assisted by	technical and practical expertise		
		the Board. The Board should in	regarding the areas and topics		
		particular share with the	covered by the respective		
		Commission its regulatory,	guidelines.		
		technical and practical expertise			
		regarding the areas and topics			
		covered by the respective			
		guidelines.			
Recital 2	28a				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
38a		(28a) Minimum harmonisation of rules regarding restrictions on media ownership across the European Union is one of the fundamental ways of guaranteeing a fair plurality of views, of protecting fair competition among media services providers within the European media market and of upholding the right of consumers to receive a variety of diverse sources of information and diverse opinions in an impartial and pluralistic manner. For that reason, certain politically exposed persons, as defined in Article 3, point (9), of Directive (EU) 2015/849, such as heads of State, heads of		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		government and ministers, should, after being appointed as such, terminate their business relationship with a media service provider.		
Rec	cital 29			
3	 (29) In order to ensure a level playing field in the provision of diverse audiovisual media services in the face of technological developments in the internal market, it is necessary to find common technical prescriptions for devices controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services or carrying digital 	 (29) In order to ensure a level playing field in the provision of diverse audiovisual media services in the face of technological developments in the internal market, it is necessary to find common <i>technical prescriptionsharmonised</i> <i>European standards</i> for devices controlling or managing access to 	deleted	Council: moved to new recital 37a

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	signals conveying the audiovisual content from source to destination. In this context, it is important to avoid diverging technical standards creating barriers and additional costs for the industry and consumers while encouraging solutions to implement existing obligations concerning audiovisual media services.	and use of audiovisual media services, <i>including remote</i> <i>controls, or devices</i> or carrying digital signals conveying the audiovisual content from source to destination. In this context, it is important to avoid diverging technical standards creating barriers and additional costs for the industry and consumers while encouraging solutions to implement existing obligations concerning audiovisual media services.		
Recital 3	0			
40	<i>(30)</i> Regulatory authorities or	(30) Regulatory authorities or	(30) National regulatory	(30) <u>To be confirmed by CLS:</u>

157

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
bodies referred to in Article 30 of	bodies referred to in Article 30 of	authorities or bodies referred to in	
Directive 2010/13/EU have	Directive 2010/13/EU have	Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU	
specific practical expertise that	specific practical expertise that	have specific practical expertise	National regulatory authorities or
allows them to effectively balance	allows them to effectively balance	that allows them to effectively	bodies referred to in Article 30 of
the interests of the providers and	the interests of the providers and	balance the interests of the	Directive 2010/13/EU have
recipients of media services while	recipients of media services while	providers and recipients of media	specific practical expertise that
ensuring the respect for the	ensuring the respect for the	services while ensuring the respect	allows them to effectively balance
freedom of expression. This is key	freedom of expression and	for the freedom of expression. This	the interests of the providers and
in particular when it comes to	safeguarding and promoting	is key in particular when it comes	recipients of media services while
protecting the internal market from	<u>media pluralism</u> . This is key in	to protecting the internal market	ensuring the respect for the
activities of media service	particular when it comes to	from activities of media service	freedom of expression and
providers established outside the	protecting the internal market from	providers establishedservices from	safeguarding and promoting
Union that target audiences in the	activities of media service	outside the Union that target or	<u>media pluralism</u> . This is key in
Union where, inter alia in view of	providers established<mark>services from</mark>	reach audiences in the Union	particular when it comes to
the control that may be exercised	outside the Union, <i>irrespective of</i>	where, inter alia in view of the	protecting the internal market from
by third countries over them, they	the means by which they are	control that may be exercised by	activities of media service
may prejudice or pose risks of	distributed or accessed, that target	third countries over them, they may	providers established<mark>services from</mark>
prejudice to public security and	or reach audiences in the Union	prejudice or pose risks of prejudice	outside the Union, <i>irrespective of</i>
defence. In this regard, the	where, inter alia in view of the	to public security and defence. In	the means by which they are
coordination between national	control that may be exercised by	this regard, the coordination	distributed or accessed, that target
regulatory authorities or bodies to	third countries over them, they may	between national regulatory	or reach audiences in the Union

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
face together possible public	prejudice or pose risks<mark>contain a</mark>	authorities or bodies to face	where, inter alia in view of the
security and defence threats	public provocation to commit a	together possible public security	control that may be exercised by
stemming from such media	terrorist offence as set out in	and defence threats stemming from	third countries over them, they m
services needs to be strengthened	Directive (EU) 2017/541 or	such media services needs to be	prejudice or pose risks of prejudi
and given a legal framework to	constitute a serious and grave risk	strengthened and given a legal	to public security-and defence. In
ensure the effectiveness and	of prejudice to public security and	framework to ensure the	this regard, the coordination
possible coordination of the	to the safeguarding of national	effectiveness and possible	between national regulatory
national measures adopted in line	security and defence. Media	coordination of the national	authorities or bodies to face
with Union media legislation. In	<u>service providers established</u>	measures adopted in line with	together possible . The concept of
order to ensure that media services	outside the Union and wishing to	Union media legislation. In order	public security', within the
suspended in certain Member	benefit from the free movement of	to ensure that. Such risks could	meaning of Article 52 TFEU,
States under Article 3(3) and 3(5)	<u>media services for their media</u>	take, for instance, the form of	covers both the internal and
of Directive 2010/13/EU do not	<u>offerings, as one of the</u>	systematic, international	external security of a Member
continue to be provided via	advantages of the internal market	campaigns of media services	State, and may cover the detection
satellite or other means in those	of the Union, should be subject to	suspended in certain Member	investigation and prosecution of
Member States, a mechanism of	the same conditions and	States under Article 3(3) and 3(5)	[serious] criminal offences,
accelerated mutual cooperation and	<u>requirements as media service</u>	of Directive 2010/13/EU do not	including a public provocation
assistance should also be available	providers established within the	continue to be provided via	commit a terrorist offence as set
to guarantee the 'effet utile' of the	Union. In this regard, the	satellite or other means in	<u>out in-and defence threats</u>
relevant national measures, in	coordination between national	thosemanipulation and distortion	stemming from such media servi
compliance with Union law.	regulatory authorities or bodies to	of facts in view of destabilising	needs to be strengthened and giv



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Additionally, it is necessary to	face together possible public	the Union as a whole or	a legal framework to ensure the
coordinate the national measures	security and defence threats	particular Member States , a	effectiveness and possible
that may be adopted to counter	stemming from such media	mechanism of accelerated mutual	coordination of the national
public security and defence threats	services needs to be strengthened	cooperation and assistance should	measures adopted in line with
by media services established	and given a legal framework to	also be available to guarantee the	Union media legislation. In order
outside of the Union and targeting	ensure the effectiveness and	'effet utile' of the relevant. In this	to ensure that media services
audiences in the Union, including	possible coordination of the	regard, the coordination between	suspended in certain Member
the possibility for the Board, in	national measures adopted in line	national measures, in compliance	States under Article 3(3) and 3(5)
agreement with the Commission, to	with Union media legislation. In	with Union law. Additionally, it is	of Directive 2010/13/EU do not
issue opinions on such measures,	order to ensure that <i>the same</i>	necessary to coordinate the	continue to be provided via
as appropriate. In this regard, risks	media services suspended in	national measures that may be	satellite or other means in those
to public security and defence need	certain Member States under	adopted to counterregulatory	Member States, a mechanism of
to be assessed with a view to all	Article 3(3) and 3(5) of Directive	authorities or bodies to face	accelerated mutual cooperation
relevant factual and legal elements,	2010/13/EU do not continue to be	together possible public security	and assistance should also be
at national and European level.	provided via satellite or other	and defence threats bythreats	available to guarantee the 'effet
This is without prejudice to the	means in those Member States, a	stemming from such media	utile' of the relevant national
competence of the Union under	mechanism of accelerated mutual	services established outside of the	measures, in compliance with
Article 215 of the Treaty on the	cooperation and assistance should	Union and targeting audiences in	Union law. Additionally, it is
Functioning of the European	also be available to guarantee the	the Union, including the possibility	necessary to coordinate the (EU)
Union.	'effet utile' of the relevant national	for the Board, in agreement with	2017/541. Risks of prejudice to
	measures, in compliance with	the Commission, to issue opinions	public security could take, for

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Union law. Additionally, it is	on suchneeds to be strengthened	instance, the form of systematic,
	necessary to coordinate the	and given a legal framework to	international campaigns of media
	national measures that may be	ensure the effectiveness and	manipulation and distortion of
	adopted to counter public security	possible coordination of the	facts in view of destabilising the
	and defence threats by media	national measures, as appropriate.	<u>Union as a whole or particular</u>
	services established <u>from</u> outside of	In this regard, risks to public	Member States. In this regard, the
	the Union and targeting audiences	security and defence need to be	coordination between national
	in the Union, including the	assessed with a view to all relevant	measures that may be adopted to
	possibility for the Board, <mark>in</mark>	factual and legal elements, at	counterregulatory authorities or
	agreement with the Commission <mark>on</mark>	national and European level. This	bodies to face together possible
	its own initiative or at the request	is without prejudice to the	public security and defence threats
	of the relevant national regulatory	competence of the-adopted in line	by threats stemming from such
	authority or body, to issue	with Union under Article 215 of	media services established outside
	opinions on such measures, as	the Treaty on the Functioning of	of the Union and targeting
	appropriate. In this regard, risks to	the European Unionmedia	audiences in the Union, including
	public security and defence need to	legislation.	the possibility for the Board, in
	be assessed with a view to all		agreement with the Commission, to
	relevant factual and legal elements,		issue opinions on such<mark>needs to be</mark>
	at national and European level.		strengthened and given a legal
	This is without prejudice to the		<u>framework to ensure the</u>
	competence of the Union under		effectiveness and possible

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 215 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.		coordination of the nationalmeasures, as appropriate. In thisregard, risks to public security anddefence need to be assessed with aview to all relevant factual andlegal elements, at adopted in linewith Union media legislation.Also, accelerated cooperationbetween national and Europeanlevel. This is without prejudice tothe competence of the Union underArticle 215 regulatory authoritiesor bodies concerned should beavailable to guarantee the 'effetutile' of the Treaty on theFunctioning of the EuropeanUnion relevant national measures,in compliance with Union law.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 30a				
40a			(30a) It is necessary to coordinate the national measures that may be adopted to counter public security threats by media services originating or established outside of the Union and targeting audiences in the Union, including the possibility for the Board, in consultation with the Commission, to issue opinions on such measures, as appropriate, in particular where a situation affects several Member States. In this regard, risks to public security need to be assessed with a view to all relevant factual and legal	(30a) Council: split from recital 30

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		elements, at national and European level. The objective should be to allow for a more coordinated approach for the concerned national regulatory authorities or bodies in relation to restrictions on the distribution of such media services, without prejudice to the competences of Member States or their national regulatory authorities or bodies in line with Union law. This should be without prejudice to the competence of the Union under Article 215 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.	
Recital 30b			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
40b			(30b) In order to further support national regulatory authorities or bodies in their role of protecting the internal media market from rogue media service providers, the Board should draw up a list of criteria concerning the media service providers established or originating from outside of the Union. Such a list would help national regulatory authorities or bodies in situations when a relevant media service provider seeks jurisdiction in a Member	
			State, or when a media service provider already under the	
			jurisdiction of a Member State, appears to pose serious and	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			grave risks to public security. Elements to be covered in such a list could concern, inter alia, ownership, management, financing structures, editorial independence from third countries or adherence to a co- regulatory or self-regulatory mechanisms governing editorial standards in one or more Member States.	
Recital 3	1			
41	(31) Very large online platforms act for many users as a gateway for access to media services. Media service providers who exercise	(31) Very large online platforms act for many users as a gateway for access to media services. Media service providers who exercise	(31) Very large online platforms act for many users as a gateway for access to media services. Media service providers who exercise	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
editorial responsibility over their	editorial responsibility over their	editorial responsibility over their	
content play an important role in	content play an <i>important<mark>a key</mark></i>	content play an important role in	
the distribution of information and	role in the distribution of and	the distribution of information and	
in the exercise of freedom of	access to information and in the	in the exercise of freedom of	
information online. When	exercise of freedom of information	information online. When	
exercising such editorial	online. When exercising such	exercising such editorial	
responsibility, they are expected to	editorial responsibility, they are	responsibility, they are expected to	
act diligently and provide	expected to act diligently and	act diligently and provide	
information that is trustworthy and	provide information that is	information that is trustworthy and	
respectful of fundamental rights, in	trustworthy and respectful of	respectful of fundamental rights , in	
line with the regulatory or self-	fundamental rights, in line with the	line. The effective and	
regulatory requirements they are	regulatory requirements and co-	independent exercise of editorial	
subject to in the Member States.	regulatory or self-regulatory	responsibility is also crucial to	
Therefore, also in view of users'	r equirements mechanisms they are	guarantee that the media content	
freedom of information, where	subject to in the Member States. At	is compliant with the regulatory or	
providers of very large online	<u>the same time, providers of very</u>	self-regulatory requirements they	
platforms consider that content	large online platforms should also	are subject to in the Member	
provided by such media service	take due account of users' right to	States. Therefore, also in view of	
providers is incompatible with their	freedom of expression and	users' freedom of information,	
terms and conditions, while it is	information, media freedom and	where providers of very large	
not contributing to a systemic risk	<u>media pluralism. Providers of very</u>	online platforms consider that	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
referred to in Article 26 of	large online platforms should	content provided by such media	
Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the	<u>contribute in an appropriate</u>	service providers is incompatible	
Digital Services Act], they should	manner to the plurality of the	with their terms and conditions,	
duly consider freedom and	media by respecting the freedom	while it is not contributing without	
pluralism of media, in accordance	<u>of media service providers to</u>	prejudice to the mitigating	
with Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX	exercise their activities without	measures in relation to a systemic	
[the Digital Services Act] and	restrictions. Therefore, also in	risk referred to in Article 2634 of	
provide, as early as possible, the	view of users' freedom of	Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the	
necessary explanations to media	information, where providers of	Digital Services Act]2022/2065,	
service providers as their business	very large online platforms	they should duly consider freedom	
users in the statement of reasons	consider that content provided by	and pluralism of media, in	
under Regulation (EU) 2019/1150	such media service providers is	accordance with Regulation (EU)	
of the European Parliament and of	incompatible with their terms and	2022/XXX [the Digital Services	
the Council ¹ . To minimise the	conditions, while it is not	Act]2022/2065 and provide, as	
impact of any restriction to that	contributing to a systemic risk	early as possible, the necessary	
content on users' freedom of	referred to in Article 2634 of	explanations to media service	
information, very large online	Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the	providers as their business users in	
platforms should endeavour to	Digital Services Act] 2022/2065,	the statement of reasons under	
submit the statement of reasons	they should duly consider<u>respect</u>	Regulation (EU) 2019/1150-of the	
prior to the restriction taking effect	<u>media</u> freedom and <mark>pluralism of</mark>	European Parliament and of the	
without prejudice to their	media, in accordance with	Council ¹¹³ . To minimise the impact	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
obligations under Regulation (EU)2022/XXX [the Digital ServicesAct]. In particular, this Regulationshould not prevent a provider of avery large online platform to takeexpeditious measures either againstillegal content disseminatedthrough its service, or in order tomitigate systemic risks posed bydissemination of certain contentthrough its service, in compliancewith Union law, in particularpursuant to Regulation (EU)2022/XXX [the Digital ServicesAct].1. Regulation (EU) 2019/1150of the European Parliament andof the Council of 20 June 2019	Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [theDigital Services Act] mediapluralism, and provide, as early aspossible, the necessaryexplanations to media serviceproviders as theira businessusersuser, in the statement ofreasons under referred to inRegulation (EU) 2019/1150 of theEuropean Parliament and of theCouncil land Regulation (EU)2022/2065 ⁴ . To minimise theimpact of any restriction to thatcontentsuspension or restrictionon users' freedom of information,very large online platforms shouldandeavour to submit the statementof reasons prior to the restrictiontaking effect without prejudice totheir obligations under Regulation	of any-that any suspension or restriction to thatof visibility of content may have on users'freedom of information, very large online platforms should endeavour to submit the clear and detailed statement of reasons prior to the suspension or restriction taking effect without prejudice to their obligations under Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital Services Act]2022/2065 and give an opportunity to the concerned media service provider to respond to such a statement of reasons.The use of labelling or age-gating should not be understood as a restriction of visibility for the purposes of this Regulation.	
on promoting fairness and	(EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital	Following the reply of the media	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
transparency for business users	Services Act]provide the media	service provider, or in the	
of online intermediation	service provider with an	absence of such a reply within an	
services (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019,	opportunity to reply to the	appropriate period of time, the	
p. 57-79).	statement of reasons, within 24	provider of a very large online	
P. C. (7).	hours, prior to the restriction or	platform should inform the	
	suspension taking effect. In	media service provider	
	particular, this Regulation should	concerned if it intends to proceed	
	not prevent a provider of a very	with such a restriction or	
	large online platform to take	suspension. The length of the	
	expeditious measures either against	period of time for the response	
	illegal content disseminated	by the media service provider	
	through its service, or in order to	should be determined in line with	
	mitigate systemic risks posed by	the principle of proportionality	
	dissemination of certain content	taking into account the time	
	through its service, in compliance	sensitivity and seriousness of the	
	with Union law, in particular	potential harm to users. In	
	pursuant to Regulation (EU)	particular, This Regulation should	
	2022/XXX [the Digital Services	not prevent a provider of a very	
	Act]2022/2065. Where a provider	large online platform to take	
	of a very large online platform	expeditious measures either against	
	still intends to apply the	illegal content disseminated	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	<pre>suspension or restriction, the competent regulatory authority or body or the body of the self- regulatory or co-regulatory mechanism should decide whether the intended suspension or restriction is justified in view of the specific clause in the terms and conditions and, in particular, taking into account fundamental freedoms.</pre> 1. Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019,	through its service, or in order to mitigate systemic risks posed by dissemination of certain content through its service, in compliance with Union law, in particular pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital Services Act]2022/2065. Nothing in this Regulation should be construed as deviating from Regulation (EU) 2022/2065, and in particular from the obligations that apply to very large online platforms. Moreover, this Regulation should be without prejudice to measures taken by video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU, in particular those to protect minors.	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	p. 57-79).		
		 Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on promoting fairness and 	
		transparency for business users of online intermediation services (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019, p. 57-79).	
		13. Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June	
		2019 on promoting fairness and transparency for business users of online intermediation services (OJ L 186, 11.7.2019, p. 57-79).	

15514/23		ATR/fco	172
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 3	2			<u> </u>
	(32) It is furthermore justified, in	(32) It is furthermore justified, in	(32) It is furthermore justified, in	
	view of an expected positive	view of an expected positive	view of an expected positive	
	impact on freedom to provide	impact on freedom to provide	impact on freedom to provide	
	services and freedom of	services and freedom of	services and freedom of	
	expression, that where media	expression, that where media	expression, that where media	
	service providers adhere to certain	service providers adhere to comply	service providers adhere to certain	
42	regulatory or self-regulatory	with certain regulatory or self-	regulatory or self-regulatory	
	standards, their complaints against	regulatory standards, their	standards, their complaints against	
	decisions of providers of very large	complaints and, where applicable,	decisions of providers of very large	
	online platforms are treated with	<u>complaints filed by their</u>	online platforms are treated with	
	priority and without undue delay.	<u>representative bodies in</u>	priority and without undue delay.	
		accordance with Regulation (EU)		
		2022/2065 against decisions of		
		providers of very large online		
		platforms are treated with priority		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		and <u>, in any event, no later than 24</u> <u>hours after their submission</u> without undue delay .		
Recital 3	3			<u> </u>
	(33) To this end, providers of very	(33) To this end, providers of very	(33) To this end, providers of very	
	large online platforms should	large online platforms should	large online platforms should	
	provide a functionality on their	provide a functionality on their	provide a functionality on their	
	online interface to enable media	online interface to enable media	online interface to enable media	
43	service providers to declare that	service providers to declare that	service providers to declare that	
45	they meet certain requirements,	they meet certain requirements,	they meet certain requirements,	
	while at the same time retaining the	while at the same time retaining the	while at the same time retaining the	
	possibility not to accept such self-	possibility not to accept such self-	possibility not to accept such self-	
	declaration where they consider	declaration for such self-	declaration where they consider	
	that these conditions are not met.	declaration to be confirmed, for	that these conditions are not met.	
	Providers of very large online	example by the national	When a media service provider	
	platforms may rely on information	regulatory authorities or bodies or	declares itself subject to	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
regarding adherence to these	the body of the self- or co-	regulatory requirements or	
requirements, such as the mach	ine- <u>regulatory mechanism</u> , where they	adhering to co- or self-regulatory	
readable standard of the Journa	lism consider that these conditions are	mechanisms, it should be able to	
Trust Initiative or other relevan	t not met. <u>If confirmed in that</u>	provide contact details of the	
codes of conduct. Guidelines by	manner, media service providers	relevant national regulatory	
the Commission may be useful	to should be deemed to be recognised	authority or body or of the	
facilitate an effective	media service providers. It should	representatives of the co- or self-	
implementation of such	also be possible to refer the matter	regulatory mechanism, including	
functionality, including on	to the Board, which should be	those provided by widely-	
modalities of involvement of	able to issue a recommendation	recognised professional	
relevant civil society organisati	ons on such matters. Providers of very	associations representing a given	
in the review of the declaration	s, large online platforms may rely on	sub-sector and operating at	
on consultation of the regulator	of information regarding <i>adherence</i>	national or European level. In	
the country of establishment,	to <u>compliance with</u> these	case of reasonable doubts, this	
where relevant, and address any	requirements, such as the machine-	would enable the very large	
potential abuse of the functional	lity. readable standard of the Journalism	online platform to confirm with	
	Trust Initiative <u>, <i>developed under</i></u>	these authorities or bodies that	
	the aegis of the European	the media service provider is	
	Committee for Standardisation, or	subject to such requirements or	
	other relevant codes of conduct.	mechanisms. Where relevant,	
	That mechanism should not deter	providers of very large online	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	very large online platforms from	platforms mayshould rely on	
	signing up to voluntary	information regarding adherence to	
	commitment No 22 of the EU	these requirements, such as the	
	Code of Practice on	machine-readable standard of the	
	Disinformation or from taking	Journalism Trust Initiative or other	
	measures to foster the visibility,	relevant codes of conduct. Civil	
	discoverability and prominence of	society organisations, fact-	
	media services in their	checking organisations and other	
	recommendation systems provided	relevant professional	
	by media service providers that	organisations recognising the	
	demonstrably comply with	integrity of media sources on the	
	professional and ethical standards	basis of standards agreed with	
	for journalism. Certification to	the media industry should also	
	ISO standards for professional	have the possibility to flag to the	
	and ethical journalism, such as	very large online platforms any	
	the Journalism Trust Initiative	potential lack of compliance by	
	<u>could serve as a benchmark in</u>	media service providers with the	
	<u>that regard.</u> Guidelines issued by	relevant requirements for the	
	the Commission, in consultation	self-declaration. Guidelines by the	
	with the Board, may be useful to	Commission may be usefulwould	
	facilitate an effective	be key to facilitate an effective	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	implementation of such	implementation of such	
	functionality, including on	functionality, including on	
	modalities of involvement of	modalities of in particular by	
	relevant civil society organisations	contributing to the wide	
	in the review of the declarations,	involvement of relevant civil	
	on consultation of the regulator of	society organisations in the review	
	the country of establishment,	of the declarations, on consultation	
	where relevant, and address any	of the regulator of the country of	
	potential abuse of the functionality.	establishment, where relevant, and	
		address any potential	
		abuseensuring consultations with	
		the national regulatory	
		authorities or bodies or co- or	
		self-regulatory bodies. The	
		guidelines should also contribute	
		to minimising risks of potential	
		abuse of the functionality, in	
		particular by providers engaging	
		systematically in disinformation,	
		information manipulation and	
		interference, including those	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			controlled by certain third countries, taking account of the functionality criteria to be developed by the Board in accordance with article 16(3) and the role of relevant civil society organisations in detecting such potential abuses.	
Recital 3	4			
44	(34) This Regulation recognises the importance of self-regulatory mechanisms in the context of the provision of media services on very large online platforms. They represent a type of voluntary initiatives, for instance in a form of	(34) This Regulation recognises the importance of <u>co-regulatory</u> <u>and</u> self-regulatory mechanisms <u>that are legally recognised in the</u> <u>relevant media sector in one or</u> <u>more Member States</u> in the context of the provision of media services	(34) This Regulation recognises the importance of self-regulatory mechanisms in the context of the provision of media services on very large online platforms. They represent a type of voluntary initiatives, for instance in a form of	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	codes of conduct, which enable	on very large online platforms.	codes of conduct, which enable	
	media service providers or their	They represent a type of voluntary	media service providers or their	
	representatives to adopt common	initiatives, for instance in a form of	representatives to adopt common	
	guidelines, including on ethical	codes of conduct, which enable	guidelines, including on ethical	
	standards, correction of errors or	media service providers or their	standards, correction of errors or	
	complaint handling, amongst	representatives to adopt common	complaint handling, amongst	
	themselves and for themselves.	guidelines, including on ethical	themselves and for themselves.	
	Robust, inclusive and widely-	standards, correction of errors or	Robust, inclusive and widely-	
	recognised media self-regulation	complaint handling, amongst	recognised widely recognised	
	represents an effective guarantee of	themselves and for themselves.	media self-regulation represents an	
	quality and professionalism of	Robust, inclusive and widely-	effective guarantee of quality and	
	media services and is key for	recognised widely-accepted media	professionalism of media services	
	safeguarding editorial integrity.	co-regulation and self-regulation	and is key for safeguarding	
		represents an effective guarantee of	editorial integrity.	
		quality and professionalism of		
		media services and is key for		
		safeguarding editorial integrity.		
Recital 3	5			



 (35) Providers of very large online platforms should engage with media service providers that respect standards of credibility and transparency and that consider that restrictions on their content are frequently imposed by providers of very large online platforms without sufficient grounds, in order to find an amicable solution for terminating any unjustified restrictions and avoiding them in the future. Providers of very large in such exchanges in good faith, paying particular attention to safeguarding media freedom and freedom and freedom of information. (35) Providers of very large online platforms without sufficient grounds, in order to find an amicable solution for terminating any unjustified restrictions and avoiding them in the future. Providers of very large online platforms should engage in such exchanges in good faith, paying particular attention to safeguarding media freedom and freedom of information. 		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
 platforms should engage with media service providers that media service providers that media service providers that media service providers that respect standards of credibility and transparency and that consider that restrictions on their content are frequently imposed by providers of frequently imposed by providers of sufficient grounds, in order to find an amicable solution for an amicable solution for terminating any unjustified terminating any unjustified terminating any unjustified is exchanges in good faith, paying particular attention to safeguarding media freedom and freedom of information. <i>Where the</i> platforms should engage with media service providers of the platforms without sufficient grounds, in order to find an freedom of information. <i>Where the</i> 					
freedom of information. <i>Where the</i> paying particular attention to	45	platforms should engage with media service providers that respect standards of credibility and transparency and that consider that restrictions on their content are frequently imposed by providers of very large online platforms without sufficient grounds, in order to find an amicable solution for terminating any unjustified restrictions and avoiding them in the future. Providers of very large online platforms should engage in such exchanges in good faith, paying particular attention to	platforms should engage with media service providers that respect standards of credibility and transparency and that consider that restrictions on their content are frequently imposed by providers of very large online platforms without sufficient grounds, in order to find an amicable solution for terminating any unjustified restrictions and avoiding them in the future. Providers of very large online platforms should engage in such exchanges in good faith, paying particular attention to	platforms should engage with media service providers that respect standards of credibility and transparency and that consider that restrictions or suspensions on their content are frequentlyrepeatedly imposed by providers of very large online platforms without sufficient grounds within a limited period of time, in order to find an amicable solution for terminating any unjustified restrictions or suspensions and avoiding them in the future. Providers of very large online platforms should engage in	
			freedom of information. <i>Where the</i>	paying particular attention to	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		platform and a media service provider fail to find an amicable solution, the media service provider should be able to lodge a complaint before a certified out- of-court dispute settlement body in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2022/2065.	freedom of information.	
Recital 3	5a			
45a		(35a) Within the meaning of this regulation, obligations for restrictions of content should not prevent very large online platforms from fighting disinformation or protecting minors. In this context,		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		obligations should not apply in instances of down-ranking, labelling of content or diluting its visibility (such as blurring of images) when they are in line with the code of practice on disinformation and other relevant Union law. At the same time, it should be recognised that services acting in a not-for-profit purpose capacity, such as online encyclopaedias as well as educational and scientific repositories, should not be considered very large online platforms for the purpose of Article 17.		
Recital 36				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	(36) Building on the useful role played by ERGA in monitoring compliance by the signatories of EU Code of Practice on	(36) Building on the useful role played by ERGA in monitoring compliance by the signatories of EU Code of Practice on	(36) Building on the useful role played by ERGA in monitoring compliance by the signatories of EU Code of Practice on	
	Disinformation, the Board should, at least on a yearly basis, organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online	Disinformation, the Board, <i>with</i> <i>the involvement of the Expert</i> <i>Group</i> , should, at least on a yearly basis, organise a structured	Disinformation, the Board should, at least on a yearly basis, organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online	
46	platforms, representatives of media service providers and	dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, <i>providers of</i>	platforms, representatives of media service providers and	
	representatives of civil society to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large	very large search engines, representatives of media service providers and representatives of	representatives of civil society to foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large	
	online platforms, discuss experience and best practices related to the application of the	civil society, <i>including from fact-</i> <i>checking organisations</i> , to foster access to diverse offers of	online platforms, discuss experience and best practices related to the application of the	
	relevant provisions of this Regulation and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory	independent media on very large online platforms <u>and very large</u> <u>search engines, to</u> -discuss	relevant provisions of this Regulation and to monitor adherence to self-regulatory	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
initia	iatives aimed at protecting	experience and best practices	initiatives aimed at protecting	
socie	iety from harmful content,	related to the application of the	society from harmful content,	
inclu	uding those aimed at	relevant provisions of this	including those aimed at	
coun	ntering disinformation. The	Regulation-and, to monitor	countering disinformation. The	
Com	nmission may, where relevant,	adherence to compliance with self-	Commission may, where relevant,	
exan	mine the reports on the results	regulatory initiatives aimed at	examine the reports on the results	
of su	such structured dialogues when	protecting society from harmful	of such structured	
asses	essing systemic and emerging	content, including those aimed at	dialoguesreports on the results of	
issue	es across the Union under	countering disinformation, and to	such structured dialogues may	
Regu	gulation (EU) 2022/XXX	assess the possible negative effects	contribute to the effective	
[Dig	gital Services Act] and may ask	that such initiatives or content	enforcement of Regulation (EU)	
the E	Board to support it to this	moderation policies might have on	2022/2065. The Commission	
effec	ect.	media freedom and media	should, where relevant, take into	
		pluralism. The Commission may,	consideration such reports []	
		where relevant, examine the	when assessing systemic and	
		reports on the results of such	emerging issues across the Union	
		structured dialogues when	under Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX	
		assessing systemic and emerging	[Digital Services Act]2022/2065	
		issues across the Union under	and may ask the Board to support it	
		Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX	to this effect.	
		<i>{Digital Services Act]2022/2065</i>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		and may ask the Board and the		
		<i>Expert Group</i> to support it to this		
		effect.		
Recital 3	37			
	(37) Recipients of audiovisual	(37) Recipients of Users of audio	(37) Recipients of media services	
	media services should be able to	audiovisual media services	providing programmes	
	effectively choose the audiovisual	should be able to effectively	(audiovisual and audio media	
	content they want to watch	choose the <i>audio and</i> audiovisual	services) should be able to	
47	according to their preferences.	content they want to <i>listen to or</i>	effectively choose the audiovisual	
4/	Their freedom in this area may	watch according to their	content they want to watch or	
	however be constrained by	preferences. Their freedom in this	listen to according to their	
	commercial practices in the media	area may however be constrained	preferences. Their freedom in this	
	sector, namely agreements for	by commercial practices in the	area may however be constrained	
	content prioritisation between	media sector, namely agreements	by commercial practices in the	
	manufacturers of devices or	for content prioritisation between	media sector, namelysuch as	
	providers of user interfaces	manufacturers of devices or	agreements for content	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
controlling or managing access to	providers of user interfaces	prioritisation between media	
and use of audiovisual media	controlling or managing access to	service providers and	
services, such as connected	and use of <i>audio and</i> audiovisual	manufacturers of devices or	
televisions, and media service	media services, such as connected	providers of user interfaces	
providers. Prioritisation can be	televisions or car audio systems,	controlling or managing access to	
implemented, for example, on the	and media service providers.	and use of audiovisual and audio	
home screen of a device, through	Prioritisation can be implemented,	media services, such as connected	
hardware or software shortcuts,	for example, on the home screen of	televisions, and media service	
applications and search areas,	a device, through hardware,	providers or car audio systems.	
which have implications on the	<u>including remote controls,</u> or	Prioritisation can be implemented,	
recipients' viewing behaviour, who	software shortcuts, applications	for example, on the home screen of	
may be unduly incentivised to	and search areas, which have	a device, through hardware or	
choose certain audiovisual media	implications on the recipients'	software shortcuts, applications	
offers over others. Service	viewing users' behaviour, who	and search areas, which have	
recipients should have the	may be unduly incentivised to	implications on the recipients'	
possibility to change, in a simple	choose certain audio or	viewing behaviour, who may be	
and user-friendly manner, the	audiovisual media offers over	unduly incentivised to choose	
default settings of a device or user	others. Service recipients Users of	certain audiovisual-media offers	
interface controlling and managing	audio or audiovisual media	over others. User choice may also	
access to, and use of, audiovisual	services should have the possibility	be limited by closed circuits of	
media services, without prejudice	to change, in a simple and user-	pre-installed applications.	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
to measures to ensure the	friendly manner, the settings and	Service recipients should have the	
appropriate prominence of	default s<i>ettings of a device or</i>	possibility to change, in a simple,	
audiovisual media services of	layout, including the	easily accessible and user-friendly	
general interest implementing	configuration of audiovisual	manner, the default settings of a	
Article 7a of Directive	media services or of applications	device or user interface controlling	
2010/13/EC, taken in the pursuit of	allowing users to access such	and managing access to, and use	
legitimate public policy	services, on a user interface or on	of, audiovisual-media services.	
considerations.	devices controlling and managing	This right should not extend to	
	access to, and use of, audiovisual	individual items, such as	
	media services, without prejudice	programmes, within an on-	
	to measures to ensure the	demand service catalogue and is ,	
	appropriate prominence of	without prejudice to measures to	
	audiovisual media services of	ensure the appropriate prominence	
	general interest, in particular	of audiovisual media services of	
	measures implementing Article 7a	general interest implementing	
	and 7 b of Directive	Article 7a of Directive	
	2010/13/EC2010/13/EU, taken in	2010/13/EC 2010/13/EU, taken in	
	the pursuit of legitimate public	the pursuit of legitimate public	
	policy considerations.	policy considerations.	
		Manufacturers and developers	
		should be able to demonstrate	



Commission	Proposal EP N	Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			the effective user-friendliness of the functionality required when placing their relevant products on the market. Member States should ensure, through appropriate measures, that devices and interfaces placed on their market, by relevant market players, comply with the relevant requirements set out in this Regulation. This could be	
			achieved through monitoring of the application and the effectiveness of the actions taken by such market players.	
Recital 37a				
47a				

15514/23		ATR/fco	188
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	(37a) Users of media services		
	increasingly face difficulties in		
	identifying who bears the editorial		
	responsibility for the media		
	services they use, in particular		
	when they access them through		
	<u>connected devices, user interfaces</u>		
	<u>or online platforms. Failure to</u>		
	<u>clearly indicate editorial</u>		
	<u>responsibility for media content or</u>		
	<u>services, for example by</u>		
	incorrectly attributing or		
	<u>removing logos, trademarks or</u>		
	<u>other characteristic traits, deprives</u>		
	<u>users of media services of the</u>		
	ability to understand and assess		
	the information they receive.		
	Users of media services should		
	therefore be able to easily identify		
	the media service provider bearing		
	<u>the editorial responsibility for any</u>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		given media service on all devices and user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of media services.		
Recital 3	37a			
47b			(37a) In order to ensure a level playing field in the provision of diverse audiovisual and audio media services in the face of technological developments in the internal market, it is necessary to find common technical prescriptions for devices and user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual and audio	(37a) Council: former Recital 29



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			media services or carrying digital signals conveying the content from source to destination. In this context, it is important to avoid diverging technical standards creating barriers and additional costs for the industry and consumers while encouraging solutions to implement existing obligations concerning media services.	
Recital 37b				
47c		(37b) <u>Audiovisual media services</u> <u>are subject to various obligations</u> <u>to meet public policy goals such as</u> <u>supporting cultural diversity and a</u>		

ATR/fco LIMITE



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	<u>pluralistic media environment. It</u>		
	is therefore essential that devices		
	be designed in such a way that		
	ensures fair access to audiovisual		
	<u>media services in all their</u>		
	diversity, from the perspective of		
	both viewers and media service		
	<u>providers. In that regard,</u>		
	particular attention should be paid		
	to the impact of device		
	manufacturers' choices with		
	<u>respect to the design of remote</u>		
	<u>controls. Numeric keypads should</u>		
	therefore be standard on television		
	<u>remote controls to avoid users</u>		
	becoming unjustifiably dependent		
	on user interfaces designed by		
	<u>equipment manufacturers.</u>		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreemen
ecital 3	8			
	(38) Different legislative,	(38) Different legislative,	(38) Different legislative,	
	regulatory or administrative	regulatory or administrative	regulatory or administrative	
	measures can negatively affect the	measures can negatively affect	measures, including those taken	
	operation of media service	media pluralism and the editorial	by national regulatory	
	providers in the internal market.	<u>independence</u> the operation of	authorities or bodies, could be	
	They include, for example, rules to	media service providers regarding	justified and conducive to media	
	limit the ownership of media	either the provision or the	pluralism. However, some	
48	companies by other companies	operation of their media services	measures may hinder or render	
	active in the media sector or non-	in the internal market. They	less attractive the exercise of the	
	media related sectors; they also	<mark>include</mark> Such measures can take	freedom of establishment and the	
	include decisions related to	various forms, for example, rules	freedom to provide services in	
	licensing, authorisation or prior	to limit the ownership of media	the media sector, to the	
	notification for media service	companies by other companies	detriment of media pluralism or	
	providers. In order to mitigate their	active in the media sector or non-	editorial independence -can	
	potential negative impact on the	media related sectors	negatively affect the operation of	
	functioning of the internal market	include decisions related to	media service providers in the	
	for media services and enhance	licensing, such as revoking, or	internal market. They include This	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
legal certainty, it is important that	preventing the renewal of, media	could be the case, for example,	
such measures comply with the	service providers' licences or in	with rules to limit the ownership of	
principles of objective justification,	any way unjustifiably blocking or	media companies by other	
transparency, non-discrimination	limiting their ability to broadcast,	companies active in the media	
and proportionality.	print or otherwise disseminate	sector or non-media related sectors;	
	content, and decisions related to	they also include decisions related	
	authorisation or prior notification	to licensing, authorisation or prior	
	for media service providers. In	notification for media service	
	order to mitigate their potential	providers. In order to mitigate their	
	negative impact on media	potential negative impact on the	
	pluralism and editorial	functioning of media pluralism or	
	<i>independence and on</i> the	editorial independence and	
	functioning of the internal market	enhance legal certainty in the	
	for media services and enhance	internal market for media services	
	legal certainty, it is important that	and enhance legal certainty, it is	
	such measures minimise	important that such measures	
	disruptions to the activities of	comply with the principles of	
	media service providers and	objective justification,	
	comply with the principles of	transparency, non-discrimination	
	objective justification,	and proportionality.	
	transparency, non-discrimination	Administrative measures that	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	and proportionality. <u>Any measures</u>	are liable to affect media	
	that negatively affect media	pluralism or editorial	
	pluralism, editorial independence	independence should be adopted	
	or the operations of media service	within predictable timeframes.	
	providers, including where they		
	are related to the implementation		
	of Union legal acts such as		
	Directive 2010/13/EU, should be		
	communicated to media service		
	providers well in advance of their		
	adoption in order to prevent		
	possible disruptions and allow		
	media service providers enough		
	time to assess the impact of such		
	measures on media pluralism and		
	<u>editorial freedom. The</u>		
	requirement to communicate such		
	measures does not aim to affect		
	national measures implementing		
	Directive 2010/13/EU, in so far as		
	they do not affect media pluralism		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		and editorial independence, national measures taken pursuant to Article 167 TFEU, national measures taken for the purpose of promoting European works or national measures which are otherwise governed by State aid rules.		
Recital 3	39			
49	(39) It is also key that the Board is empowered to issue an opinion, on the Commission's request, where national measures are likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services. This is, for example, the case when	 (39) It is also key that the Board is empowered to issue an opinion, on <i>its own initiative or at the request</i> of the Commission's request or the <i>European Parliament</i>, where national measures are likely to affect the functioning of the 	(39) Without prejudice to the application of the Union's competition and State aid rules as well as national measures taken in compliance with such rules, it is-also key that the Board is empowered to issue an opinion ,	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
a national administrative measure	internal market for media services	on the Commission's request,	
is addressed to a media service	or to impact media pluralism and	where national measures are likely	
provider providing its services	editorial independence. This is, for	to significantly and adversely	
towards more than one Member	example, the case when a national	affect the functioning of operation	
State, or when the concerned media	administrative measure is	of media service providers in the	
service provider has a significant	addressed to a media service	internal market. Such opinions	
influence on the formation of	provider providing its services	should focus on national	
public opinion in that Member	towards more than one Member	measures that have the potential	
State.	State, or when the concerned media	to disrupt the activities of media	
	service provider has a significant	service providers across borders,	
	influence on the formation of	for instance by preventing or	
	public opinion in that Member	obstructing their operation in	
	State. <i>A media service provider</i>	such a way that the provision of	
	individually and directly affected	their -for-media services. This is,	
	by such a measure should be able	for example, in a given market is	
	to request that the Board draw up	seriously undermined. This could	
	an opinion on that measure.	be the case when a national	
		administrative measure is	
		addressed specifically to a media	
		service provider providing its	
		services towards more than one	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Member State, or when the concernedit concerns a media service provider that, because of, inter alia, its market shares, audience reach or level of circulation, has a significant	
		influence on the formation of public opinion in that Member State, and it prevents such media service providers from effectively operating in a given market or entering a new one.	
Recital 39a			
49a		(39a) Media market concentrations are assessed differently across the Union from	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		the media pluralism standpoint.	
		The rules and procedures vary	
		across the Union. Some Member	
		States rely on competition	
		assessments only, whereas others	
		have dedicated frameworks for	
		specific media pluralism	
		assessment of concentrations. In	
		the latter case, there are	
		considerable differences. In some	
		cases, all media transactions are	
		scrutinised, irrespective of	
		whether they reach certain	
		thresholds, while in other cases	
		an assessment is conducted only	
		when specific thresholds are	
		exceeded or certain qualitative	
		criteria are met. For instance, for	
		the purposes of such assessment	
		some Member States apply	
		revenue multipliers in order to	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		ensure that competitive threats	
		do not pass undetected and are	
		brought under scrutiny even	
		when the outlets involved have	
		low revenues. Where they exist,	
		there are also differences in the	
		procedures applicable to the	
		scrutiny of market transactions	
		for media pluralism purposes.	
		This scrutiny is often carried out	
		independently by the media	
		regulator (through a self-	
		standing assessment) or with the	
		involvement of the media	
		regulator by the competent	
		authority (through an opinion,	
		that could be a stand-alone	
		contribution or written views or	
		comments in the context of an	
		ongoing assessment). Certain	
		national rules enable Ministries	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		or governmental bodies to	
		intervene in the media market	
		scrutiny on non-economic	
		grounds, ranging from	
		protection of media pluralism to	
		the safeguarding of public	
		security or other general	
		interests. The divergence and	
		lack of coordination between	
		Member States' rules and	
		procedures applicable to media	
		market concentrations can result	
		in legal uncertainty as well as	
		regulatory, administrative or	
		economic burdens for media	
		companies willing to operate	
		across borders, thus distorting	
		competition in the internal	
		market for media services. In	
		some cases, national measures in	
		this area can effectively prevent	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		a media company established in	
		the Union from entering another	
		national market, without being	
		genuinely aimed at promoting	
		media pluralism. ¹⁴ Ultimately,	
		instead of achieving greater	
		media plurality, this may	
		reinforce the oligopolistic	
		dynamics in the media market.	
		In order to lower obstacles	
		hindering the media service	
		providers' ability to operate in	
		the internal market, it is	
		important that this Regulation	
		sets out a common framework	
		for assessing media market	
		concentrations across the Union.	
		14. Case C-719/18, Vivendi SA	
		v Autorità per le Garanzie	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			nelle Comunicazioni.	
Recital 4	40			
	(40) Media play a decisive role in	(40) Media play a decisive role in	(40) Media play a decisive role in	
	shaping public opinion and helping	shaping public opinion and	shaping public opinion and	
	citizens participate in democratic	helpingenabling citizens	helpingpromoting citizens'	
	processes. This is why Member	participate to access relevant	participation-participate in	
	States should provide for rules and	<u>information for participation</u> in	democratic processes. This is why	
50	procedures in their legal systems to	democratic processes. This is why	Member States, independently	
50	ensure assessment of media market	Member States should provide for	from competition law	
	concentrations that could have a	rules and procedures in their legal	assessments, should provide for	
	significant impact on media	systems to ensurenational law to	rules and procedures in their legal	
	pluralism or editorial	enable a quality assessment of	systemsnational law to ensure	
	independence. Such rules and	media market concentrations that	assessment of media market	
	procedures can have an impact on	could have a significantan impact	concentrations that could have a	
	the freedom to provide media	on media pluralism or<u>and</u> editorial	significant impact on media	
	services in the internal market and	independence. Such rules and	pluralism or editorial	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
need to be properly framed and be	procedures can have an impact on	independence. SuchIn this	
transparent, objective,	the freedom to provide media	context, media pluralism should	
proportionate and non-	services in the internal market and	be understood as the possibility	
discriminatory. Media market	need to be properly framed and be	to have access to a variety of	
concentrations subject to such rules	transparent, objective,	media services and media	
should be understood as covering	proportionate and non-	content. National rules and	
those which could result in a single	discriminatory. Media market	procedures can have an impact on	
entity controlling or having	concentrations subject to such rules	the freedom to provide media	
significant interests in media	should be understood as covering	services in the internal market and	
services which have substantial	those which could result in a single	need to be properly framed and be	
influence on the formation of	entity controlling or having	transparent, objective,	
public opinion in a given media	significant interests in media	proportionate and non-	
market, within a media sub-sector	services which have substantial	discriminatory. Media market	
or across different media sectors in	influence on the formation of	concentrations subject to such rules	
one or more Member States. An	public opinion, <i>including very</i>	should be understood as covering	
important criterion to be taken into	large online platforms carrying	those which could result in a single	
account is the reduction of	content provided by media service	entity controlling or having	
competing views within that	providers which control access to	significant interests in media	
market as a result of the	and the visibility of the content of	services, also taking into	
concentration.	<u>media service providers</u> in a given	consideration access to or	
	media market, within a media sub-	distribution of such services, in	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	sector or across different media	particular via online platforms,	
	sectors in one or more Member	and, thus, which have substantial	
	States. An important criterion to be	influence on the formation of	
	taken into account is the reduction	public opinion in a given media	
	of competing views within that	market, within a media sub-sector	
	market as a result of the	or across differentat national level	
	concentration. Moreover, local and	in a given media sectors market in	
	regional media market players	one or more Member States. An	
	play a key role in shaping public	important criterion to be taken into	
	opinion. It is, therefore, necessary	account is the reduction of	
	to take into account the	competing views within that	
	sustainability of a strong,	market as a result of the	
	pluralistic and well-funded local	concentration.	
	and regional media ecosystem,		
	especially when assessing media		
	market concentrations. Therefore,		
	it is essential to provide for such		
	rules and procedures in order to		
	avoid conflicts of interest between		
	media ownership concentrations		
	and political power, which are		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>detrimental to free competition, a</u> <u>level playing field and media</u> <u>pluralism.</u>		
Recital 4	41			
	(41) National regulatoryauthorities or bodies, who havespecific expertise in the area ofmedia pluralism, should beinvolved in the assessment of the	 (41) National regulatory authorities or bodies, <u>or when</u> <u>appropriate self-regulatory bodies</u>, who have specific expertise in the area of media pluralism, should be 	(41) National regulatory authorities or bodies, who have specific expertise in the area of media pluralism, should be involved in the assessment of the	
51	impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence where they are not the designated	significantly involved in the assessment of the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial	impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence where they are not the designated	
	authorities or bodies themselves. In order to foster legal certainty and ensure that the rules and	independence where they are not the designated authorities or bodies themselves. In order to foster legal	authorities or bodies themselves, for example by taking into account the views of media	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	procedures are genuinely geared at protecting media pluralism and editorial independence, it is essential that objective, non- discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying and assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence are set out in advance.	certainty and ensure that the rules and procedures are genuinely geared at protecting media pluralism and editorial independence, it is essential that <i>appropriate deadlines and</i> objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying and assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence <i>arebe</i> set out in advance.	regulators in the competition assessment. In order to foster legal certainty and ensure that the rules and procedures are genuinely geared at protecting media pluralism and editorial independence, it is essential that objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying and assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence are set out in advance.	
Recital 4	2	·		
52	(42) When a media market	(42) When a media market	(42) When a media market	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
concentration constitutes a	concentration constitutes a	concentration constitutes a	
concentration falling within the	concentration falling within the	concentration falling within the	
scope of Council Regulation (EC)	scope of Council Regulation (EC)	scope of Council Regulation (EC)	
No 139/2004 ¹ , the application of	No 139/2004 ¹ , the application of	No 139/2004 ⁺ , the application of	
this Regulation or of any rules and	this Regulation or of any rules and	this Regulation or of any rules and	
procedures adopted by Member	procedures adopted by Member	procedures adopted by Member	
States on the basis of this	States on the basis of this	States on the basis of this	
Regulation should not affect the	Regulation should not affect the	Regulation should not affect and	
application of Article 21(4) of	application of Article 21(4) of	should be distinct from the	
Regulation (EC) No 139/2004.	Regulation (EC) No 139/2004.	application of Article 21(4) of	
Any measures taken by the	Any measures taken by the	Regulation (EC) No 139/2004.	
designated or involved national	designated or involved national	Any measures taken by the	
regulatory authorities or bodies	regulatory authorities or bodies	designated or involved national	
based on their assessment of the	based on their assessment of the	regulatory authorities or bodies	
impact of media market	impact of media market	based on their assessment of the	
concentrations on media pluralism	concentrations<mark>media market</mark>	impact of media market	
and editorial independence should	concentrations that could have an	concentrations on media pluralism	
therefore be aimed at protecting	impact on media pluralism and	and editorial independence should	
legitimate interests within the	editorial independence should	therefore be aimed at protecting	
meaning of Article 21(4), third	therefore be aimed at protecting	legitimate interests within the	
subparagraph, of Regulation (EC)	legitimate interests within the	meaning of Article 21(4), third	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
No 139/2004, and should be in line with the general principles and other provisions of Union law. 1. Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings (the EC Merger Regulation) (OJ L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1-22).	meaning of Article 21(4), third subparagraph, of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, and should be in line with the general principles and other provisions of Union law. 	subparagraph, of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, and should be in line with the general principles and other provisions of Union law. This Regulation should be without prejudice to more detailed national rules applicable to media market concentrations taking place, in particular, at regional or local level. 	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreem
Recital 4	13			
	(43) The Board should be	(43) The Board should be	(43) The Board should be	
	empowered to provide opinions on	empowered to provide opinions on	empowered to provide opinions on	
	draft decisions or opinions by the	draft decisions or opinions by the	draft decisions or	
	designated or involved national	designated or involved national	opinionsassessments by the	
	regulatory authorities or bodies,	regulatory authorities or bodies,	designated or draft opinions by	
	where the notifiable concentrations	where the notifiable concentrations	the involved national regulatory	
	may affect the functioning of the	may affect the functioning of the	authorities or bodies, where the	
53	internal media market. This would	internal media market. This would	notifiable media market	
	be the case, for example, where	be the case, for example, where	concentrations mayare likely to	
	such concentrations involve at least	such concentrations involve at least	affect the functioning of the	
	one undertaking established in	one undertaking established in	internal media market. This would	
	another Member State or operating	another Member State or operating	be the case, for example, where	
	in more than one Member State or	in more than one Member State or	such concentrations involve at least	
	result in media service providers	result in media service providers	one acquisitions by or of an	
	having a significant influence on	having a significant influence on	undertaking established in another	
	formation of public opinion in a	formation of public opinion in a	Member State or operating in more	
	given media market. Moreover,	given media market. Moreover,	than one Member Stateacross	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Ì
where the concentration has not	where the concentration has not	borders, or result in media service	
been assessed for its impact on	been assessed for its impact on	providers having a significant	
media pluralism and editorial	media pluralism and editorial	influence on formation of public	
independence by the relevant	independence by the relevant	opinion in a given media market	
national authorities or bodies, or	national authorities or bodies, or	with potential cross-border	
where the national regulatory	where the national regulatory	effects on audiences of such	
authorities or bodies have not	authorities or bodies have not	providers. Moreover, where the	
consulted the Board regarding a	consulted the Board regarding a	media market concentration has	
given media market concentration,	given media market concentration,	not been or could not be assessed	
but that media market	but that media market	for its impact on media pluralism	
concentration is considered likely	concentration is considered likely	and editorial independence by the	
to affect the functioning of the	to affect the functioning of the	relevant national authorities or	
internal market for media services,	internal market for media services,	bodies at the national level, or	
the Board should be able to provide	the Board should be able to provide	where the national regulatory	
an opinion, upon request of the	an opinion, <i>on its own initiative or</i>	authorities or bodies have not	
Commission. In any event, the	upon request of the Commission.	consulted the Board regarding a	
Commission retains the possibility	In any event, the Commission	given media market concentration,	
to issue its own opinions following	retains the possibility to issue its	but that media market	
the opinions drawn up by the	own opinions following the	concentration is considered to	
Board.	opinions drawn up by the Board.	fulfil the elements mentioned	
		above and is therefore likely to	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, the Board should be able to provide an opinion, upon request of the Commission. In any eventWhere such concentrations are likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, the Commission retainsshould also retain the possibility to issue its own opinions following the opinions drawn up by the Board.	
Recital 4	4		<u> </u>	
54	(44) With a view to ensuring pluralistic media markets, the	(44) With a view to ensuring pluralistic media markets, the	(44) With a view to ensuring pluralistic media markets, the	

15514/23		ATR/fco	212
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
national authorities or bodies and	national authorities or bodies and	national authorities or bodies and	
the Board should take account of a	the Board should take account of a	the Board should take account of a	
set of criteria. In particular, impact	set of criteria. In particular, impact	set of criteria. In particular, the	
on media pluralism should be	on media pluralism should be	expected impact on media	
considered, including notably the	considered, including notably the	pluralism should be considered,	
effect on the formation of public	effect on the formation of public	including notably the effect on the	
opinion, taking into account of the	opinion, taking into account of the	formation of public opinion, taking	
online environment. Concurrently,	online environment. Concurrently,	into account of the online	
it should be considered whether	it should be considered whether	environment. Concurrently, it	
other media outlets, providing	other media outlets, providing	should be considered whether other	
different and alternative content,	different and alternative content,	media outlets, providing different	
would still coexist in the given	would still coexist in the given	and alternative content, would still	
market(s) after the media market	market(s) after the media market	coexist in the given market(s) after	
concentration in question.	concentration in question.	the media market concentration in	
Assessment of safeguards for	Assessment of safeguards for	question. Assessment of safeguards	
editorial independence should	editorial independence should	for editorial independence should	
include the examination of	include the examination of	include the examination of	
potential risks of undue	potential risks of undue	potential risks of undue	
interference by the prospective	interference by the prospective	interference by the prospective	
owner, management or governance	owner, management or governance	owner, management or governance	
structure in the individual editorial	structure in the <i>individual</i> -editorial	structure in the individual editorial	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
decisions of the acquired or	decisions of the acquired or	decisions of the acquired or	
merged entity. The existing or	merged entity. The existing or	merged entity. The existing or	
envisaged internal safeguards	envisaged internal safeguards	envisaged internal safeguards	
aimed at preserving independence	aimed at preserving independence	aimed at preserving independence	
of the individual editorial decisions	of the <i>individual</i> editorial decisions	of the individual editorial decisions	
within the media undertakings	within the media undertakings	within the media undertakings	
involved should also be taken into	involved should also be taken into	involved should also be taken into	
account. In assessing the potential	account. <i>Furthermore, the results</i>	account. In assessing the potential	
impacts, the effects of the	of the Commission's annual rule	impacts, the effects of the	
concentration in question on the	of law reports presented in the	concentration in question on the	
economic sustainability of the	chapters on press freedom and the	economic sustainability of the	
entity or entities subject to the	risk assessment carried out	entity or entities subject to the	
concentration should also be	annually by media monitoring	concentration should also be	
considered and whether, in the	exercises should be considered in	considered and whether, in the	
absence of the concentration, they	determining the overall climate	absence of the concentration, they	
would be economically sustainable,	for media and the effects of the	would be economically sustainable,	
in the sense that they would be able	media market concentration in	in the sense that they would be able	
in the medium term to continue to	<u>question over media pluralism and</u>	in the medium term to continue to	
provide and further develop	<u>editorial independence</u> . In	provide and further develop	
financially viable, adequately	assessing the potential impacts, the	financially viable, adequately	
resourced and technologically	effects of the concentration in	resourced and technologically	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
	adapted quality media services in the market.	question on the economic sustainability of the entity or entities subject to the concentration should also be considered and whether, in the absence of the concentration, they would be economically sustainable, in the sense that they would be able in the medium term to continue to provide and further develop financially viable, adequately resourced and technologically adapted quality media services in the market.	adapted quality media services in the market.					
Recital 45								
55	(45) Audience measurement has a	(45) Audience measurement has a	(45) Audience measurement has a					





Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
direct impact on the allocation and	direct impact on the allocation and	direct impact on the allocation and	
the prices of advertising, which	the prices of advertising, which	the prices of advertising, which	
represents a key revenue source for	represents a key revenue source for	represents a key revenue source for	
the media sector. It is a crucial tool	the media sector. It is a crucial tool	the media sector. It is a crucial tool	
to evaluate the performance of	to evaluate the performance of	to evaluate the performance of	
media content and understand the	media content and understand the	media content and understand the	
preferences of audiences in order	preferences of audiences in order	preferences of audiences in order	
to plan the future production of	to plan the future production of	to plan the future production of	
content. Accordingly, media	content. Accordingly, media	content. Accordingly, media	
market players, in particular media	market players, in particular media	market players, in particular media	
service providers and advertisers,	service providers and advertisers,	service providers and advertisers,	
should be able to rely on objective	should be able to rely on objective	should be able to rely on objective	
audience data stemming from	and comparable audience data	audience data stemming from	
transparent, unbiased and verifiable	stemming from transparent,	transparent, unbiased and verifiable	
audience measurement solutions.	unbiased and verifiable audience	audience measurement solutions.	
However, certain new players that	measurement solutions. Such	However, certain new players that	
have emerged in the media	solutions should comply with	have emerged in the media	
ecosystem provide their own	Union data protection and privacy	ecosystem, such as online	
measurement services without	<u>rules.</u> However, certain new	platforms, do not abide by the	
making available information on	players that have emerged in the	industry standards or best	
their methodologies. This could	media ecosystem, such as very	practices agreed within the	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
result in information asymmetries	large online platforms, provide	relevant self-regulatory bodies	
among media market players and	<u>proprietary provide their own</u>	and provide their own	
in potential market distortions, to	measurement services without	measurement services without	
the detriment of equality of	making available information on	making available information on	
opportunities for media service	their methodologies. This could	their methodologies. This could	
providers in the market.	result in <i>audience data that is not</i>	result in non-comparable	
	comparable, information	measurement systems,	
	asymmetries among media market	information asymmetries among	
	players and in potential market	media market players and in	
	distortions, to the detriment of	potential market distortions, to the	
	equality of opportunities for media	detriment of equality of	
	service providers in the market.	opportunities for media service	
		providers in the market.	
		Therefore, in order to help	
		achieving a level playing field	
		and fostering the clarity and	
		contestability of the relevant	
		information that is provided to	
		the market, it is key that the	
		audience measurement results	
		are made available. To this end,	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			it is important that audience measurement systems and methodology ensure an appropriate level of transparency, impartiality, inclusiveness, proportionality, non-discrimination and verifiability.	
Recital 4	.6			
56	(46) In order to enhance the verifiability and reliability of audience measurement methodologies, in particular online, transparency obligations should be laid down for providers of audience measurement systems	(46) In order to enhance the verifiability, <i>comparability</i> and reliability of audience measurement methodologies, in particular online, transparency obligations should be laid down for providers of audience measurement	(46) Relevant market players have traditionally agreed upon a set of measurement methodologies in order to carry out audience measurement in a transparent and reliable manner and develop impartial and	

		Council Mandate	Draft Agreeme
that do not abide by the industry	systems that do not abide by the	trusted benchmarks to be used	
benchmarks agreed within the	industry benchmarks agreed within	when assessing the performance	
relevant self-regulatory bodies.	the relevant self-regulatory bodies.	of media and advertising content.	
Under these obligations, such	In principle, audience	These measurement	
actors, when requested and to the	measurement should be carried	methodologies are either	
extent possible, should provide	out in accordance with widely-	reflected in relevant industry	
advertisers and media service	accepted industry self-regulatory	standards and best practices or	
providers or parties acting on their	mechanisms. Under these	are organised and consolidated	
behalf, with information describing	obligations, such actors, when	by self-regulatory bodies, such as	
the methodologies employed for	requested and to the extent	the Joint Industry Committees,	
the measurement of the audience.	possible, should provide	which are established in several	
Such information could consist in	advertisers and media service	Member States and bring	
providing elements, such as the	providers or parties acting on their	together all the key stakeholders	
size of the sample measured, the	behalf, with information describing	operating in the media and	
definition of the indicators that are	the methodologies employed for	advertising industry. In order to	
measured, the metrics, the	the measurement of the audience.	enhance the verifiability and	
measurement methods and the	Such information could consist in	reliability and thus comparability	
margin of error as well as the	providing elements, such as the	of audience measurement	
measurement period. The	size of the sample measured, the	methodologies, in particular online,	
obligations imposed under this	definition of the indicators that are	transparency obligations should be	
Regulation are without prejudice to	measured, the metrics, the	laid down for providers of	



Commission Propos	eal EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
any obligations that apply to	measurement methods an	ad the audience measurement systems	
providers of audience meas	irement margin of error, <i>the meas</i>	surement that do not follow the relevant	
services under Regulation	period and the coverage	of industry standards and best	
2019/1150 or Regulation (E	U) <u>measurement. Furtherm</u>	ore, practices or do not abide by the	
2022/XX [Digital Markets A	Act], providers of proprietary	audience industry benchmarks agreed with	in
including those concerning	ranking measurement systems sh	the relevant self-regulatory bodie	5.
or self-preferencing.	provide media service pr	oviders Under these obligations, such	
	with anonymised data, in	actors, when requested and to the	
	non-aggregated data, in	an extent possible, should provide	
	industry-standard and co	omparable advertisers and media service	
	form. Such data should b	<i>be at least</i> providers or parties acting on the	r
	<u>as granular as data from</u>	the behalf, with information describing	ng
	industry's recognised sel	the methodologies employed for	
	regulatory mechanisms -	as well as the measurement of the audience.	
	the measurement period.	The Such information could consist in	
	obligations imposed under	er this providing elements, such as the	
	Regulation are without pr	rejudice to size of the sample measured, the	
	the right of audiences to	<i>the</i> definition of the indicators that an	e
	protection of personal da	<u>uta</u> measured, the metrics, the	
	concerning them as prov	vided for measurement methods and the	
	by Article 8 of the Chart	<i>er and</i> margin of error as well as the	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of theEuropean Parliament and of theCouncil ¹ and to any obligationsthat apply to providers of audiencemeasurement services underRegulation 2019/1150 orRegulation (EU) 2022/XX [DigitalMarkets Act] 2019/1150 or (EU)2022/1925, including thoseconcerning ranking or self-preferencing or to the protection ofundertakings' trade secrets asdefined in Article 2 of Directive(EU) 2016/943.1. 1a Regulation (EU)2016/679 of the EuropeanParliament and of the Councilof 27 April 2016 on the	measurement period. The enhanced methodological transparency resulting from these obligations should enable media service providers and advertisers to better assess the performance of their content, as they would be able to compare more easily the results of the different audience measurement systems available on the market. However, the need to increase the transparency and contestability of audience measurement systems should be reconciled with the freedom of providers of audience measurement systems to develop their own measurement systems, as part of their freedom to conduct business. For this	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).	reason, the transparency obligations by which the providers of audience measurement systems should abide pursuant to this Regulation should not entail the disclosure of information which is the result of research and development investments, such as data science technologies protected by intellectual property rights. The obligations imposed under this Regulation areshould also be without prejudice to any obligations that apply to providers of audience measurement services under Regulation 2019/1150 or Regulation (EU) 2022/XX [Digital Markets Act]2022/1925 of the European	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Parliament and of the Council ¹⁵ ,	
		including those concerning	
		ranking, self-preferencing, or	
		providing access to performance	
		measuring tools and the relevant	
		data-or self-preferencing.	
		15. Regulation (EU) 2022/1925	
		of the European Parliament	
		and of the Council of 14	
		September 2022 on	
		contestable and fair markets	
		in the digital sector and	
		amending Directives (EU)	
		2019/1937 and (EU) 2020/1828	
		(Digital Markets Act) (OJ L	
		265, 12.10.2022, p. 1-66).	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 4	7		I	I
	(47) Codes of conduct, drawn up	(47) Codes of conduct, drawn up	(47) Codes of conduct, drawn up	
	either by the providers of audience measurement systems or by	either by the providers of audience measurement systems or by	either by the providers of audience measurement systems or by	Recital 47 (last
	organisations or associations	organisations or associations	organisations or associations	sentence 13/10/23):
	representing them, can contribute	representing them, <i>together with</i>	representing them, cancould	[] In addition,
	to the effective application of this	<u>media service providers, their</u>	contribute to the effective	such codes of conduct
57	Regulation and should, therefore,	representative organisations,	application of this Regulation and	should also foster
	be encouraged. Self-regulation has	online platforms and other	should, therefore, be encouraged.	the development of
	already been used to foster high	<u>relevant stakeholders,</u> can	Self-regulation, including relevant	solutions ensuring
	quality standards in the area of	contribute to the effective	existing codes of conduct, have	the proper
	audience measurement. Its further	application of this Regulation and	has already been used to foster	measurement of
	development could be seen as an	should, therefore, be encouraged.	high quality standards in the area	audiences of small
	effective tool for the industry to	Self-regulation hasSelf-regulatory	of audience measurement. Its	media service
	agree on the practical solutions	mechanisms widely recognised in	further development could be seen	
	needed for ensuring compliance of	<u>the media industry have</u> already	as an effective tool for the industry	providers.



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
audience measurement systems and	been used to foster high quality	to agree on the practical solutions	
their methodologies with the	standards in the area of audience	needed for ensuring compliance of	
principles of transparency,	measurement. <mark>#s</mark> Moreover, such	audience measurement systems and	
impartiality, inclusiveness,	self-regulatory mechanisms,	their methodologies with the	
proportionality, non-discrimination	known as joint industry	principles of transparency,	
and verifiability. When drawing up	<u>committees, are able to ensure</u>	impartiality, inclusiveness,	
such codes of conduct, in	that audience measurement is	proportionality, non-discrimination	
consultation with all relevant	impartial and audience	and verifiability. When drawing up	
stakeholders and notably media	<u>measurement data are</u>	such codes of conduct, in	
service providers, account could be	comparable. An inconsistent take-	consultation with all relevant	
taken in particular of the increasing	up of such mechanisms among	stakeholders and notably media	
digitalisation of the media sector	the Member States could	service providers and providers of	
and the objective of achieving a	negatively impact advertising. The	online platforms, account could	
level playing field among media	adoption of such mechanisms	be taken in particular of the	
market players.	should therefore be promoted at	increasing digitalisation of the	
	national level. The further	media sector and the objective of	
	development of self-regulatory	need to make increasingly	
	mechanisms, including with the	comparable the different	
	assistance of national regulatory	audience measurement systems	
	authorities or bodies, could be	and methodologies available on	
	seen as an effective tool for the	the market. In fact,	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	industry to agree on the practical	comparability of audience	
	solutions needed for ensuring	measurement results is key for	
	compliance of audience	achieving a level playing field	
	measurement systems and their	among media market players as it	
	methodologies with the principles	enables media service providers	
	of transparency, impartiality,	and advertisers to better gauge	
	inclusiveness, proportionality, non-	the success of their offer, which	
	discrimination, comparability and	users increasingly consume	
	verifiability. When drawing up	across different devices and	
	such codes of conduct, in	platforms. For this reason, the	
	consultation with all relevant	relevant industry players should	
	stakeholders and notably media	be encouraged to make use of	
	service providers, account could be	codes of conduct and other self-	
	taken in particular of the increasing	regulatory mechanisms to foster	
	digitalisation of the media sector	the development of audience	
	and the objective of achieving a	measurement solutions which are	
	level playing field among media	comparable across different	
	market players.	media and platforms.	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 48	8			
	(48) State advertising is an	(48) <u>Public funds for the</u>	(48) State advertising is an	
	important source of revenue for	purposes of state advertising is and	important source of revenue for	
	many media service providers,	purchases are an important source	many media service providers,	
	contributing to their economic	of revenue for many media service	contributing to their economic	
	sustainability. Access to it must be	providers, providers of online	sustainability. Access to it must be	
	granted in a non-discriminatory	platforms and providers of online	granted in a non-discriminatory	
	way to any media service provider	search engines, contributing to	way to any media service provider	
58	from any Member State which can	their economic sustainability.	from any Member State which can	
	adequately reach some or all of the	Access to #such funds must be	adequately reach some or all of the	
	relevant members of the public, in	granted in a non-discriminatory	relevant members of the public, in	
	order to ensure equal opportunities	way to any media service provider.	order to ensure equal opportunities	
	in the internal market. Moreover,	provider of online platforms and	in the internal market. Moreover,	
	State advertising may make media	provider of online search engines	state advertising may make media	
	service providers vulnerable to	from any Member State which can	service providers vulnerable to	
	undue state influence to the	adequately reach some or all of the	undue state influence to the	
	detriment of the freedom to	relevant members of the public, in	detriment of the freedom to	
	provide services and fundamental	order to ensure equal opportunities	provide services and fundamental	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
rights. Opaque and biased	in the internal market. Moreover,	rights. Opaque and biased	
allocation of state advertising is	public funds for the purposes of	allocation of state advertising is	
therefore a powerful tool to exert	state advertising and purchases	therefore a powerful tool to exert	
influence or 'capture' media	from State-affiliated entities such	influence or 'capture' media	
service providers. The distribution	as State-owned companies,	service providers. The distribution	
and transparency of state	particularly in the form of	and transparency of state	
advertising are in some regards	funding or purchasing goods or	advertising are in some regards	
regulated through a fragmented	services, may make media service	regulated through a fragmented	
framework of media-specific	providers vulnerable to undue state	framework of media-specific	
measures and general public	influence or partial interests to the	measures and generalUnion public	
procurement laws, which, however,	detriment of the freedom to	procurement lawsrules concerning	
may not cover all state advertising	provide services and fundamental	the award of public contracts	
expenditure nor offer sufficient	rights. Opaque and biased	and concession contracts, which,	
protection against preferential or	allocation of <i>public funds for the</i>	however, may not cover all state	
biased distribution. In particular,	purposes of state advertising and	advertising expenditure nor offer	
Directive 2014/24/EU of the	purchases is therefore a powerful	sufficient protection against	
European Parliament and of the	tool to exert influence or on the	preferential or biased distribution.	
Council ¹ does not apply to public	<u>editorial freedom of media service</u>	In particular, Directive 2014/24/EU	
service contracts for the	providers, 'capture' media service	of the European Parliament and of	
acquisition, development,	providers or covertly subsidise or	the Council ⁺¹⁶ does not apply to	
production or co-production of	finance politically captured media	public service contracts for the	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
 programme material intended for audiovisual media services or radio media services or static media services. Media-specific rules on state advertising, where they exist, diverge significantly from one Member State to another. 1. Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65-242). 	service providers to gain unfair political or commercial advantage or favourable coverage. That is why, in order to address such situations, public funds allocated for the purposes of state advertising directed by a public authority or a State-controlled or State-owned enterprise to a single media service provider, a single provider of an online platform or a single provider of an online search engine should not exceed 15 % of the total amount allocated to state advertising by that public authority or State-controlled or State-owned enterprise to the totality of media service providers operating at national level. The distribution and transparency of public funds for the purposes of	acquisition, development, production or co-production of programme material intended for audiovisual media services or radio media services. Media-specific rules on state advertising, where they exist, diverge significantly from one Member State to another. 1 . Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65-242). 16. Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	state advertising areand purchases	2014 on public	
	in some regards regulated		
	through a fragmented framework	procurement and repealing	
	of media-specific measures and	Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L	
	general public procurement laws,	94, 28.3.2014, p. 65-242).	
	which <i>do not offer sufficient</i>		
	protection against preferential or		
	biased distribution. That can		
	create information asymmetry,		
	<u>increase risks for media market</u>		
	players and have a negative		
	impact on cross-border economic		
	activity. For example, channeling		
	public funds to pro-government		
	<u>media outlets or to receive</u>		
	<u>favorable media coverage through</u>		
	public, however, may not cover all		
	state advertising expenditure nor		
	offer sufficient protection against		
	preferential or biased		
	distributiondistorts competition		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	and discourages investments in		
	the internal market and is		
	detrimental to fair competition		
	within the media market		
	ecosystem. In particular, Directive		
	2014/24/EU of the European		
	Parliament and of the Council ¹		
	does not apply to public service		
	contracts for the acquisition,		
	development, production or co-		
	production of programme material		
	intended for audiovisual media		
	services or radio media services.		
	Media-specific rules on public		
	<u>funds for the purposes of</u> state		
	advertising and purchases, where		
	they exist, diverge significantly		
	from one Member State to another.		
	1. Directive 2014/24/EU of the		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on public procurement and repealing Directive 2004/18/EC (OJ L 94, 28.3.2014, p. 65-242).		
Recital 4	9			
59	(49) In order to ensure undistorted competition between media service providers and to avoid the risk of covert subsidies and of undue political influence on the media, it is necessary to establish common requirements of transparency, objectivity, proportionality and non-discrimination in the allocation of state advertising and	(49) In order to ensure undistorted competition between media service providers and to avoid the risk of covert subsidies and of undue political influence on the media, it is necessary to establish common requirements of transparency, objectivity, proportionality and non-discrimination in the allocation of <i>state advertising</i>	(49) In order to ensure undistorted competition between media service providers and to avoid the risk of covert subsidies and of undue political influence on the media, it is necessary to establish common requirements of transparency, objectivity, proportionality and non-discrimination in the allocation of state advertising and	[Comment: Recital [] When possible, taking into account in particular the amount of public funds or other state resources allocated and the

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
of state resources to media service	and public funds for the purposes	ofpublic funds or other state	number of potential
providers for the purpose of	of state resourcesadvertising and	resources, to media service	providers of releva
purchasing goods or services from	purchases to media service	providers for the purpose of state	advertising service
them other than state advertising,	providers, to providers of online	advertising or purchasing goods	or relevant goods o
including the requirement to	<u>platforms or to providers of online</u>	or services from them other than	services, such
publish information on the	search engines in accordance with	state advertising, including the	allocation should
beneficiaries of state advertising	<u>Regulation (EU) 2022/2065 for the</u>	requirement for example,	
expenditure and the amounts spent.	purpose of purchasing goods or	audiovisual productions, market	benefit a variety o
It is important that Member States	services from them other than state	data and consulting or training	different media
make the necessary information	advertising, including the	services. As regards state	service providers a
related to state advertising publicly	requirement to publish information	advertising the common	contribute to
accessible in an electronic format	on the beneficiaries of public	requirements should cover the	ensuring media
that is easy to view, access and	funds for the purposes of state	allocation taking place both	plurality. In order
download, in compliance with	advertising expenditureand	directly or indirectly, for	to ensure a high
Union and national rules on	<u>purchases</u> and the amounts spent.	instance through specialised	level of
commercial confidentiality. This	It is <u>thus necessary for national</u>	intermediaries. It is also	transparency, it is
Regulation shall not affect the	regulatory authorities or bodies to	necessary to establish common	important that the
application of the State aid rules,	monitor and report on the	requirements to publish	criteria and
which are applied on a case-by-	allocation of public funds for the	information on the beneficiaries of	procedures used to
case basis.	purposes of state advertising and	state advertising expenditure and	allocate public fur
		1	allocale public Iun

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	providers, to providers of online	that Member States make the	providers and
	platforms and to providers of	necessary information related to	providers of very
	online search engines. Where	state advertising publicly	large online
	requested by national regulatory	accessible in an electronic format	platforms for the
	autorities or bodies, public	that is easy to view, access and	- purposes of state
	authorities and state-affiliated	download, in compliance with	advertising are
	entities should provide them with	Union and national rules on	publicly available in
	additional information necessary	commercial confidentiality. Where	advance by electronic
	to assess the accuracy of	such an obligation would pose a	-
	information published and the	disproportionate and	and user-friendly
	application of criteria and	unjustifiable burden to the	means. [The common]
	procedures used for such state	administrative capacities of	
	public funds. It is important that	regional or local administrations,	
	the Union and the Member States	Member States should have the	
	make the necessary information	possibility to exempt subnational	
	related to <i>public funds for the</i>	governments of territorial	
	<u>purposes of</u> state advertising and	entities of less than 100,000	
	purchases publicly accessible in an	inhabitants, and entities	
	electronic format that is easy to	controlled, directly or indirectly,	
	view, access and download, in	by such subnational	
	compliance with Union and	governments, from the obligation	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	national rules on commercial	to make publicly available	
	confidentiality. Moreover, it is	information about their state	
	necessary to create easily	advertising expenditure. The	
	understandable and publicly	monitoring of the allocation of	
	available reports in order to	state advertising should be	
	gather all information concerning	performed ex post by national	
	the allocation of public funds for	regulatory authorities or bodies	
	the purposes of state advertising	or other competent independent	
	and purchases provided by media	authorities or bodies. This	
	service providers, providers of	Regulation shallshould not affect	
	online platforms and providers of	the application of the State aid	
	online search engines. Those	rules, which are applied on a case-	
	reports should provide a yearly	by case basis Union public	
	overview of the total amount of	procurement and State aid rules.	
	public funds for the purposes of		
	state advertising and purchases		
	from State entities, including from		
	third countries, allocated to each		
	media service provider, provider		
	of online platforms and provider		
	of online search engines. The		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Board should provide the national regulatory authorities or bodies with guidance for reporting on the allocation of public funds for the purposes of state advertising and purchases . This Regulation shall not affect the application of the State aid rules, which are applied on a case-by-case basis.		
Recital 4	l9a			
59a		(49a) Emergency messages by public authorities are a necessary form of informing the general public about risks in the event of a natural or health disaster, an accident or any other sudden		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	unforeseen, major incident that		
	could cause harm to significant		
	sections of the population.		
	Emergency situations have the		
	potential to create new or enhance		
	existing vulnerabilities in the		
	media sector. In that context, the		
	allocation of State resources for		
	transmitting emergency messages		
	<u>could make media service</u>		
	providers vulnerable to undue		
	State influence to the detriment of		
	fundamental rights and the		
	freedom to provide services. While		
	emergency situations are		
	becoming increasingly cross-		
	border in nature, the rules on the		
	allocation of State resources differ		
	from one Member State to		
	another, creating fragmentation		
	and legal uncertainty in the		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	internal media market. Therefore,		
	such allocations to media service		
	providers, providers of online		
	platforms and providers of online		
	search engines should follow the		
	same harmonised rules as those		
	for public funds for the purposes		
	of advertising and purchases.		
	<u>Nevertheless, recognising the</u>		
	<u>urgency of taking measures</u>		
	during a crisis period, special		
	provisions should apply in order		
	to allow State authorities and		
	State-owned or State-controlled		
	enterprises and entities to comply		
	with transparency and reporting		
	obligations once the emergency		
	situation has ended.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 5	50			
	(50) Risks to the functioning and	(50) Risks to the functioning and	(50) Risks to the functioning and	
	resilience of the internal media	resilience of the internal media	resilience of the internal media	
	market should be regularly	market, <i>including risks of</i>	market should be regularly	
	monitored as part of the efforts to	information manipulation and	monitored as part of the efforts to	
	improve the functioning of the	interference, should be regularly	improve the functioning of the	
	internal market for media services.	monitored as part of the efforts to	internal market for media services.	
	Such monitoring should aim at	improve the functioning of the	Such monitoring should aim at	
60	providing detailed data and	internal market for media services.	providing detailed data and	
	qualitative assessments on the	Such monitoring should aim at	qualitative assessments-on the	
	resilience of the internal market for	providing detailed data and	resilience of the internal market for	
	media services, including as	qualitative assessments on the	media services, including as	
	regards the degree of concentration	resilience of the internal market for	regards the degree of concentration	
	of the market at national and	media services, including as	of the market at national and	
	regional level and risks of foreign	regards the degree of	regional level and risks of foreign	
	information manipulation and	concentration existing	information manipulation and	
	interference. It should be	concentrations of the media	interference. It should be	
	conducted independently, on the	market at national and regional	conducted independently, by a	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
basis of a robust list of key	level and <u>the</u> risks <u>such</u>	specialised academic entity in	
performance indicators, developed	concentrations pose to editorial	collaboration with researchers	
and regularly updated by the	independence and media	from the Member States, on the	
Commission, in consultation with	<u>pluralism. In order to bring clarity</u>	basis of a robust list of key	
the Board. Given the rapidly	to market participants and allow	performance indicators and	
evolving nature of risks and	for the monitoring of the	methodology, developed and	
technological developments in the	functioning of the internal	regularly updated by the	
internal media market, the	market, while assessing the impact	Commission, in consultation with	
monitoring should include	on editorial independence and	the Board. Given the rapidly	
forward-looking exercises such as	<u>media pluralism in the Union, it is</u>	evolving nature of risks and	
stress tests to assess the	necessary that the Commission	technological developments in the	
prospective resilience of the	<u>provide an objective overview on</u>	internal media market, the	
internal media market, to alert	<u>existing media market</u>	monitoring should include	
about vulnerabilities around media	<u>concentrations, both in terms of</u>	forward-looking exercises such as	
pluralism and editorial	their contribution to the structure	stress tests to assess the	
independence, and to help efforts	of the media market and to the	prospective resilienceeconomic	
to improve governance, data	<u>diversity of media ownership and</u>	viability of the internal media	
quality and risk management. In	of their influence on the	market, to alert about	
particular, the level of cross-border	formation of public opinion in	vulnerabilities around media	
activity and investment, regulatory	each Member State. Such	pluralism and editorial	
cooperation and convergence in	monitoring of foreign information	independence, and to help efforts	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
media regulation, obstacles to the	e manipulation and interference. It	to improve governance, data	
provision of media services,	should be conducted	quality and risk management. In	
including in a digital environme	nt, independently, on the basis of a	particular, the level of cross-border	
as well as transparency and	robust list of key performance	activity and investment, regulatory	
fairness of allocation of econom	ic indicators, developed and regularly	cooperation and convergence in	
resources in the internal media	updated by the Commission, in	media regulation, obstacles to the	
market should be covered by the	consultation with the Board.	provision of media services,	
monitoring. It should also consi	der Additionally, in order to facilitate	including in a digital environment,	
broader trends in the internal me	dia the effective application of this	as well as transparency and	
market and national media mark	ets Regulation, the Commission	fairness of allocation of economic	
as well as national legislation	should establish a user-friendly	resources in the internal media	
affecting media service provide	s. alert mechanism to allow media	market should be covered by the	
In addition, the monitoring shou	ld service providers and any relevant	monitoring. It should also consider	
provide an overview of measure	s <i>interested party to report any</i>	broader trends in the internal media	
taken by media service provider	s <i>issues they encounter or any risks</i>	market and national media markets	
with a view to guaranteeing the	they detect concerning the	as well as national legislation	
independence of individual	application of this Regulation.	affecting media service providers.	
editorial decisions, including the	ose Such a mechanism will help the	In addition, the monitoring should	
proposed in the accompanying	Commission to identify and	provide an a general overview of	
Recommendation. In order to	address potential infringements of	measures taken by media service	
ensure the highest standards of	this Regulation more quickly.	providers with a view to	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
such monitoring, the Board, as it	Given the rapidly evolving nature	guaranteeing the independence of	
gathers entities with a specialised	of risks and technological	individual editorial decisions,	
media market expertise, should be	developments in the internal media	including those proposed in the	
duly involved.	market, the monitoring should	accompanying Recommendation,	
	include forward-looking exercises	and an analysis of their potential	
	such as stress tests to assess the	to reduce risks for the	
	prospective resilience of the	functioning of the internal	
	internal media market, to alert	market for media services. In	
	about vulnerabilities around media	order to ensure the highest	
	pluralism and editorial	standards of such monitoring, the	
	independence, and to help efforts	Board, as it gathers entities with a	
	to improve governance, data	specialised media market expertise,	
	quality and risk management. In	should be duly involved. Where	
	particular , <i>the level of cross-border</i>	relevant, the results of such	
	activity and investment, regulatory	monitoring could also be used by	
	cooperation and convergence in	the Board in its deliberations on	
	media regulation, obstacles to the	possible opinions.	
	provision of media services,		
	including the position of media		
	<u>service providers</u> in a digital		
	environment, as well as the		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Commission Proposal	EP Mandate <i>compliance of providers of very</i> <i>large online platforms and</i> <i>providers of very large online</i> <i>search engines with their</i> <i>obligations and</i> transparency and fairness of allocation of economic resources in the internal media market should be covered by the monitoring. It should also consider broader trends in the internal media market and national media markets as well as national legislation affecting media service providers. In addition, the monitoring should	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	provide an overview of measures taken by media service providers with a view to guaranteeing the independence of <i>individual</i> editorial decisions, including those proposed in the accompanying Recommendation. In order to		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	ensure the highest standards of		
	such monitoring, the Board, as it		
	gathers entities with a specialised		
	media market expertise, should be		
	duly involved. Such monitoring		
	should also take into account the		
	results of existing media		
	monitoring exercises in all		
	Member States, the monitoring		
	exercises referred to in the Media		
	and Audiovisual Action Plan,		
	established in the communication		
	of the Commission of 3 December		
	2020 entitled 'Europe's Media in		
	the Digital Decade: An Action		
	<u>Plan to Support Recovery and</u>		
	Transformation', the results from		
	<u>the Media Pluralism Monitor and</u>		
	findings from the Commission's		
	annual rule of law reports.		

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital 50a			<u> </u>
60a	(50a) It is important that the European Centre for Press and Media Freedom in Leipzig and the Centre for Media Pluralism and Media Freedom at the European University Institute in Florence be recognised as having relevant expertise in media freedom and pluralism. It is also important that European instruments such as the Euromedia Ownership Monitor be taken into account when dealing with media ownership in Europe.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Recital S	51			
	(51) To prepare the ground for a	(51) The Commission should be	(51) To prepare the ground for a	
	correct implementation of this	able to take the necessary actions	correct implementation of this	
	Regulation, its provisions	to monitor the effective	Regulation, its provisions	
	concerning independent media	implementation of, and	concerning independent media	
	authorities, the Board and the	<u>compliance with the obligations</u>	authorities, the Board and the	
	required amendments to Directive	<u>laid down in, this Regulation.</u> To	required amendments to Directive	
	2010/13/EU (Articles 7 to 12 and	prepare the ground for a correct	2010/13/EU (Articles 7 to 12 and	
61	27 of this Regulation) should apply	implementation of this Regulation,	27 of this Regulation) should apply	
	3 months after the entry into force	its provisions concerning	312 months after the entry into	
	of the Act, while all other	independent media authorities, the	force of the Act , while . All other	
	provisions of this Regulation will	Board and the required	provisions of this Regulation will	
	apply 6 months after the entry into	amendments to Directive	apply 618 months after the entry	
	force of this Regulation. In	2010/13/EU (Articles 7 to 12 and	into force of this Regulation,	
	particular, this is needed to ensure	27 of this Regulation) should apply	except for Article 19, which will	
	that the Board will be established	3 months after the entry into force	apply 48 months after the entry	
	in time to ensure a successful	of the Act, while all other	into force. In particular, this is	
	implementation of the Regulation.	provisions of this Regulation will	needed to ensure that the Board	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	apply 6 months after the entry into force of this Regulation. In particular, this is needed to ensure that the Board will be established in time to ensure a successful implementation of the Regulation.	will be established in time to ensure a successful implementation of the Regulation.	
Recital 51a		1	
61a		(51a) It should be recalled that the Commission has the duty to monitor the application of this Regulation in line with its responsibility according to Article 17 of the Treaty on European Union. In this regard, the Commission has stated in its communication of 19 January	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			2017 entitled "EU law: Better results through better application", that it is important that it focuses and prioritises its enforcement efforts on the most important breaches of Union law, affecting the interests of Union's citizens and businesses.	
Recital 5	2	L		
5 62	(52) Since the objectives of this Regulation, namely ensuring the proper functioning of the internal market for media services, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, because they cannot or might not have	(52) Since the objectives of this Regulation, namely ensuring the proper functioning of the internal market for media services, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, because they cannot or might not have	(52) Since the objectives of this Regulation, namely ensuring the proper functioning of the internal market for media services, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, because they cannot or might not have	(52) Since the objectives of this Regulation, namely ensuring the proper functioning of the internal market for media services, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, because they cannot or might not have incentives

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	incentives to achieve the necessary harmonisation and cooperation acting alone, but can rather, by reasons of the increasingly digital and cross-border production, distribution and consumption of media content as well as the unique role of media services, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	incentives to achieve the necessary harmonisation and cooperation acting alone, but can rather, by reasons of the increasingly digital and cross-border production, distribution and consumption of media content as well as the unique role of media services, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	incentives to achieve the necessary harmonisation and cooperation acting alone, but can rather, by reasons of the increasingly digital and cross-border production, distribution and consumption of media content as well as the unique role of media services, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	to achieve the necessary harmonisation and cooperation acting alone, but can rather, by reasons of the increasingly digital and cross-border production, distribution and consumption of media content as well as the unique role of media services, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.
				Text Origin:

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Commission Proposal
Recital	53			
	(53) This Regulation respects the	(53) This Regulation respects the	(53) This Regulation respects the	
	fundamental rights and observes	fundamental rights and observes	fundamental rights and observes	
	the principles recognised in	the principles recognised in	the principles recognised in	
	particular by the Charter, in	particular by the Charter, in	particular by the Charter, in	
	particular Articles 7, 8, 11, 16, 47,	particular Articles 7, 8, 11, 16, 47,	particular Articles 7, 8, 11, 16, 47,	
63	50 and 52 thereof. Accordingly,	50 and 52 thereof. Accordingly,	50 and 52 thereof. Accordingly,	
05	this Regulation should be	this Regulation should be	this Regulation should be	
	interpreted and applied with due	interpreted and applied with due	interpreted and applied with due	
	respect to those rights and	respect to those rights and	respect to those rights and	
	principles. In particular, nothing in	principles. In particular, nothing in	principles. In particular, nothing in	
	this Regulation should be	this Regulation should be	this Regulation should be	
	interpreted as interfering with	interpreted as interfering with	interpreted as interfering with	
	freedom of information or freedom	freedom of information or freedom	freedom of information, editorial	
	of the press, or incentivising	of the press, or incentivising	freedom or freedom of the press as	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Member States to introduce requirements for editorial content of press publications.	Member States to introduce requirements for editorial content of press publications.	enshrined in national constitutional laws consistent with the Charter, or incentivising Member States to introduce requirements for editorial content of press publications.	
Recital 5	54			
64	 (54) The European Data Protection Supervisor was consulted in accordance with Article 42(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ and delivered an opinion on XX XX 2022. 	 (54) The European Data Protection Supervisor was consulted in accordance with Article 42(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ and delivered an opinion on XX XX 2022. 	 (54) The European Data Protection Supervisor was consulted in accordance with Article 42(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council⁺¹⁷ and delivered an opinion on XX XX 2022.11 November 2022¹⁸, 	 (54) The European Data Protection Supervisor was consulted in accordance with Article 42(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ and delivered an opinion on <u>XX XX11 November</u> 2022.



1. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision1. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision1. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision1. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision1. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with rega	ient	Draft Agreement	Council Mandate	EP Mandate	Commission Proposal	
No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39-98). No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39-98). No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39-98). 21.11.2018, p. 39-98).	ament and Detober n of regard to sonal data ons, gencies and t of such egulation Decision J L 295,	1. Regulation (EU) 2018/17 of the European Parliament of the Council of 23 Octobe 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard the processing of personal of by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies on the free movement of su data, and repealing Regulat (EC) No 45/2001 and Decis No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 29 21.11.2018, p. 39-98).	of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39-98). 17. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23	of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295,	of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295,	

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39). 18. OJ C 487, 22.12.2022, p. 9.	Commission Proposal
	Formula				
G	65				

15514/23		ATR/fco	253
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Chapter	r I			
с <u>66</u>	Chapter I General Provisions	Chapter I General Provisions	Chapter I General Provisions	Chapter I General Provisions
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

15514/23		ATR/fco	254
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Artic	e 1			
с 67	Article 1 Subject matter and scope	Article 1 Subject matter and scope	Article 1 Subject matter and scope	Article 1 Subject matter and scope Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Artic	e 1(1)		-	
68	1. This Regulation lays down common rules for the proper	1. This Regulation lays down common rules for the proper	1. This Regulation lays down common rules for the proper	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	functioning of the internal market for media services, including the establishment of the European Board for Media Services, while preserving the quality of media services.	functioning of the internal market for media services, including the establishment of the European Board for Media Services <u>(the</u> <u>'Board'), and common basic</u> <u>principles to serve as minimum</u> <u>standards</u> , while <u>preserving the</u> <u>qualityensuring the independence</u> of media services.	functioning of the internal market for media services, including the establishment of the European Board for Media Services, while preserving the qualityindependence and pluralism of media services.	Council: The change has not been bolded on the mandate.
Article 1	(2)			
69	2. This Regulation shall not affect rules laid down by:	2. This Regulation shall not affect rules laid down by:	2. This Regulation shall not affect rules laid down by:	
Article 1	(2), point (a)			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
s 70	(a) Directive 2000/31/EC;	(a) Directive 2000/31/EC;	(a) Directive 2000/31/EC;	(a) Directive 2000/31/EC;
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	1(2), point (aa)			
70a		(aa) competition rules, including those laid down by Regulation (EC) No 139/2004;		
Article	1(2), point (ab)	1	1	1



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
70Ь		(ab) Directive 2001/29/EC;		
Article 1	.(2), point (ac)			
70c		(ac) Directive 2019/789/EU;		
Article 1	.(2), point (b)			
71	(b) Directive 2019/790/EU;	(b) Directive 2019/790/EU;	(b) Directive 2019/790/EU;	(b) Directive 2019/790/EU;
				Text Origin:

15514/23		ATR/fco	258
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Commission Proposal
Article 1	(2), point (ba)	Ι	Γ	
71a		<u>(ba)</u> <u>rules laid down by Directive</u> <u>2010/13/EU;</u>		
Article 1	(2), point (c)			
g 72	(c) Regulation 2019/1150;	(c) Regulation 2019/1150;	(c) Regulation 2019/1150;	(c) Regulation 2019/1150;
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	(2), point (d)			
73	(d) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital Services Act];	(d) <i>Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX</i> <i>[the Digital Services Act]rules laid</i> <i>down by Regulation (EU)</i> 2022/2065;	(d) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital Services Act] 2022/2065 ;	
Article 1	(2), point (e)			
74	(e) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital Markets Act];	(e) <i>Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX</i> <i>[the Digital Markets Act]rules laid</i> <i>down by Regulation (EU)</i> 2022/1925;	(e) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [the Digital Markets Act]2022/1925;	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	(2), point (f)			
75	(f) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Regulation on the transparency and targeting of political advertising].	(f) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Regulation on the transparency and targeting of political advertising].	 (f) Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX/ [Regulation on the transparency and targeting of political advertising [2021/0381(COD)]]. 	
Article 1	(2), point (fa)			
75a		(fa) rules laid down by Directive (EU) 2019/1937 of the European Parliament and of the Council ^{1a} ;		

15514/23		ATR/fco	261
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		1a. Directive 2019/1937 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2019 on the protection of persons who report breaches of Union law (OJ L 305, 26.11.2019, p. 17).		
Article 1	(2), point (g)			
75b			(g) Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	
Article 1	(2), point (fb)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
75c		(fb) Directive (EU) xxx/XXX of the European Parliament and of the Council on protecting persons who engage in public participation from manifestly unfounded or abusive court proceedings ("Strategic lawsuits against public participation").		
Article 1	(3)			
76	3. This Regulation shall not affect the possibility for Member States to adopt more detailed rules in the fields covered by Chapter II and Section 5 of Chapter III, provided	3. This Regulation shall not affect the possibility for Member States to adopt more detailed <i>or stricter</i> rules in the fields covered by Chapter II- <i>and</i> , Section 5 of	3. This Regulation shall not affect the possibility for Member States to adopt more detailed or stricter rules in the fields covered by Chapter II, Section 5 and Article	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	that those rules comply with Union law.	Chapter III <i>and Article 24</i> , provided that those rules comply with Union law.	24 -and Section 5 of Chapter III, provided that those rules comply with Union law.	
Article 2				
77	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2,	, first paragraph			

15514/23		ATR/fco	264
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
6	78	For the purposes of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Regulation, the following definitions shall apply: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
A	rticle 2	, first paragraph, point (1)			
	79	(1) 'media service' means a service as defined by Articles 56 and 57 of the Treaty, where the principal purpose of the service or a dissociable section thereof consists in providing programmes	(1) 'media service' means a service as defined by Articles 56 and 57 of the Treaty, where the principal purpose of the service or a dissociable section thereof consists inproviding programmes	(1) 'media service' means a service as defined by Articles 56 and 57 of the Treaty, where the principal purpose of the service or a dissociable section thereof consists in providing programmes	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	or press publications to the general public, by any means, in order to inform, entertain or educate, under the editorial responsibility of a media service provider;	or press publications, <i>or excerpts</i> <i>from them</i> , to the general public, by any means, in order to inform, entertain or educate, under the editorial responsibility of a media service provider;	or press publications to the general public, by any means, in order to inform, entertain or educate, under the editorial responsibility of a media service provider;	
Article 2 80	, first paragraph, point (2) (2) 'media service provider' means a natural or legal person whose professional activity is to provide a media service and who has editorial responsibility for the choice of the content of the media service and determines the manner in which it is organised;	 (2) 'media service provider' means a natural or legal person, whose professional activity, regardless of whether, in the case of a natural person, it is exercised in a standard or non-standard form of employment, is to provide a media service and who has 	(2) 'media service provider' means a natural or legal person whose professional activity is to provide a media service and who has editorial responsibility for the choice of the content of the media service and determines the manner in which it is organised;	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
		choice of the content of the media service and determines the manner in which it is organised;				
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (3)					
81	(3) 'public service media provider' means a media service provider which is entrusted with a public service mission under national law or receives national public funding for the fulfilment of such a mission;	(3) 'public service media provider' means a media service provider which is entrusted with a public service <i>mission<u>remit</u></i> under national law or receives national public funding for the fulfilment of such a <i>mission<u>remit</u></i> ;	(3) 'public service media provider' means a media service provider which is entrusted with a public service missionremit under national law orand receives national public funding for the fulfilment of such a missionremit;			
Article 2	Article 2, first paragraph, point (4)					

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
с 82	(4) 'programme' means a set of moving images or sounds constituting an individual item, irrespective of its length, within a schedule or a catalogue established by a media service provider;	(4) 'programme' means a set of moving images or sounds constituting an individual item, irrespective of its length, within a schedule or a catalogue established by a media service provider;	(4) 'programme' means a set of moving images or sounds constituting an individual item, irrespective of its length, within a schedule or a catalogue established by a media service provider;	 (4) 'programme' means a set of moving images or sounds constituting an individual item, irrespective of its length, within a schedule or a catalogue established by a media service provider; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (5)			
g 83	(5) 'press publication' means a publication as defined in Article	(5) 'press publication' means a publication as defined in Article	(5) 'press publication' means a publication as defined in Article	(5) 'press publication' means a publication as defined in Article

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	2(4) of Directive 2019/790/EU;			
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	2, first paragraph, point (6)		·	
6 84	 (6) 'audiovisual media service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (a), of Directive 2010/13/EU; 	 (6) 'audiovisual media service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (a), of Directive 2010/13/EU; 	 (6) 'audiovisual media service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (a), of Directive 2010/13/EU; 	 (6) 'audiovisual media service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (a), of Directive 2010/13/EU;
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2,	, first paragraph, point (7)			
85	(7) 'editor' means a natural person or a number of natural persons possibly grouped in a body, regardless of its legal form, status and composition, that takes or supervises editorial decisions within a media service provider;	(7) ' <i>editor<u>editor-in-chief</u></i> ' means a natural person or a number of natural persons possibly grouped in a body, regardless of its legal form, status and composition, that takes or supervises editorial decisions within a media service provider;	deleted	<i>Council: definition</i> of editor is deleted
Article 2,	first paragraph, point (8)	·		
86	(8) 'editorial decision' means a decision taken on a regular basis	(8) 'editorial decision' means a decision taken on a regular basis	(8) 'editorial decision' means a decision taken on a regular basis	

270

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	for the purpose of exercising editorial responsibility and linked to the day-to-day operation of a media service provider;	for the purpose of exercising editorial responsibility <i>and linked</i> <i>to the day to day operation</i> of a media service provider;	for the purpose of exercising editorial responsibility and linked to the day-to-day operation of a media service provider;	
Article	2, first paragraph, point (9)			
87	(9) 'editorial responsibility' means the exercise of effective control both over the selection of the programmes or press publications and over their organisation, for the purposes of the provision of a media service, regardless of the existence of liability under national law for the service provided;	(9) 'editorial responsibility' means the exercise of effective control both over the selection of the programmes or <i>the content of</i> press publications and over their organisation, for the purposes of the provision of a media service, regardless of the existence of liability under national law for the service provided;	(9) 'editorial responsibility' means the exercise of effective control both over the selection of the programmes or press publications and over their organisation, for the purposes of the provision of a media service, regardless of the existence of liability under national law for the service provided;	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (9a)			
87a		(9a) 'online platform' means online platform as defined in Article 3, point (i), of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065;	(9a) 'online platform' means a service as defined in Article 3, point (i) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065;	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (9b)			
87b		(9b) <u>'online search engine'</u> means online search engine as defined in Article 3, point (i) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065;		

15514/23		ATR/fco	272
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (10)			
88	 (10) 'provider of very large online platform' means a provider of an online platform that has been designated as a very large online platform pursuant to Article 25(4) of Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act]; 	 (10) 'provider of very large online platform' means a provider of an online platform that has been designated as a very large online platform pursuant to Article 25(4)33(4) of Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services] Act]2022/2065; 	 (10) 'provider of very large online platform' means a provider of an online platform that has been designated as a very large online platform pursuant to Article 25(4)33(4) of Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services Act]2022/2065; 	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (10a)			
88a		(10a) 'provider of a very large		

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			online search engine' means a provider of an online search engine that has been designated as a very large online search engine pursuant to Article 33(4) of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065;		
	Article 2	, first paragraph, point (11)			
G	89	(11) 'video-sharing platform service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (aa), of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(11) 'video-sharing platform service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (aa), of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(11) 'video-sharing platform service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (aa), of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(11) 'video-sharing platform service' means a service as defined in Article 1(1), point (aa), of Directive 2010/13/EU;
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (12)			
90	(12) 'national regulatory authority or body' means the authority or body designated by Member States pursuant to Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(12) 'national regulatory authority or body' means <i>thean</i> authority or body designated by Member States pursuant to Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(12) 'national regulatory authority or body' means theany authority or body designated by Member States pursuant to Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU;	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (12a)			
90a		(12a) 'user interface' means a service that provides an overview of media services provided by individual or multiple media		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		service providers and that enables a user to select media services or applications that essentially serve to provide access to media services and to control or manage access to, and the use of, media services;		
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (13)			
91	(13) 'media market concentration' means a concentration as defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 involving at least one media service provider;	 (13) 'media market concentration' means a concentration as defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 involving at least one <i>party in the</i> media <i>service</i> <i>providervalue chain</i>; 	(13) 'media market concentration' means a concentration as defined in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 involving at least one media service provider;	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2,	first paragraph, point (13a)			
91a		(13a) 'media pluralism' means a variety of voices, analyses and opinions in public discourse, including minority positions and opinions, disseminated in an unimpeded way by media service providers which are in the hands of many different owners, each independent from one another, across different media channels and media genres and the recognition of the co-existence of private commercial media service providers;		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 2	Article 2, first paragraph, point (14)					
92	(14) 'audience measurement' means the activity of collecting, interpreting or otherwise processing data about the number and characteristics of users of media services for the purposes of decisions regarding advertising allocation or prices or the related planning, production or distribution of content;	(14) 'audience measurement' means the activity of collecting, interpreting or otherwise processing data about the number and characteristics of users of media services <u>and of users of</u> <u>online platforms</u> for the purposes of decisions regarding advertising allocation-or, prices, <u>purchases</u> <u>and sales</u> , or the <u>related</u> planning, <u>production</u> or distribution of <u>contentmedia services</u> ;	(14) 'audience measurement' means the activity of collecting, interpreting or otherwise processing data about the number and characteristics of users of media services or users of content on online platforms for the purposes of decisions regarding advertising allocation or prices or the related pricing or planning, production or distribution of content;			
Article 2	Article 2, first paragraph, point (14a)					
92a						



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(14a) 'proprietary audience measurement' means audience measurement which does not follow industry standards agreed by self-regulatory mechanisms covering media service providers;		(14a) EP Definition Linked to Article 23 - discussed 13/10/23 (14a) 'proprietary audience measurement' means audience measurement which does not follow industry standards and best practices agreed through industry self-regulatory mechanisms
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (14a)			
92b			(14a) 'public authority or entity' means a national or subnational government, a regulatory authority or body, or an entity controlled, directly or indirectly, by a national or subnational	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			government;	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (15)			
	(15) 'State advertising' means the	(15) 'State advertising' means the	(15) 'State advertising' means the	
	placement, publication or	placement, promotion, publication	placement, publication or	
	dissemination, in any media	or dissemination, in any media	dissemination, in any media	
	service, of a promotional or self-	service, online platform or online	service, of a promotional or self-	
	promotional message, normally in	search engine, of a promotional or	promotional message or a public	
93	return for payment or for any other	self-promotional message,	announcement or an information	
95	consideration, by, for or on behalf	normally in return for payment or	campaign, normally in return for	
	of any national or regional public	for any other consideration, by, for	payment or for any other	
	authority, such as national, federal	or on behalf of any <u>Union</u> , national	consideration, by, for or on behalf	
	or regional governments,	or regional public authority, such	of any national or regionala public	
	regulatory authorities or bodies as	as <i>Union institutions, bodies,</i>	authority , such as national, federal	
	well as state-owned enterprises or	<u>offices or agencies,</u> national,	or regional governments,	
	other state-controlled entities at the	federal or regional governments,	regulatory authorities or bodies as	
	national or regional level, or any	regulatory authorities or bodies as	well as state owned enterprises or	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	local government of a territorial entity of more than 1 million inhabitants;	well as state-owned enterprises or other state-controlled entities at the national or regional level, or any local government- <i>of a territorial</i> <i>entity of more than 1 million</i>	other state controlled entities at the national or regional level, or any local government of a territorial or entity of more than 1 million inhabitants;;	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (15a)	<i>inhabitants</i> ;		
93a		(15a) <u>'emergency message by a</u> public authority' means the placement, publication or dissemination, in any media service, of a message of informative nature considered necessary by a public authority in the event of natural or sanitary disasters, accidents, other sudden		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>incidents or critical situations that</u> <u>could cause harm to individuals;</u>		
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (16)			
94	(16) 'spyware' means any product with digital elements specially designed to exploit vulnerabilities in other products with digital elements that enables the covert surveillance of natural or legal persons by monitoring, extracting, collecting or analysing data from such products or from the natural or legal persons using such products, in particular by secretly recording calls or otherwise using the microphone of an end-user	(16) 'spyware'surveillance technology' means any product witha digital elements specially designed to exploit vulnerabilities in other products with digital elementsor mechanical instrument or product or another instrument or product of natural or legal persons byacquisition of information by intercepting, monitoring, extracting, collecting or analysing data from such	(16) 'spywareintrusive surveillance software' means any product with digital elements specially designed to exploit vulnerabilities in other products with digital elements that enables the covert surveillance of natural or legal persons by monitoring, extracting, collecting or analysing data from such products or from the natural or legal persons using such products, in particular by secretly recording calls or	



Commission Pro	posal E	P Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
device, filming natural pro- machines or their surrour copying messages, photo tracking browsing activities geolocation, collecting or data or tracking activities multiple end-user device the natural or legal perso concerned being made av	ersons, products or natural or le products, in ther sensor the microph sacross device, filmi machines or n being made	P Mandate from <u>without</u> the gal persons using such particular by secretly alls or otherwise using one of an end user ng natural persons, person concerned aware in a specific I having given their	council Mandate otherwise using the microphone of an end-user device, filming natural persons, machines or their surroundings, copying messages, photographing, tracking browsing activity, tracking geolocation, collecting other sensor data or tracking activities across multiple end-user devices, without the	Draft Agreement
specific manner and have their express specific cor that regard;	ng given surrounding nsent in photographi activity, trac collecting of tracking act end-user deu natural or le being made manner and express spec specific con	and the provided set of th	end-user devices, without the natural or legal person concerned being made aware in a specific manner and having given their express specific consent in that regard;	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in that regard;		
Article 2	2, first paragraph, point (16a)			
94a		(16a) 'spyware' means any surveillance technology with a high level of intrusiveness resulting, in particular, from the extensive access it can offer to devices and their functionalities, typically designed to exploit vulnerabilities in products with digital elements that enables the extensive covert surveillance of natural or legal persons, including retroactively, by monitoring, extracting, collecting		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		or analysing data from such products or from the natural or legal persons using such products, including in an indiscriminate manner, without the natural or legal person concerned being made aware in a specific manner and having given their express specific consent, in accordance with the conditions for consent set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679, in that regard;		
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)			
95	(17) 'serious crime' means any of the following criminal offences listed in Article 2(2) of the Council	(17) 'serious crime' means any of the following criminal offences listed in Article 2(2) of the Council	deleted	<i>Council: the</i>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA ¹ :	Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA ¹ :		definition and list of serious crimes has been removed
	1. Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1-20).	1. Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1-20).		
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)(a)			
96	(a) terrorism,	(a) terrorism <u>as defined in</u> <u>Directive (EU) 2017/541 of the</u> <u>European Parliament and of the</u> <u>Council</u> ,	deleted	

15514/23		ATR/fco	286
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
Article 2,	, first paragraph, point (17)(b)				
97	(b) trafficking in human beings,	(b) trafficking in human beings,	deleted		
Article 2, first paragraph, point (17)(c)					
98	<i>(c)</i> sexual exploitation of children and child pornography,	(c) sexual exploitation of children and child pornography,	deleted		
Article 2, first paragraph, point (17)(d)					



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
99	<i>(d)</i> illicit trafficking in weapons, munitions and explosives,	(d) illicit trafficking in weapons, munitions and explosives,	deleted		
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)(e)				
100	(e) murder, grievous bodily injury,	(e) murder, grievous bodily injury,	deleted		
Article 2	Article 2, first paragraph, point (17)(f)				
101	(f) illicit trade in human organs	(f) illicit trade in human organs			

15514/23		ATR/fco	288
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	and tissues,	and tissues,	deleted	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)(g)			
102	<i>(g)</i> kidnapping, illegal restraint and hostage-taking,	(g) kidnapping, illegal restraint and hostage-taking,	deleted	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)(h)			
103	(<i>h</i>) organised or armed robbery,	(h) organised or armed robbery,	deleted	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)(i)				
104	<i>(i)</i> rape,	(i) rape,	deleted		
Article 2	, first paragraph, point (17)(j)				
105	<i>(j)</i> crimes within the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court.	(j) crimes within the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court.	deleted		
Article 2	Article 2, first paragraph, point (17a)				
105a					

15514/23		ATR/fco	290
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(17a) 'media literacy' means skills, knowledge and understanding that allow citizens to use media effectively and safely which are not limited to learning about tools and technologies but aim to equip citizens with the critical thinking skills required to exercise judgment, analyse complex realities and recognise the difference between opinion and fact.		
Chapter	II			
106	Chapter II Rights and duties of media service	Chapter II Rights and duties of recipients of	Chapter II Rights and duties of media service	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	providers and recipients	<i>media services, rights</i> of media service providers and <i>recipients</i> <i>safeguards for the independent</i> <i>functioning of public service</i> <i>media providers</i>	providers and recipients of media services	
Article 3	L	I		
107	Article 3 Rights of recipients of media services	Article 3 Rights of recipients of media services	Article 3 Rights of recipients of media services The right to a plurality of news and current affairs content	
Article 3	, first paragraph	1		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
108	Recipients of media services in the Union shall have the right to receive a plurality of news and current affairs content, produced with respect for editorial freedom of media service providers, to the benefit of the public discourse.	Recipients of media services in the Union shall have the right to receive a plurality of news and current affairs content, produced with respect for editorial freedomMember States shall ensure, in accordance with Article 11 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (the 'Charter'), that recipients of media services have access to a plurality of media <u>services</u> produced by editorially independent media service providers, without any State interference, in order to ensure free and democratic discourse. Member States shall establish the necessary framework conditions	Recipients of media services in the Union shall have the rightMember States shall respect the right of the general public to receive a plurality of news and current affairs content, produced with respect for editorial freedom of media service providers, to the benefit of the public discourse.	



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<u>to guarantee those rights and to</u> <u>safeguard, preserve and promote</u> <u>media pluralism</u> to the benefit of the public discourse.		
	Article 4				
G	109	Article 4 Rights of media service providers	Article 4 Rights of media service providers	Article 4 Rights of media service providers	Article 4 Rights of media service providers Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 4	(1)			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
110	1. Media service providers shall have the right to exercise their economic activities in the internal market without restrictions other than those allowed under Union law.	1. Media service providers shall have the right to exercise their economic activities in the internal market without restrictions other than those allowed <i>underpursuant</i> <u>to</u> Union law.	1. Media service providers shall have the right to exercise their economic activities in the internal market without restrictions other than those allowed underthat are in compliance with Union law.	
Article 4	(2)			
111	2. Member States shall respect effective editorial freedom of media service providers. Member States, including their national regulatory authorities and bodies, shall not:	2. <u>The Union</u> , Member States <u>and</u> <u>private entities</u> shall respect <u>the</u> effective editorial freedom <u>and</u> <u>independence</u> of media service providers. Member States, including their national regulatory authorities and bodies, <u>Union</u>	2. Member States shall respect effective editorial freedom of media service providers. Member States, including their national regulatory authorities and bodies, shall not÷ interfere in or try to influence editorial policies and	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	<i>institutions, bodies, offices and</i> <i>agencies and private entities</i> shall not:	editorial decisions by media service providers.	
Article 4(2a)			
111a		2a. Member States shall ensure an effective protection of journalistic sources. Member States shall not, unless this is justified by an overriding requirement in the public interest and provided for in national law and is in compliance with Article 52(1) of the Charter and other Union law:	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 4	vrticle 4(2), point (a)					
112	(a) interfere in or try to influence in any way, directly or indirectly, editorial policies and decisions by media service providers;	(a) interfere in or try to influence in any way, directly or indirectly, editorial policies and <u>editorial</u> decisions by media service providers;	(a) interfere in or try to influence in any way, directly or indirectly,oblige media service providers or their editorial staff, or any persons who, because of their regular relationship with a media service provider or its editorial policies and decisions by media service providersstaff, may have information that could identify journalistic sources to disclose such information;			
Article 4	l(2), point (aa)					
112a						



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(aa) (aa) oblige media services providers or their employees to disclose any information related to editorial processing, including on their sources, or to disseminate such information;		
Article 4	(2), point (b)			
113	(b) detain, sanction, intercept, subject to surveillance or search and seizure, or inspect media service providers or, if applicable, their family members, their employees or their family members, or their corporate and private premises, on the ground that they refuse to disclose	 (b) detain, sanction, <i>intercept</i>, subject to <i>surveillance or</i> search and seizure, or inspect media service providers, <i>their employees</i> or, if applicable, their family members, <i>their employees or or any</i> other person belonging to their <i>family membersprofessional network of relationships</i>, 	(b) detain, sanction, intercept, subject to surveillance or search and seizure, or inspect media service providers or , if applicable, their family members, their employees or their family members, oreditorial staff or any persons who, because of their corporate and private premises, on	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
information on their sources, unless this is justified by an overriding requirement in the public interest, in accordance with Article 52(1) of the Charter and in compliance with other Union law;	including occasional contacts, or their corporate and private premises, on the ground that they refuse to disclose information onwhere such actions might lead to a violation of their sources, unless this is justified by an overriding requirement in the public interest, in accordance with Article 52(1) of the Charter and in compliance with other Union lawright to exercise their professional activity and, in particular, where such actions might result in access to journalistic sources;	the ground that they refuse to disclose information on their sources, unless this is justified by an overriding requirement in the public interest, in accordance with Article 52(1) of the Charter and in compliance with other Union lawregular relationship with a media service provider or its editorial staff, may have information that could identify journalistic sources, or the corporate and private premises of those persons, on the ground that they refuse to disclose such information; or	
Article 4(2), point (ba)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
113a		(ba) access encrypted content data on any device or in any machine used by media service providers or, if applicable, their families or their employees or their family members or, if applicable, any other person belonging to their professional or private network of relationships, including occasional contacts;		
Article 4	(2), point (c)			
114	(c) deploy spyware in any device or machine used by media service providers or, if applicable, their	(c) deploy s pywaresurveillance <u>measures or use surveillance</u> <u>technology, or instruct private</u>	 (c) deploy spywareintrusive surveillance software in any device or machine used by media 	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
family members, or their	entities to use such measures or	service providers or , if applicable,	
employees or their family	such technology, in any device or	their family members, or their	
members, unless the deployment is	machine used by media service	employees or their family	
justified, on a case-by-case basis,	providers or, if applicable, their	members, unless the deployment is	
on grounds of national security and	family members, or their	justified, on a case by-case basis,	
is in compliance with Article 52(1)	employees or their family	on grounds of national security and	
of the Charter and other Union law	members , unless the deployment is	is in compliance with Article 52(1)	
or the deployment occurs in serious	j ustified, on a case-by-case basis,	of the Charter and other Union law	
crimes investigations of one of the	on grounds of national security	or editorial staff or any persons	
aforementioned persons, it is	and is in compliance with Article	who, because of their regular	
provided for under national law	52(1) of the Charter and <mark>or, if</mark>	relationship with a media service	
and is in compliance with Article	applicable, any other Union law or	provider or its editorial staff,	
52(1) of the Charter and other	the deployment occurs in serious	may have information that could	
Union law, and measures adopted	crimes investigations of one of the	identify journalistic sources, for	
pursuant to sub-paragraph (b)	aforementioned persons, it is	the purpose of obtaining such	
would be inadequate and	provided for under national law	information, unless the	
insufficient to obtain the	and is in compliance with Article	deployment occurs in serious	
information sought.	52(1) of the Charter and other	erimes-investigations of one of the	
	Union law, and measures adopted	aforementionedthose persons, it is	
	pursuant to sub-paragraph (b)	provided for under national law	
	would be inadequate and	and is in compliance with Article	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	insufficient to obtain the	52(1) of the Charter and other	
	information sought.person	Union law, and measures adopted	
	belonging to their professional	pursuant to sub-paragraph (b)	
	network, including occasional	would be inadequate and	
	<u>contacts.</u>	insufficient to obtain the	
		information soughtfor offences	
		referred to in Article 2(2) of	
		Council Framework Decision	
		2002/584/JHA ¹⁹ and punishable in	
		the Member State concerned by a	
		custodial sentence or a detention	
		order for a maximum period of at	
		least three years, or other specific	
		offences punishable in the Member	
		State concerned by a custodial	
		sentence or a detention order for a	
		maximum period of at least five	
		years, as determined by the law of	
		that Member State.	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			19. Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1).	
Article 4	(2), point (ca)			
114a		(ca) <u>deploy spyware or any</u> <u>similar intrusive technology, or</u> <u>instruct private entities to use</u> <u>spyware or such technology, in</u> <u>any device or machine used by</u> <u>media service providers or, if</u>		

15514/23		ATR/fco	303
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		applicable, their family members, or their employees or their family members or, if applicable, any other subject belonging to their professional network, including occasional contacts.		
Article 4	(2), point (cb)		·	
114b		(cb) commission a third party to carry out any of the actions referred to in points (b) to (ca).		
Article 4	(2a), second subparagraph			
114c				

15514/23		ATR/fco	304
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			Member States shall not adopt a measure pursuant to point (c) of the first subparagraph where measures referred to point (b) of the first subparagraph are adequate and sufficient to obtain the information sought.	
Article 4	(2a)			
114d		2a. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, point (b), Member States, including their national regulatory authorities and bodies, Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and private entities may carry out an action as referred to therein, provided that		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	other legal measures would be inadequate and insufficient to obtain the information sought and provided that the action:		
	<u>(a) is unrelated to the</u> professional activity of a media service provider and its employees;		
	(b) does not result in access to journalistic sources;		
	<u>(c) is provided for under</u> <u>national law;</u>		
	(d) is justified on a case-by- case basis for the purpose of preventing, investigating or prosecuting a serious crime;		
	(e) complies with Article 52(1) of the Charter and other		



evant Union law;		
f) is proportionate with spect to the legitimate aim rsued; and		
g) is ordered, ex ante, by an		
lependent and impartial judicial thority with effective, known		
d accessible remedial measures sured in accordance with		
ticle 47 of the Charter and in npliance with other relevant		
ion law.		
<i>Vhen carrying out actions as</i>		
, the Member States, including		
<u>ir national regulatory</u> thorities and bodies, Union		
titutions, bodies, offices and encies and private entities shall		
	 <i>is proportionate with</i> <i>bect to the legitimate aim</i> <i>bect to the legitimate aim</i> <i>sued; and</i> <i>is ordered, ex ante, by an</i> <i>ependent and impartial judicial</i> <i>hority with effective, known</i> <i>accessible remedial measures</i> <i>ured in accordance with</i> <i>icle 47 of the Charter and in</i> <i>upliance with other relevant</i> <i>ion law.</i> <i>When carrying out actions as</i> <i>erred to in paragraph 2, point</i> <i>the Member States, including</i> <i>ir national regulatory</i> <i>horities and bodies, Union</i> <i>titutions, bodies, offices and</i> 	 is proportionate with pect to the legitimate aim ssued; and is ordered, ex ante, by an ependent and impartial judicial hority with effective, known accessible remedial measures ured in accordance with icle 47 of the Charter and in upliance with other relevant ion law. When carrying out actions as erred to in paragraph 2, point the Member States, including ir national regulatory horities and bodies, Union titutions, bodies, offices and



Commission Pr	oposal EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	not retrieve data related to the professional activity of media service providers and their employees, in particular data which offer access to journalistic sources.		
Article 4(2b)			
114e	2b. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, points (ba) and (c), Member States, including their national regulatory authorities and bodies, Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and private entities may carry out an action as referred to therein, provided that the actions referred		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	to in paragraph 2, point (b), would		
	<u>be inadequate and insufficient to</u> obtain the information sought and		
	provided that the action:		
	(a) complies with the		
	<u>conditions listed in paragraph 2a,</u> points (a), (b), (c), (e), (f) and (g);		
	(b) concerns only the		
	investigation or prosecution of a		
	serious crime that is punishable in the Member State concerned by a		
	custodial sentence or a detention		
	order for a maximum period of at least five years;		
	(c) is carried out as a last		
	resort; and (d) is subject to		
	periodic review by an independent and impartial judicial authority.		
	una impartati juatetati autioray.		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 4	(2c)			
114f		2c. By way of derogation from paragraph 2, point (ca), Member States, including their national regulatory authorities and bodies, Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and private entities may carry out an action as referred to therein, provided that the actions referred to in paragraph 2, point (ba) or (c), would be inadequate and insufficient to obtain the information sought and provided		
		<u>that the action complies with the</u> <u>conditions listed in paragraph 2a,</u>		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	points (a), (b), (c), (e), (f) and (g), and paragraph 2b, points (b), (c) and (d).		
Article 4(2d)			
114g	2d. The carrying out of actions as referred to in paragraph 2, points (ba), (c) and (ca), shall be subject to ex-post scrutiny by means of judicial review or by means of another independent oversight mechanism. Member States shall inform persons targeted by actions as referred to in paragraph 2, points (b) to (ca), and persons whose data or communications were accessed as a result of such		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	actions of the fact that their data or communications were accessed		
	and of the duration and scope of the processing of those data, and		
	the manner in which those data were processed. Member States		
	shall ensure access to redress through an independent body for		
	persons directly or indirectly		
	affected by the carrying out of such actions. Member States shall		
	publish the number of requests approved and rejected for the		
	<u>carrying out of such actions. The</u> <u>safeguards provided for in this</u>		
	paragraph shall extend to natural persons in non-standard forms of		
	employment, such as freelancers exercising activities in the same		
	<u>field as media service providers</u> and their employees.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 4	l(3)			
	3. Without prejudice and in	3. Without prejudice and in	3. Without prejudice and in	
	addition to the right to effective	addition to the right to effective	addition to the right to effective	
	judicial protection guaranteed to	judicial protection guaranteed to	judicial protection guaranteed to	
	each natural and legal person,	each natural and legal person,	each natural and legal person,	
	Member States shall designate an	Member States shall designate ana	Member States shall designate an	
	independent authority or body to	structurally and functionally	independent authority or body to	
115	handle complaints lodged by media	independent authority or body,	handle complaints lodged by media	
	service providers or, if applicable,	<u>such as an ombudsperson,</u> to	service providers or, if applicable,	
	their family members, their	handle complaints lodged by media	their family members, their	
	employees or their family	service providers or , <i>if applicable,</i>	employees or their family	
	members, regarding breaches of	their family members, <mark>their<u>the</u></mark>	members, Member States shall	
	paragraph 2, points (b) and (c).	employees <u>of media service</u>	ensure that media service	
	Media service providers shall have	providers or their family members,	providers or their editorial staff,	
	the right to request that authority or	or any other person professionally	or any persons who, because of	
	body to issue, within three months	or privately associated with them,	their regular relationship with a	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
of the request, an opinion regarding	regarding breaches of paragraph 2,	media service provider or its	
compliance with paragraph 2,	points <u>(aa), (b), (ba), (c), (ca) and</u>	editorial staff, may have	
points (b) and (c).	(cb)(b) and (c). Media service	information that could identify	
	providers shall have the right to	journalistic sources have a right	
	request that authority or body to	to an effective judicial protection	
	issue, within three months of the	in cases regarding breaches of	
	request, an opinion regarding	paragraph 2, points (b) and (c).	
	compliance with paragraph 2,	Media service providers shall have	
	points <u>(aa), (b), (ba), (c), (ca) and</u>	the right to request that authority or	
	<u>(cb) (b) and (c)</u> .	body to issue, within three months	
		of the request, an opinion regarding	
		compliance with paragraph 2,	
		points (b) and (c) 2a. Member	
		States shall entrust an	
		independent authority or body	
		with relevant expertise to	
		provide assistance to those	
		persons with regard to the	
		exercise of such right where no	
		self-regulatory bodies or	
		mechanisms are in place to	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			provide such assistance.	
Article 4	l(4)			
115a			4. This Article is without prejudice to the Member States' responsibility for safeguarding national security.	
Article 5		I		
g 116	Article 5	Article 5	Article 5	Article 5
	Safeguards for the independent functioning of public service media	Safeguards for the independent functioning of public service media	Safeguards for the independent functioning of public service media	Safeguards for the independent functioning of public service media

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	providers	providers	providers	providers Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 5	5(1)			
117	1. Public service media providers shall provide in an impartial manner a plurality of information and opinions to their audiences, in accordance with their public service mission.	1. <u>Member states shall ensure, by</u> <u>means of national law and their</u> <u>actions, that</u> public service media providers <u>shall have full autonomy</u> <u>and editorial independence from</u> <u>governmental, political, economic</u> <u>or private vested interests in order</u> <u>to</u> provide, <u>in the exercise of their</u> <u>public service remit</u> , in an	1. Member States shall ensure that public service media providers shallare editorially independent and provide in an impartial manner a plurality of information and opinions to their audiences, in accordance with their public service missionremit as defined at national level in line with	 Member States shall ensure that public service media providers shallare editorially and functionally independent, and provide in an impartial manner a plurality of information and opinions to their audiences, in accordance with their public service missionremit as defined at



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	impartial <i>and independent</i> manner, a plurality of information and opinions to their audiences, <i>in</i> <i>accordance with their public</i> <i>service mission</i> .	Protocol No 29 on the system of public broadcasting in the Member States annexed to the TEU and the TFEU.	<pre>national level in line with Protocol No 29 on the system of public broadcasting in the Member States annexed to the TEU and the TFEU. [Comment: in a recital to include: independent "from governmental, political, economic or private vested interests" and free "from external influence"]</pre>
Article 5(2), first subparagraph			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	2. The head of management and the members of the governing board of public service media	2. <u>Member States shall ensure, by</u> <u>means of national law and their</u> <u>actions, that the principles of</u>	2. Member States shall ensure that the procedures for the appointment and the dismissal of	2. <u>Member States shall ensure</u> that the procedures for the appointment and the dismissal of
	providers shall be appointed through a transparent, open and	<u>independence, accountability,</u> <u>effectiveness, transparency and</u>	the head of management andor the members of the	the head of management <i>andor</i> the members of the
	non-discriminatory procedure and	openness are respected when the	governingmanagement board of	governingmanagement board of
	on the basis of transparent, objective, non-discriminatory and	<u>management structures of public</u> service media are appointed. In	public service media providers shall be appointed through a	public service media providers shall be appointed through a
118	proportionate criteria laid down in	particular, the head of	transparent, open and non-	transparent, open and non-
	advance by national law.	management and the members of	discriminatory procedure and on	discriminatory procedure and on
		the governing board of public	the basis of transparent, objective,	the basis of transparent, objective,
		service media providers shall be	non-discriminatory and	non-discriminatory and
		appointed through a transparent,	proportionate criteria laid down in	proportionate criteria laid down in
		open and non-discriminatory	advance by national law, including	advance by national law<mark>aim to</mark>
		procedure and on the basis of	the duration of their term of	guarantee the independence of the
		transparent, objective, non-	office, seek to guarantee the	<u>public service media providers</u> .
		discriminatory and proportionate	independence of the public	
		criteria laid down in advance by in	service media providers.	
		national law.		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 5	(2), second subparagraph			
	(_,,		Γ	
	The duration of their term of office	The duration of their term of office	The duration of their term of office	The <i>duration of their term of office</i>
	shall be established by national	shall be established by in national	shall be established by national	shall be established by national
	law, and be adequate and sufficient	law <u>, <i>shall correspond to their</i></u>	law, and be adequate and sufficient	law, and be adequate and sufficient
	to ensure effective independence of	tasks and shall and be adequate	to ensure effective independence of	to ensure effective independence of
	the public media service provider.	and sufficient to ensure effective	the appointment of the head of	theappointment of the head of
	They may be dismissed before the	independence of the public media	management or the members of	management or the members of
119	end of their term of office only	service provider. They may be	the management board of public	<u>the management board of</u> public
	exceptionally where they no longer	dismissed before the end of their	media service provider. They may	media service provider. They may
	fulfil the legally predefined	term of office only exceptionally in	be dismissed before the end of their	be dismissed before the end of their
	conditions required for the	exceptional circumstances where	term of office only exceptionally	term of office only exceptionally
	performance of their duties laid	they no longer fulfil the legally	where they no longer fulfil the	where they no longer fulfil the
	down in advance by national law or	predefined conditions required for	legally predefined conditions	legally predefined conditions
	for specific reasons of illegal	the performance of their duties laid	required for the performance of	required for the performance of
	conduct or serious misconduct as	down in advance by in national law	their duties media providers shall	their duties media providers shall
	defined in advance by national law.	or for specific reasons of illegal	be based on transparent, open	be based on transparent, open,
	_			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		conduct or serious misconduct as defined in advance <i>byin</i> national law.	and non-discriminatory procedures and [] transparent, objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria laid down in advance by at national law or for specific reasons of illegal conduct or serious misconduct as defined in advance by national law level.	effective and non-discriminatory procedures and transparent, objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria laid down in advance byat national law or for specific reasons of illegal conduct or serious misconduct as defined in advance by national lawlevel.
Article 5	(2), third subparagraph			
120	Dismissal decisions shall be duly	Dismissal decisions shall be duly	Decisions on dismissal decisions of	<u>Decisions on</u> dismissal <u>decisionsof</u>



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
justified, subject to prior	justified on the basis of criteria	the head of management or the	the head of management or the
notification to the person	laid down in advance in national	members of the management	members of the management
concerned, and include the	<i>law</i> , subject to prior notification to	board of public service media	board of public service media
possibility for judicial review. The	the person concerned, and include	providers shall be duly justified,	providers before the end of their
grounds for dismissal shall be	the possibility for judicial review.	subject to prior notification to the	<u>term of office</u> shall be duly
made available to the public.	The grounds for dismissal shall be	person concerned, and include the	justified and may be taken only
	made available to the public.	possibility for judicial review. The	exceptionally when they no long
		grounds for dismissal shall be	fulfil the conditions required for
		made available provide reasons	the performance of their duties
		for which such persons no longer	according to criteria laid down i
		fulfil the conditions required for	advance at national level, and b
		the performance of their duties,	subject to prior notification to the
		and be subject to prior	person concerned, and include th
		notification to the public person	possibility for judicial review. 74
		concerned.	grounds for dismissal shall be
			made available to the public.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
121	3. Member States shall ensure that public service media providers have adequate and stable financial resources for the fulfilment of their public service mission. Those resources shall be such that editorial independence is safeguarded.	3. Member States shall ensure that public service media providers have adequate, <i>sustainable and</i> <i>predictable-and stable</i> financial resources <i>on a multiannual basis</i> for the fulfilment of their public service <i>missionremit and to meet</i> <i>the objectives thereof</i> . Those resources <i>and the process by</i> <i>which they are allocated shall be</i> <i>based on transparent criteria laid</i> <i>down in advance and</i> shall be such that editorial independence is safeguarded <u>while allowing for the</u> <i>development of media services for</i> <i>new audience interests or new</i> <i>content and media forms and for</i> <i>technical development</i> .	3. Member States shall ensure that funding procedures for public service media are transparent, objective and seek to guarantee that public service media providers have adequate and stable financial resources forcorresponding to the fulfilment of their public service missionremit. Those resources shall be such that editorial independence is safeguarded.	3. Member States shall ensure that <i>funding procedures for public</i> <i>service media are based on</i> <i>transparent and objective criteria</i> <i>laid down in advance. Those</i> <i>procedures shall guarantee that</i> public service media providers have adequate, <i>sustainable and</i> <i>predictable and stable</i> financial resources <i>for corresponding to</i> the fulfilment of <i>and capacity to</i> <i>develop within</i> their public service <i>missionremit</i> . Those resources shall be such that editorial independence <i>of public service</i> <i>media</i> is safeguarded.

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
Article 5(3a)							
121a	3a.Member States shall appoint an independent authority or establish independent procedures for determining the financial needs appropriate for public service media providers in accordance with paragraph 3.Member States shall ensure that independent judicial review is guaranteed.The procedure for appointing an independent authority as referred to in the first subparagraph or the		[No change]				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement				
		established procedures referred to therein shall be predictable, transparent, independent, impartial and non-discriminatory and be based on objective and proportionate criteria laid down in advance by national law.						
Article 5(4)								
122	4. Member States shall designate one or more independent authorities or bodies in order to monitor compliance with paragraphs 1 to 3.	4. Member States shall <u>put in</u> <u>place mechanisms or</u> designate one or more independent authorities or bodies <u>to monitor the</u> <u>application of paragraphs 1 to 3.</u> <u>Such mechanisms, authorities or</u> <u>bodies shall be free from</u> <u>government influence. In the</u>	4. Member States shall designate one or more independent authorities or bodies in orderput in place mechanisms to monitor compliance withthe application of paragraphs 1 to 3.	4. Member States shall designate one or more independent authorities or bodies <u>or put in</u> <u>place mechanisms free from</u> <u>political influence by the</u> <u>governmentin order</u> to monitor <u>compliance with the application of</u> paragraphs 1 to 3. <u>The results of</u>				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		event of doubt or following findings related to non- compliance or partialin order to monitor compliance with paragraphs 1 to 3this Article, an opinion shall be issued by the independent authorities or bodies which shall inform the Board; the findings shall be made available to the public.		<u>the monitoring exercise shall be</u> <u>made available to the public.</u>
Article 6				
123	Article 6 Duties of media service providers providing news and current affairs content	Article 6 Duties of media service providers providing news and current affairs content	Article 6 Duties of media service providers providing news and current affairs content	EP: according to the mandate on the Article the title

ATR/fco LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
Article 6	(1)			should also be technically changed	
124	1. Media service providers providing news and current affairs content shall make easily and directly accessible to the recipients of their services the following information:	1. Media service providers, in compliance with Union and national law, providing news and current affairs content shall make easily and the following information directly and permanently accessible in an easy manner to the recipients of their services the following information:	1. Media service providers providing news and current affairs content[] shall make easily and directly accessible to the recipients of their services the following informationup-to-date information on:		
Article 6	Article 6(1), point (a)				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
125	(a) their legal name and contact details;	(a) their legal name <u>(s)</u> and contact and registration details;	(a) their legal name and contact details;	
Article 6	(1), point (b)			
126	(b) the name(s) of their direct or indirect owner(s) with shareholdings enabling them to exercise influence on the operation and strategic decision making;	(b) the name(s) of their direct or indirect owner(s) with shareholdings enabling them to exercise influence on the operation and strategic decision making;	(b) the name(s) of their direct or indirect owner(s) with shareholdings enabling them to exercise influence on the operation and strategic decision making; and	
Article 6	(1), point (c)			
127				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	 (c) the name(s) of their beneficial owners within the meaning of Article 3, point 6 of Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council. 	(c) the name(s) of their beneficial owners within the meaning of as defined in Article 3, point 6, of Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council.	(c) the name(s) of their beneficial owners within the meaning of as defined in Article 3, point 6(6) of Directive (EU) 2015/849-of the European Parliament and of the Council.	
Article 6	(1), point (ca)	·		
127a		(ca) whether and to what extent their direct, indirect or beneficial ownership is held by the government, a State institution, a State-owned enterprise or another public body;		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 6	Article 6(1), point (cb)					
127b		(cb) the name and professional contact details of the natural person who bears editorial responsibility in accordance with the law of the relevant Member State, indicating, where the name and professional contact details of more than one person are given, the part of the media service for which each person is responsible;				
Article 6	(1), point (cc)	I				
127c		(cc) details concerning the				

15514/23		ATR/fco	329
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		ownership structure and how they are related to their parent and sister companies and their subsidiaries;		
Article 6	(1), point (cd)			
127d		(cd) State advertising and State financial support allocated to them.		
Article 6	(1a)			
127e		<u>1a.</u> <u>Media service providers shall</u> <u>keep the information made</u>		

15514/23		ATR/fco	330
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>accessible pursuant to paragraph</u> <u>1 up to date.</u>		
Article 6(1	b)			
127f		1b. Media service providers shall submit the information listed in paragraph 1 to the national media ownership databases referred to in paragraph 2b. Where there is a change in the information listed in paragraph 1, media service providers shall submit that updated information to the national media ownership databases within 30 days of the change.		

331

EN

С	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 6(1c)				
127g		<u>Ic. In duly justified cases and</u> <u>upon request, media service</u> <u>providers, in compliance with</u> <u>Union and national law, shall</u> <u>make available to the national</u> <u>regulatory authorities or bodies, to</u> <u>the Board or, where applicable, to</u> <u>any party with a legitimate interest</u> <u>the business and financial</u> <u>interests or activities of their</u> <u>direct, indirect and beneficial</u> <u>owners in other businesses,</u> <u>including their links to politically</u> <u>exposed persons, as defined in</u> <u>Article 3, point (9), of Directive</u>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and to persons known to be close associates, as defined in Article 3, point (11), of that Directive.		
Article 6	(1d)			
127h		1d. The information provided under paragraphs 1 and 2a shall respect the fundamental rights concerned, such as the respect for the private and family life of beneficial owners. That information shall be necessary and proportionate and shall aim to pursue an objective of general interest.		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 6(1e)			
127i	1e. National regulatoryauthorities or bodies shall beentrusted to establish nationalmedia ownership databases tomonitor compliance with theobligation set out in paragraph 1.Those databases shall be publiclyavailable and shall comply withrelevant Union law.On a request from the nationalregulatory authorities or bodies,media service providers shallprovide them with additional		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		information for the purpose of assessing the accuracy of the information provided under paragraphs 1 and 2a.		
Article 6	(1f)			
127j		1f. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall submit data on the information provided under paragraph 1 on a quarterly basis to the European Database of Media Ownership referred to in Article 12, first paragraph, point (fa).		

15514/23		ATR/fco	335
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 6	5(2)			
128	2. Without prejudice to national constitutional laws consistent with the Charter, media service providers providing news and current affairs content shall take measures that they deem appropriate with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions. In particular, such measures shall aim to:	2. Without prejudice to national constitutional laws consistent with the Charter, media service providers <i>providing news and</i> <i>current affairs content</i> shall take measures that they deem appropriate with a view to guaranteeing the independence of <i>individual</i> editorial decisions. In particular, such measures shall aim to:	2. Without prejudice to national constitutional constitutional or other national laws consistent with the Charter, media service providers providing news and current affairs content shall take measures that they deem appropriate with a view to guaranteeing theeditorial independence-of individual editorial decisions. In particular, such measures shall aim to:	
Article 6	5(2), point (a)			
129				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	(a) guarantee that editors are free to take individual editorial decisions in the exercise of their professional activity; and	 (a) guarantee that editors <u>and</u> <u>editors-in-chief</u> are free to take <u>individual</u> editorial decisions in the exercise of their professional activity <u>within the editorial line of</u> <u>the media service provider</u>; and 	(a) guarantee that editors are free to take individual editorial decisions in the exercise of their professional activityeditorial decisions can be taken freely within the established editorial line of the media service provider; and	
Article 6	(2), point (b)			
130	(b) ensure disclosure of any actual or potential conflict of interest by any party having a stake in media service providers that may affect the provision of news and current affairs content.	(b) ensure disclosure of any actual or potential conflict of interest, <i>and</i> <i>of any attempts of interference in</i> <i>the editorial decisions of media</i> <i>service providers by any party</i> <i>having a stake in media service</i> <i>providers that may affect the</i>	(b) ensure disclosure of any actual or potential conflict of interest by any party having a stake in media service providers that may affect the provision of news and current affairs content.	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		provision of news and current affairs content .		
Article 6((2a)			
130a		2a. Media service providers which receive public funds from third countries for the purposes of advertising or purchases shall annually submit a report to the national regulatory authority or body. Such reports shall include at least the following details:		
		<u>(a) the names of the entities</u> granting public funds;		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>(b) the total annual amount of the</u> <u>public funds granted.</u>		
		<u>The national regulatory authority</u> or body shall make information reported pursuant to the first subparagraph publicly available.		
rticle	6(3)			
131	3. The obligations under this Article shall not apply to media service providers that are micro enterprises within the meaning of	3. <i>The obligations under this</i> <i>Article shall not apply to media</i> <i>service providers that are micro</i> <i>enterprises within the meaning of</i> <i>Article 3 of Directive</i>	deleted	EP & Council: paragraph 3 is deleted



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 3 of Directive 2013/34/EU.	2013/34/EU.<mark>deleted</mark>		
Article 6	a			
131a		<u>Article 6a</u> <u>Restrictions on media</u> <u>ownership</u>		
Article 6	a(1)			
131b		1. Natural persons entrusted with the following prominent public functions shall not be beneficial owners, as defined in Article 2(1),		

15514/23		ATR/fco	340
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	point (22), of Regulation (EU)		
	XXXX/XXX [on the prevention of		
	<u>the use of the financial system for</u>		
	<u>the purposes of money laundering</u>		
	or terrorist financing, COD		
	<u>2021/0239], of any press</u>		
	publication or audiovisual media		
	<u>service within the duration of</u>		
	<u>their term of office:</u>		
	(a) in a Member State:		
	(i) heads of State, heads of		
	government or ministers;		
	<u>a</u>		
	<u>(b) at Union level:</u>		
	(i) President of the European		
	Council, President of the		
	Commission or members of the		
	Commission;		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(c) in a third country: (i) functions that are equivalent to those set out in point (a)(i).		
Article 6	a(2)			
131c		2. Where a natural person is entrusted with a prominent public function as set out in paragraph 1, they shall cease operating the media service provider concerned or terminate the business relationship, where it allows for the exercise of influence over the media service provider, with the media service provider concerned		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		without undue delay but, in any event, no later than 60 days after becoming a politically exposed person as defined in Article 3, point (9), of Directive (EU) 2015/849.		
Chap	ter III			
с 13	Chapter III Framework for regulatory cooperation and a well-functioning internal market for media services	Chapter III Framework for regulatory cooperation and a well-functioning internal market for media services	Chapter III Framework for regulatory cooperation and a well-functioning internal market for media services	Chapter III Framework for regulatory cooperation and a well-functioning internal market for media services Text Origin: Commission Proposal



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Section :	1			
133	Section 1 Independent media authorities	Section 1 Independent media authorities	Section 1 Independent media authorities	Section 1 Independent media authorities Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 7	7			
a 134	Article 7	Article 7	Article 7	Article 7
	National regulatory authorities or			

15514/23		ATR/fco	344
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	bodies	bodies	bodies	bodies Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 7	(1)			
135	1. The national regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be responsible for the application of Chapter III of this Regulation.	1. The national regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be responsible for the application of Chapter III of this Regulation.	1. The national regulatory authorities or bodies referred toas defined in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be responsible for2(12) shall ensure, where applicable through consultation or coordination with other relevant authorities or bodies, or,	1. The national regulatory authorities or bodies <i>referred toas</i> <i>defined</i> in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be responsible for2(12) shall ensure, where applicable through consultation or coordination with other relevant authorities or bodies, or, where

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				bodies in their Member States, the application of Chapter III of this Regulation.	their Member States, the application of Chapter III-of this Regulation.
	Article 7	(2)			
G	136	2. The national regulatory authorities or bodies shall be subject to the requirements set out in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU in relation to the exercise of the tasks assigned to them by this Regulation.	2. The national regulatory authorities or bodies shall be subject to the requirements set out in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU in relation to the exercise of the tasks assigned to them by this Regulation.	2. The national regulatory authorities or bodies shall be subject to the requirements set out in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU in relation to the exercise of the tasks assigned to them by this Regulation.	 2. The national regulatory authorities or bodies shall be subject to the requirements set out in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU in relation to the exercise of the tasks assigned to them by this Regulation. Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 7	(2a)			
136a		2a. <u>Member states shall ensure</u> that the national regulatory authorities or bodies are legally distinct from the government and functionally independent from their respective governments and from any other public or private body.		[No change]
Article 7	(3)			
137	3. Member States shall ensure that	3. Member States shall ensure that	3. Member States shall ensure that	3. Member States shall ensure that



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	the national regulatory authorities or bodies have adequate financial, human and technical resources to carry out their tasks under this Regulation.	the national regulatory authorities or bodies have adequate financial, human and technical resources and expertise to carry out their tasks under this Regulation. <u>Member</u> <u>States shall proportionally</u> increase the financial, human and technical resources allocated to national regulatory authorities or bodies in order to take into account the additional tasks conferred upon them under this Regulation.	the national regulatory authorities or bodies have adequate financial, human and technical resources to carry out their tasks under this Regulation.	<pre>the national regulatory authorities or bodies have adequate financial, human and technical resources to carry out their tasks under this Regulation. [Comment: in a Recital to address ``to take into account the additional tasks conferred upon them under this Regulation"]</pre>
Article 7(4), first subparagraph				
138				

15514/23		ATR/fco	348
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	4. Where needed for carrying out their tasks under this Regulation, the national regulatory authorities or bodies shall have appropriate powers of investigation, with regard to the conduct of natural or legal persons to which Chapter III applies.	4 <u>3a</u> . Where needed for carrying out their tasks under this Regulation, the national regulatory authorities or bodies shall have appropriate powers of investigationMember States shall ensure that the national regulatory authorities or bodies are given access to, or are provided with, all information and data necessary for carrying out their tasks under this Regulation, in particular with regard to the conduct of natural or legal persons to which Chapter III applies.	4. Where needed for carrying out their tasks under this Regulation, Member States shall ensure that the national regulatory authorities or bodies shall have appropriate powers of investigation, with regard to the conduct of are empowered to request the natural or legal persons to which Chapter III applies to provide, within a reasonable time period, information and data that are proportionate and necessary for carrying out the tasks under Chapter III .	4. Where needed for carrying out their tasks under this Regulation, <u>Member States shall ensure that</u> the national regulatory authorities or bodies <u>shall have appropriate</u> powers of investigation, with regard to the conduct of <u>are</u> <u>empowered to request the</u> natural or legal persons to which Chapter III applies <u>to provide, within a</u> reasonable time period, information and data that are proportionate and necessary for carrying out the tasks under Chapter III.
Article 7	(4), second subparagraph			
139				



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Those powers shall include in particular the power to request such persons to provide, within a reasonable time period, information that is proportionate and necessary for carrying out the tasks under Chapter III; the request can also be addressed to any other person that, for purposes related to their trade, business or profession, may reasonably be in possession of the information needed.	<i>Those powers shall include in</i> <i>particular the power to request</i> <i>suchOn a request from the</i> <i>national regulatory authorities or</i> <i>bodies, natural or legal</i> persons to <i>providewhich Chapter III applies</i> <i>shall</i> , within a reasonable time period, <i>provide them with</i> information that is proportionate <i>to</i> and necessary for carrying out the tasks <i>underset out in</i> Chapter III; <i>the</i> . <i>On a</i> request <i>can also be</i> <i>addressed tofrom the national</i> <i>regulatory authorities or bodies</i> , any other <i>natural or legal</i> person that, for purposes related to <i>theirits</i> trade, business or profession, <i>maymight</i> reasonably be in possession of <i>the</i> information needed <i>for carrying out the tasks</i> <i>set out in Chapter III shall</i>	deleted	<i>Those powers Member States</i> shall <i>include in particular the power to</i> <i>request such persons to provide,</i> <i>within a reasonable time period,</i> <i>information that is proportionate</i> <i>and necessary for carrying out the</i> <i>tasks under Chapter III; the</i> <i>requestensure that requests</i> can also be addressed to <u>any other</u> <i>natural or legal person that, for</i> <i>purposes related to its trade,</i> <i>business or profession, might</i> <i>reasonably be in possession of</i> <i>information and data that is</i> <i>proportionate and necessary for</i> <i>carrying out the tasks under</i> <i>Chapter III.any other person that,</i> <i>for purposes related to their trade,</i> <i>business or profession, may</i> <i>reasonably be in possession of the</i> <i>information necded.</i>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>provide them with that</u> information.		
Article 7	(4a)			
139a		4a. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall hold regular consultations with the representatives of the media sector. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall publish annually and make publicly available reports which reflect the results of such consultations.		[No change]
Article 7	(4b)			·

351 EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
139b		4b. Member States shall entrust the national regulatory authorities or bodies with developing and maintaining dedicated online media ownership databases containing the information listed in Article 6(1), including at regional or local level. The public shall have easy, swift and effective access, free of charge, to such databases. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall produce regular reports on the ownership of media services under the jurisdiction of the Member State concerned.		[Comment: pending decision on Article 6]
Section	2			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
140	Section 2 European Board for Media Services	Section 2 European Board for Media Services	Section 2 European Board for Media Services	Section 2 European Board for Media Services Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 8	8			
141	Article 8 European Board for Media Services			

15514/23		ATR/fco	353
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 8	(1)			
142	1. The European Board for Media Services ('the Board') is established.	1. The European Board for Media Services ('the Board') is <u>hereby</u> established. <u>The Board shall be a</u> <u>body of the Union and shall have</u> <u>legal personality.</u>	1. The European Board for Media Services ('the Board') is established.	
Article 8	(1a)			
142a		<u>1a.</u> The Board shall enjoy		

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<u>complete independence in the</u> <u>exercise of its functions.</u>		
	Article 8	(2)			
G	143	2. The Board shall replace and succeed the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) established by Directive 2010/13/EU.	2. The Board shall replace and succeed the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) established by Directive 2010/13/EU.	2. The Board shall replace and succeed the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) established by Directive 2010/13/EU.	2. The Board shall replace and succeed the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) established by Directive 2010/13/EU. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 8	(2a)			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
143a		2a. <u>The Board shall have a</u> secretariat and shall be advised by the Expert Group established by Article 11a.				
Article 8	(2b)					
143b		2b. The Board and the secretariat shall be provided with the human and financial resources necessary for the performance of their tasks.				
Article 8	Article 8(2c)					

15514/23		ATR/fco	356
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	143c		2c. <u>The budget of the Board and</u> the secretariat shall be shown in a separate budgetary line within the relevant heading of section III of the budget of the Union.		
	Article 9				
G	144	Article 9 Independence of the Board	Article 9 Independence of the Board	Article 9 Independence of the Board	Article 9 Independence of the Board Text Origin:
					Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 9), first paragraph			
	The Board shall act in full	The Board shall act in full	The Board shall act in full	
	independence when performing its	independence when performing its	independence when performing its	
	tasks or exercising its powers. In	tasks or exercising its powers. In	tasks or exercising its powers. In	
	particular, the Board shall, in the	particular, the Board shall, in the	particular, the Board shall, in the	
	performance of its tasks or the	performance of its tasks or the	performance of its tasks or the	
	exercise of its powers, neither seek	exercise of its powers, neither seek	exercise of its powers, neither seek	
145	nor take instructions from any	nor take instructions from any	nor take instructions from any	
	government, institution, person or	government, institutionnational	government, institution, person or	
	body. This shall not affect the	agency or body, person or Union	body. This shall not affect the	
	competences of the Commission or	institution, body, office or	competences of the Commission or	
	the national regulatory authorities	agencybody. This shall not affect	the national regulatory authorities	
	or bodies in conformity with this	the competences of the	or bodies in conformity with this	
	Regulation.	Commission, or the national	Regulation.	
		regulatory authorities or bodies in		
		conformity with this Regulation.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		This shall also not affect the possibility for the other national regulatory authorities or bodies or representatives of self-regulatory or co-regulatory bodies to participate, as appropriate, in the meetings of the Board.		
Article 1	0			
с 146	Article 10 Structure of the Board	Article 10 Structure of the Board	Article 10 Structure of the Board	Article 10 Structure of the Board
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 1	0(1)			
	147	1. The Board shall be composed of representatives of national regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU.	1. The Board shall be composed of representatives of national regulatory authorities or bodies referred to in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU.	1. The Board shall be composed of representatives of national regulatory authorities or bodies referred toas defined in Article 30 of Directive 2010/13/EU2 (12).	1. The Board shall be composed of representatives of national regulatory authorities or bodies <i>referred toas defined</i> in Article 30 <i>of Directive 2010/13/EU</i> <u>2(12)</u> .
	Article 1	0(2)			
G	148	2. Each member of the Board shall have one vote.	2. Each member of the Board shall have one vote.	2. Each member of the Board shall have one vote.	2. Each member of the Board shall have one vote.





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	0(2a)			
148a			2a. The Board shall take decisions by a two-thirds majority of its members.	2a. The Board shall take decisions by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights. [Comment: EP]
				<pre>intentions on Recital 23 to be discussed; redrafting suggestions to be expected]</pre>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article :	10(3)			
σ 149	3. Where a Member State has more than one national regulatory authority or body, those regulatory authorities or bodies shall coordinate with each other as necessary and appoint a joint representative which shall exercise the right to vote.	3. Where a Member State has more than one national regulatory authority or body, those regulatory authorities or bodies shall coordinate with each other as necessary and appoint a joint representative which shall exercise the right to vote.	3. Where a Member State has more than one national regulatory authority or body, those regulatory authorities or bodies shall coordinate with each other as necessary and appoint a joint representative which shall exercise the right to vote.	3. Where a Member State has more than one national regulatory authority or body, those regulatory authorities or bodies shall coordinate with each other as necessary and appoint a joint representative which shall exercise the right to vote. Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	0(4)			
150	4. The Board shall be represented by its Chair. The Board shall elect a Chair from amongst its members by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights. The term of office of the Chair shall be two years.	4. The Board shall be represented by its Chair. The Board shall <i>electhave a Steering Group. The</i> <i>Steering Group shall consist of</i> <i>members elected from among the</i> <i>members of the Board. The</i> <i>Steering Group shall consist of</i> a Chair, <i>a Vice-Chair, the outgoing</i> <i>Chair and two other members.</i> <i>The Chair and the other members</i> <i>of the Steering Group shall be</i> <i>elected</i> from amongst its members by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights. The term of office of the Chair shall be two years.	4. The Board shall be represented by its Chair. The Board shall elect a Chair and Vice-Chair from amongst its members-by a two- thirds majority of its members with voting rights. The term of office of the Chair shall be two yearsone year, renewable once.	4. The Board shall be represented by its Chair. The Board shall elect a Chair <u>and Vice-Chair</u> from amongst its members <u>. The term of</u> office of the Chair shall be one year, renewable once. The Board may set up a Steering Group-by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights. The term of office of the Chair shall be two years.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	10(5)			
151	5. The Commission shall designate a representative to the Board. The representative of the Commission shall participate in all activities and meetings of the Board, without voting rights. The Chair of the Board shall keep the Commission informed about the ongoing and planned activities of the Board. The Board shall consult the Commission in preparation of its work programme and main deliverables.	5. The Commission shall designate a representative to the Board. The representative of the Commission <i>shallmay</i> participate in- <i>all</i> activities and meetings of the Board, without voting rights. The Chair of the Board shall keep the Commission <i>and the European</i> <i>Parliament</i> informed about the ongoing and planned activities of the Board. <i>The Board shall consult</i> <i>the Commission in preparation of</i> <i>and, in particular, on</i> its work programme and main deliverables.	5. The Commission shall designate a representative to the Board. The representative of the Commission shall participate in all activities and meetingsthe deliberations of the Board, without voting rights. The Chair of the Board shall keep the Commission informed about the ongoing and planned activities of the Board. The Board shall consult the Commission in preparation of its work programme and main deliverables.	5. The Commission shall designate a representative to the Board. The representative of the Commission shall participate in <i>all activities and</i> <i>meetingsthe deliberations</i> of the Board, without voting rights. The Chair of the Board shall keep the Commission informed about the <i>ongoing and planned</i> activities of the Board. <i>The Board shall consult</i> <i>the Commission in preparation of</i> <i>its work programme and main</i> <i>deliverables.</i> [Comment: mention to European Parliament

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				in Article 12]
Article 1	0(6)			
152	6. The Board, in agreement with the Commission, may invite experts and observers to attend its meetings.	6. The Board <u>may invite experts</u> and, with the, in agreement with of the Commission, may invite experts and observers to attend its meetings observers to attend its meetings or to participate, on an ad hoc basis, in its work.	6. The Board may invite experts and , in agreement with the Commission, may invite experts and permanent observers to attend its meetings.	 6. The Board <u>may invite experts</u> and, in agreement with the Commission, may invite experts andpermanent observers to attend its meetings.
Article 1	0(7)			
153	7. The Board shall take decisions	7. The Board shall take decisions		7. The Board shall take decisions

ATR/fco LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights.	by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights.	deleted	by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights.<mark>deleted</mark>
Article 1	0(8)			
154	8. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights, in agreement with the Commission.	8. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights. <i>Prior to the adoption</i> of its rules of procedure, the Board shall give the Commission an opportunity to provide comments. The Board shall lay down, in its rules of procedure, the practical arrangements for the prevention and management of conflict of interests and shall	8. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure by a two-thirds majority of its members with voting rights, in agreement, in consultation with the Commission.	8. The Board shall adopt its rules of procedure, <i>in consultation with</i> <i>the Commission. Those rules of</i> <i>procedure shall include the</i> <i>practical arrangements for the</i> <i>prevention and management of</i> <i>conflict of interests of the</i> <i>Members of the Board-by a two-</i> <i>thirds majority of its members with</i> <i>voting rights, in agreement with the</i> <i>Commission</i> .



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			inform the European Parliament of the rules of procedures it adopts or any substantial changes it makes to them, in agreement with the Commission.		[Comment: European Parliament to be added in a specific paragraph/Article with a broader coverage; suggestion - Article 12]
	Article 1	1			
G	155	Article 11 Secretariat of the Board	Article 11 Secretariat of the Board	Article 11 Secretariat of the Board	Article 11 Secretariat of the Board

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	1(1)			
156	 The Board shall have a secretariat, which shall be provided by the Commission. 	 The Board shall have a be assisted by a separate and independent secretariat, which. The secretariat shall be provided by the Commissiontake instructions only from the Board. 	 The Board shall have a secretariat, which shall be provided by the Commission and be adequately resourced. 	
Article 1	1(2)			
157				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	2. The main task of the secretariat shall be to contribute to the execution of the tasks of the Board laid down in this Regulation and in Directive 2010/13/EU.	2. The main task of the secretariat shall be to contribute to the execution of the tasks of the Board laid down in this Regulation and in Directive 2010/13/EU.	2. The main task of the secretariat shall be to contribute to the independent execution of the tasks of the Board laid down in this Regulation and in Directive 2010/13/EU. In particular, it shall provide administrative and organisational support to the activities of the Board.	
Article 1	1(3)			
158	3. The secretariat shall provide administrative and organisational support to the activities of the Board. The secretariat shall also assist the Board in carrying out its	3. The secretariat shall provide administrative and organisational support to the activities of the Board. The secretariat shall also assist the Board <u>substantively</u> in	3. The secretariat shall provide administrative and organisational support to the activities of the Board.coordinate closely with the Board and its Chair. When assisting the Board with drawing	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
	tasks.	carrying out its tasks.	up its deliverables, the secretariat shall also assist the Board in carrying out its tasks act on the instructions of the Board and its Chair as regards their content.			
Article 1	1a					
158a		<u>Article 11a</u> <u>Expert Group to the Board</u>				
Article 1	Article 11a, first paragraph					
158b		<u>An Expert Group shall be</u>				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		established. The Expert Group shall consist of representatives from the media sector beyond the audiovisual media sector. The representatives of the Expert Groups shall be appointed in a transparent, objective and non- discriminatory manner.		
Article 1	1a, second paragraph			
158c		<u>The Expert Group shall be</u> <u>composed of one or more</u> <u>representatives from the media</u> <u>sectors of each Member State,</u> <u>from European associations or</u> <u>from European organisations with</u> <u>expertise on media beyond the</u>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		audiovisual media sector or one or more natural persons with expertise on media beyond the audiovisual media sector. Details on the full composition of the Expert Group shall be laid down in the Board's rules of procedure.		
Article 1	1a, third paragraph			
158d		The Expert Group shall provide independent expertise, assistance and advice to the Board in carrying out its tasks on issues related to media freedom and pluralism.		





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article 11	Article 11a, fourth paragraph						
158e		The Expert Group may draft a recommendation, on its own initiative or on a request by the Board, Commission or the European Parliament, regarding the Board's work programme and the effective and consistent application of Chapter 3 of this Regulation. The Expert Group shall make such recommendations publicly available.					
Article 11	Article 11a, fifth paragraph						
158f							

15514/23		ATR/fco	373
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Where the Board deals with a matter beyond the audiovisual media sector or relating to the press, it shall consult the Expert Group.		
Article 1	2			
g 159	Article 12 Tasks of the Board	Article 12 Tasks of the Board	Article 12 Tasks of the Board	Article 12 Tasks of the Board
. 137				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

15514/23		ATR/fco	374
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
le 12, first paragraph			
Without prejudice to the powers granted to the Commission by the Treaties, the Board shall promote the effective and consistent application of this Regulation and of national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU throughout the Union. The Board shall:	Without prejudice to the powers granted to the Commission by the Treaties, The Board shall promote the effective and consistent application of this Regulation and of national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU throughout the Union. The Board shall:	Without prejudice to the powers granted to the Commission by the Treaties, the Board shall advise and support the Commission on matters related to media services within its competence as well as promote the effective and consistent application of Chapter III of this Regulation and of national rules implementingthe implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU throughout the Union. The Board shall therefore :	

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	161	(a) support the Commission, through technical expertise, in ensuring the correct application of this Regulation and the consistent implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU across all Member States, without prejudice to the tasks of national regulatory authorities or bodies;	(a) support the Commission, through <i>technicalits</i> expertise, in ensuring the correct application of this Regulation and the consistent implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU across all Member States, without prejudice to the tasks of national regulatory authorities or bodies;	(a) supportprovide technical expertise to the Commission; through technical expertise, in ensuring the correct in its task to ensure the consistent application of Chapter III of this Regulation and the consistent implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU across all Member States, without prejudice to the tasks of national regulatory authorities or bodies;	
	Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (b)			
G	162	(b) promote cooperation and the effective exchange of information,	(b) promote cooperation and the effective exchange of information,	(b) promote cooperation and the effective exchange of information,	(b) promote cooperation and the effective exchange of information,



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	experience and best practices between the national regulatory authorities or bodies on the application of the Union and national rules applicable to media services, including this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU, in particular as regards Articles 3, 4 and 7 of that Directive;	experience and best practices between the national regulatory authorities or bodies on the application of the Union and national rules applicable to media services, including this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU, in particular as regards Articles 3, 4 and 7 of that Directive;	experience and best practices between the national regulatory authorities or bodies on the application of the Union and national rules applicable to media services, including this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU, in particular as regards Articles 3, 4 and 7 of that Directive;	experience and best practices between the national regulatory authorities or bodies on the application of the Union and national rules applicable to media services, including this Regulation and Directive 2010/13/EU, in particular as regards Articles 3, 4 and 7 of that Directive; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (c)			
163	(c) advise the Commission, where	(c) advise the Commission, <u>on its</u>		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	requested by it, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent application of this Regulation and implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU as well as all on other matters related to media services within its competence. Where the Commission requests advice or opinions from the Board, it may indicate a time limit, taking into account the urgency of the matter;	<i>own initiative or</i> where requested by it, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent application of this Regulation and implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU as well as all on other matters related to media services within its competence. Where the Commission requests advice or opinions from the Board, it may indicate a time limit, taking into account the urgency of the matter, <i>by which the Board is to</i> <i>respond to the Commission's</i> <i>request</i> ;	deleted	Council: (sub- paragraph (c) has been deleted, and its contents have been inserted in paragraph 1.)
Article 1.	2, first paragraph, point (d)			
164				

15514/23		ATR/fco	378
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	<i>(d)</i> when requested by the Commission, provide opinions on the technical and factual issues that arise with regard to Article 2(5c), Article 3(2) and (3), Article 4(4), point (c) and Article 28a(7) of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(d) when requested by on its own initiative or upon request of the Commission, provide opinions on the technical and factual issues that arise with regard to Article 2(5c), Article 3(2) and (3), Article 4(4), point (c) and Article 28a(7) of Directive 2010/13/EU;	(d) when requested by the Commission, provide opinions on the technical and factual issues that arise with regard to Article 2(5c), Article 3(2) and (3), Article 4(4), point (c) and Article 28a(7) of Directive 2010/13/EU;	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (e)			
165	(e) in agreement with the Commission, draw up opinions with respect to:	(e) <i>in agreement with the</i> <i>Commission,</i> draw up opinions with respect to:	(e) in agreementconsultation with the Commission, draw up opinions with respect to:	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (e)(i)	1		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
166	(i) requests for cooperation and mutual assistance between national regulatory authorities or bodies, in accordance with Article 13(7) of this Regulation;	(i) requests for cooperation and mutual assistance between national regulatory authorities or bodies, in accordance with Article 13(7) of this Regulation;	(i) requests for cooperation and mutual assistance-between national regulatory authorities or bodies, in accordance with Article 13(7) of this Regulation;	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (e)(ii) (ii) requests for enforcement measures in case of disagreement between the requesting authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding the actions recommended pursuant to Article 14(4) of this Regulation;	(ii) requests for enforcement measures in case of disagreement between the requesting authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding the actions recommended pursuant to Article 14(4) of this Regulation;	(ii) requests for enforcement measures in case of disagreement between the requesting authority or body and the requested authority or body, including recommended regarding the actions recommended , pursuant to Article 14(4) of this Regulation;	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (e)(iii)			
168	(iii) national measures concerning media service providers established outside of the Union, in accordance with Article 16(2) of this Regulation;	(iii) national measures concerning media service providers established outside of the Union, in accordance with Article 16(2) of this Regulation;	(iii) national measures concerning media service providers establishedservices from outside of the Union, in accordance with Article 16(2) of this Regulation;	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (f)			
169	(f) upon request of the Commission, draw up opinions with respect to:	(f) <u>on its own initiative or</u> upon request of the Commission, draw up opinions with respect to:	(f) upon request of the Commission, draw up opinions with respect to : media market concentrations which are likely	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, in accordance with Article 22(1) of this Regulation;	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (f)(i)	1		
170	(i) national measures which are likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, in accordance with Article 20(4) of this Regulation;	 (i) national measures which are likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services or which have an impact on media pluralism or the editorial independence of media service providers, in accordance with Article 20(4) of this Regulation; 	deleted	





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1.	Article 12(1), point (f), first subparagraph, point (ia)			
170a		(ia) factors to be taken into account when applying the criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations, in accordance with Article 21(3) of this Regulation;		
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (f)(ii)			
171	(ii) media market concentrations which are likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services, in accordance with Article 22(1) of this	(ii) media market concentrations which are likely to affect the functioning of the internal market for media services <i>or which have</i> <i>an impact on media pluralism or</i>	deleted	

383

EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
	Regulation;	<i>the editorial independence of</i> <i>media service providers</i> , in accordance with Article 22(1) of this Regulation;			
Article 1.	2(1), point (fa)				
171a		(fa) establish and maintain the European Database of Media Ownership, which collects information provided by national regulatory authorities and bodies under Article 6;			
Article 1	Article 12, first paragraph, point (g)				

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
172	(g) draw up opinions on draft national opinions or decisions assessing the impact on media pluralism and editorial independence of a notifiable media market concentration where such a concentration may affect the functioning of the internal market, in accordance with Article 21(5) of this Regulation;	(g) draw up opinions on draft national opinions or decisions assessing <i>the impact on media</i> <i>pluralism and editorial</i> <i>independence of</i> a notifiable media market concentration <i>where such a</i> <i>concentration may affect the</i> <i>functioning of the internal market</i> , in accordance with Article 21(5) of this Regulation;	(g) draw up opinions on-draft national opinions or decisions assessing the impact on media pluralism and editorial independence of a notifiable media market concentration where such a concentration may affect the functioning of the internal market, in accordance with Article 21(5) of this Regulation;	
Article 1	2(1), point (g)(i)			
172a			(i) national measures which are likely to significantly and adversely affect the operation of	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			media service providers in the internal market, in accordance with Article 20(4) of this Regulation;	
Article 1	2(1), point (g)(ii)			
172Ь			(ii) draft national assessments or draft opinions on the impact on media pluralism and editorial independence of a notifiable media market concentration where such a concentration is likely to affect the functioning of the internal market, in accordance with Article 21(5) of this Regulation;	

15514/23		ATR/fco	386
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 12	2, first paragraph, point (h)			
G	173	(h) assist the Commission in drawing up guidelines with respect to:	(h) assist the Commission in drawing up guidelines with respect to:	(h) assist the Commission in drawing up guidelines with respect to:	<pre>(h) assist the Commission in drawing up guidelines with respect to: Text Origin: Commission Proposal</pre>
	Article 12	2, first paragraph, point (h)(i)			
	174	(i) the application of this Regulation and of the national	(i) the application of this Regulation and of the national	(i) the application of this Regulation and of the national	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	rules implementing Directive 2010/13, in accordance with Article 15(2) of this Regulation.	rules implementing Directive 2010/13, in accordance with Article 15(2) of this Regulation.	rules implementing the implementation of Directive 2010/13, in accordance with Article 15(2) of this Regulation.	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (h)(ii)			
175	(ii) factors to be taken into account when applying the criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations, in accordance with Article 21(3) of this Regulation;	 (ii) factors to be taken into account when applying the criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations, <i>on media pluralism and editorial independence</i> in accordance with Article 21(3) of this Regulation; 	(ii) factorselements to be taken into account when applying the criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations, in accordance with Article 21(3) of this Regulation;	
Article 12, first paragraph, point (h)(iii)				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
۶ 176	(iii) the application of Articles 23(1), (2) and (3) pursuant to Article 23(4) of this Regulation.	(iii) the application of Articles 23(1), (2) and (3) pursuant to Article 23(4) of this Regulation.	(iii) the application of Articles 23(1), (2) and (3) pursuant to Article 23(4) of this Regulation.	 (iii) the application of Articles 23(1), (2) and (3) pursuant to Article 23(4) of this Regulation. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (i)			
177	(i) upon request of at least one of the concerned authorities, mediate in the case of disagreements between national regulatory authorities or bodies, in accordance with Article 14(3) of this	 (i) upon request of at least one of the concerned authorities <u>or</u> <u>bodies</u>, mediate in the case of disagreements between national regulatory authorities or bodies, in accordance with Article 14(3) of 	 (i) upon request of at least one of the concerned authorities, mediate in the case of disagreements between national regulatory authorities or bodies, in accordance with Article 14(3) of this 	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Regulation;	this Regulation;	Regulation;	
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (j)			·
178	(j) foster cooperation on technical standards related to digital signals and the design of devices or user interfaces, in accordance with Article 15(4) of this Regulation;	(j) foster cooperation on <i>technicalharmonised European</i> standards related to digital signals and the design of devices or user interfaces, in accordance with Article 15(4) of this Regulation;	(j) foster cooperation on technicalharmonised standards related to digital signals and the design of devices or user interfaces, in accordance with Article 15(4)19(4) of this Regulation;	
Article 12, first paragraph, point (k)				
179	(k) coordinate national measures	(k) coordinate national measures	(k) coordinate national measures	





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	related to the dissemination of or access to content of media service providers established outside of the Union that target audiences in the Union, where their activities prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence, in accordance with Article 16(1) of this Regulation;	related to the dissemination of or access to content of media service providers established outside of the Union that target <i>audiences<u>recipients</u></i> in the Union, <i>where their activities prejudice or</i> <i>present a serious and grave risk of</i> <i>prejudice to public security and</i> <i>defence</i> , in accordance with Article 16(1) of this Regulation;	related to the dissemination of or access to content of media service providers establishedservices from outside of the Union that target or reach audiences in the Union, where their activitiessuch media services prejudice or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security-and defence, [] in accordance with Article 16(1) of this Regulation and in consultation with the Commission draw up a list of criteria in accordance with 16(3) of this Regulation;	
	2, first paragraph, point (I)			
180				

15514/23		ATR/fco	391
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(1) organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and of civil society, and report on its results to the Commission, in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation;	 (1) organise, with the involvement of the Expert Group, a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, providers of very large online search engines and representatives of media service providers and of civil society and other relevant stakeholders, and report on its results to the Commission and to the European Parliament, in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation; 	(1) organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and of civil society, and report on its results to the Commission, in accordance with Article 18 of this Regulation;	
	Article 12	2, first paragraph, point (m)			
G	181	(m) foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment	(m) foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment	(m) foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment	(m) foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	of audience measurement systems, in accordance with Article 23(5) of this Regulation.	of audience measurement systems, in accordance with Article 23(5) of this Regulation.	of audience measurement systems, in accordance with Article 23(5) of this Regulation.	of audience measurement systems, in accordance with Article 23(5) of this Regulation. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (ma)			
181a		(ma) <u>develop, in consultation with</u> media service providers and other relevant stakeholders, guidelines and recommendations on the criteria and methodology for the distribution of public funds for State advertising and purchases in		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
		accordance with Article 24;				
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (mb)					
181b		(mb) support the Commission in carrying out the monitoring exercised referred to in Article 25;				
Article 1	Article 12, first paragraph, point (mc)					
181c		(mc) <u>foster the development and</u> <u>use of effective measures and</u> <u>tools to strengthen media literacy,</u> <u>including the development of best</u> <u>practices for national authorities</u>				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
		<u>and bodies, media service</u> providers, online platforms and online search engines;				
Article 1	2, first paragraph, point (md)					
181d		(md) prepare a detailed annual report and follow-up of its activities and tasks set out in this paragraph and present it to the European Parliament.				
Article 1	Article 12, first paragraph a					
181e		(1a) In so far as necessary to				

15514/23		ATR/fco	395
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
		achieve the objectives set out in this Regulation and to carry out its tasks, the Board may, without prejudice to the competences of the Member States and the Union institutions, in coordination with the Commission, cooperate with competent Union bodies, offices, agencies and advisory bodies, competent authorities in third countries and international organisations. To that end, the Board may, subject to prior approval by the Commission, establish working arrangements.			
Article 1	Article 12(2)				
181f					

15514/23		ATR/fco	396
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			2. Where the Commission requests advice or opinions from the Board, it may indicate a time limit, unless otherwise provided for in Union law, taking into account the urgency of the matter.	
Article 12(3)			
181g			The Board shall forward its deliverables to the contact committee established by Article 29 of Directive 2010/13/EU.	
Section 3				





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
182	Section 3 Regulatory cooperation and convergence	Section 3 Regulatory cooperation and convergence	Section 3 Regulatory cooperation and convergence	Section 3 Regulatory cooperation and convergence
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	.3			
183	Article 13 Structured cooperation	Article 13 Structured cooperation	Article 13 Structured cooperation	Article 13 Structured cooperation
				Text Origin:

15514/23		ATR/fco	398
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 13(1))			Commission Proposal
184 or au as mo au au au au cu co of mo	A national regulatory authority body may request ('requesting athority') cooperation or mutual sistance at any time from one or ore national regulatory athorities or bodies ('requested athorities') for the purposes of achange of information or taking easures relevant for the onsistent and effective application of this Regulation or the national easures implementing Directive 010/13/EU.	1. A national regulatory authority or body may request ('requesting authority') cooperation, <i>including</i> <i>the exchange of information and</i> <i>or</i> mutual assistance, at any time from one or more national regulatory authorities or bodies ('requested authorities') for the <i>purposes of exchange of</i> <i>information or taking measures</i> <i>relevant for the consistent and</i> effective application of this Regulation or the national	1. A national regulatory authority or body may request-('requesting authority') cooperation or mutual assistancemay request cooperation at any time from one or more national regulatory authorities or bodies ('requested authorities') for the purposes of exchange of information or taking measuresmutual assistance relevant for the consistent and effective application of Chapter III of this Regulation or the	 I. I. A national regulatory authority or body may request ('requesting authority') may request cooperation, including the exchange of information or mutual assistance, at any time from one or more national regulatory authorities or bodies ('requested authorities') for the purposes of exchange of information or taking measures relevant for the consistent and



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		2010/13/EU.	implementingimplementation of Directive 2010/13/EU.	of this Regulation or the <i>national</i> measures implementingimplementation of Directive 2010/13/EU.
Article 1	.3(2)			
185	2. Where a national regulatory authority or body considers that there is a serious and grave risk of prejudice to the functioning of the internal market for media services or a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence, it may request other national regulatory authorities or bodies to provide accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance,	2. Where a national regulatory authority or body considers that there is a serious and grave risk of prejudice to the functioning of the internal market for media services or media content constitutes a public provocation to commit a terrorist offence as set out in Article 5 of Directive (EU) 2017/541 or presents a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public	deleted	2. Where a national regulatory authority or body considers that there is a serious and grave risk of prejudice to the functioning of the internal market for media services or a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security and defence, it may request other national regulatory authorities or bodies to provide accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance,

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	while ensuring compliance with fundamental rights, in particular freedom of expression.	security and to the safeguarding of national security and defence, it may request other national regulatory authorities or bodies to provide accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, while ensuring compliance with fundamental rights, in particular freedom of expression.		while ensuring compliance with fundamental rights, in particular freedom of expression.(para 2 to be moved to new para 8)
Article 1	3(3)			
186	3. Requests for cooperation or mutual assistance, including accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, shall contain all the necessary information, including the purpose of and reasons for it.	3. Requests for cooperation, <u>such</u> as the exchange of information and or mutual assistance, including accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, shall contain all the necessary information	3. Requests for cooperation or mutual assistance, including accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, shall contain all the necessary information, including the purpose of and reasons for it.	3. <u>3.</u> Requests for cooperation or mutual assistance, including accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, shall contain all the necessary information related to

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<u>related to the request</u> , including the purpose of and reasons for it.		<i>the request</i> , including the purpose of and reasons for it.
	Article 1	3(4), first subparagraph			
G	187	4. The requested authority may refuse to address the request only in the following cases:	4. The requested authority may refuse to address the request only in the following cases:	4. The requested authority may refuse to address the request only in the following cases:	 4. The requested authority may refuse to address the request only in the following cases: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	3(4), first subparagraph, point (a)			

402 EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
188	 (a) it is not competent for the subject matter of the request or for the measures it is requested to take; 3(4), first subparagraph, point (b) 	(a) it is not competent for the subject matter of the request or for the measures it is requested to take;	(a) it is not competent for the subject matter of the request or for the measures it is to provide the type of cooperation requested -to take ;	(a) <u>(a)</u> it is not competent for the subject matter of the request or for the measures it is to provide the type of cooperation requested to take;
189	(b) execution of the request would infringe this Regulation, Directive 2010/13/EU or other Union legislation or Member State law compliant with Union law to which the requested authority is subject.	(b) execution of the request would infringe this Regulation, Directive 2010/13/EU or other Union legislation or Member State law compliant with Union law to which the requested authority is subject.	(b) execution of the request would infringe this Regulation, Directive 2010/13/EU or other Union legislation or Member Statenational law compliant with Union law to which the requested authority is subject.	(b) execution of the request would infringe this Regulation, Directive 2010/13/EU or other Union legislation or <i>Member</i> <i>State<u>national</u> law compliant with Union law to which the requested authority is subject.</i>



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 1	3(4), first subparagraph, point (ba)			
189a		(ba) the request was not duly justified.		merged with point c below
Article 1	3(4), first subparagraph, point (c)			
189b			(c) the scope or the subject	(c) the scope or the subject matter

15514/23		ATR/fco	404
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			matter of the request is unjustified or disproportionate.	<u>of the request is not duly justified</u> <u>or is disproportionate.</u>
Article 1	.3(4), second subparagraph			
190	The requested authority shall provide reasons for any refusal to address a request.	The requested authority shall provide reasons for any refusal to address a request. <u>Where the</u> <u>requested authority refuses to</u> <u>address a request under the first</u> <u>subparagraph, point (a), it shall,</u> <u>where possible, indicate the</u> <u>authority that is competent for the</u> <u>subject matter of the request or for</u> <u>the measures it was requested to</u> <u>take.</u>	The requested authority shall, without undue delay, provide reasons for any refusal to address a request. In cases under point (a) of the first subparagraph, it shall, where possible, indicate the competent authority.	The requested authority shall, without undue delay, provide reasons for any refusal to address a request. In cases under point (a) of the first subparagraph, it shall, where possible, indicate the competent authority.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	3(5)			
191	5. The requested authority shall inform the requesting authority of the results achieved or of the progress of the measures taken in response to the request.	5. The requested authority shall inform the requesting authority <i>without undue delay</i> of the results achieved or of the progress of the measures taken in response to the request.	deleted	5. <i>The requested authority shall</i> <i>inform the requesting authority of</i> <i>the results achieved or of the</i> <i>progress of the measures taken in</i> <i>response to the request.</i>
Article 1	3(6)			
192	6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay.The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within	6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. <i>Further details on the procedure of the structured cooperation</i> ,	6. The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay . The requested authority shall provide intermediary results within	 6. <u>6.</u> The requested authority shall do its utmost to address and reply to the request without undue delay. <i>The requested authority shall and</i> provide <i>intermediary results within</i>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.	including the rights and obligations of the parties, the deadlines to be respected and intermediary results, shall be set out in the Board's rules of procedureThe requested authority shall provide intermediary results within the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the request within 14 calendar days.	the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent and, where possible, provide regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the execution of the request-within 14 calendar days.	the period of 14 calendar days from the receipt of the request, with subsequent regular updates on the progress of execution of the request. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation or mutual assistance, the requested authority shall address and reply to the execution of the request-within 14 calendar days.
Article 1	.3(7)	1	1	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
193	7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referral, the Board shall issue, in agreement with the Commission, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its outmost to take into	7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by the requested authority to be sufficient to address and reply to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. <i>Following receipt of such a</i> <i>referral and</i> within <i>14 calendar</i> <i>days from the receipt of that</i> <i>referrala time period to be</i> <i>specified in the Board's rules of</i> <i>procedure</i> , the Board shall issue, in <i>agreementconsultation</i> with the	7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken byconsiders that the requested authority to be sufficient to address and replyhas not sufficiently addressed or replied to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested requesting authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missingand the requested authority do not come to an agreement, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of that referralIn	 7. 7. Where the requesting authority does not consider the measures taken by considers that the requested authority to be sufficient to address and replyhas not sufficiently addressed or replied to its request, it shall inform the requested authority without undue delay, explaining the reasons for its position. If the requested requesting authority does not agree with that position, or if the requested authority's reaction is missing and the requested authority do not come to an agreement concerning the request position. If the request, either authority may refer the matter to the Board. Within 14

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	account the opinion of the Board.	Commission where the Board deems it relevant, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority shall do its outmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.	accordance with timelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure, the Board shall issue, in agreementconsultation with the Commission, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authorityauthorities concerned shall do its outmost their utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.	 calendar days from the receipt of that referral In accordance with timelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure, the Board shall issue, in agreementconsultation with the Commission, an opinion on the matter, including recommended actions. The requested authority authorities concerned shall do its outmost their utmost to take into account the opinion of the Board.
Article 1	3(8)			
193a				

15514/23		ATR/fco	409
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		 8. Where a national regulatory authority or body considers that there is a serious and grave risk of limitation of the freedom to provide or receive media services in the internal market or a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, it may request other national regulatory authorities or bodies to provide accelerated cooperation, including for the purposes of ensuring effective application of national measures under Article 3 of the Directive 2010/13/EU. In case of requests for accelerated cooperation, the requested authority shall do its utmost to address such requests within 14 calendar days. Paragraphs 3, 4 and 7 of this 	7a. Where a national regulatory authority or body considers thatauthority or body considers thatthere is a serious and grave risk oflimitation of the freedom toprovide or receive media servicesin the internal market or a seriousand grave risk of prejudice topublic security, it may requestother national regulatoryauthorities or bodies to provideaccelerated cooperation, whileensuring compliance withfundamental rights, in particularfreedom of expression, includingfor the purposes of ensuringeffective application of nationalmeasures under Article 3 of theDirective 2010/13/EU.The requested authority shallreply to and do its utmost to

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			Article shall apply accordingly.	address requests for accelerated cooperation within 14 calendar days. Paragraphs 3,4 and 7 of this Article shall apply mutatis mutandis to requests for accelerated cooperation.
Article 1	3(7b)			
193b				7b. Further details on the procedure of the structured cooperation under this Article shall be set out in the Board's rules of procedure.
Article 1	4			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
194 Article 1	Article 14 Requests for enforcement of obligations by video-sharing platforms	Article 14 Requests for enforcement of obligations by video-sharing platforms	Article 14 Requests for enforcement of obligations by of video-sharing platformsplatform providers	Article 14 Requests for enforcement of obligations <i>byof</i> video-sharing <i>platformsplatform providers</i>
195	1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body may request another national regulatory authority or body to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations	1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body may request another national regulatory authority or body to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations	 Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body ('requesting authority') may submit a duly justified may request to another national regulatory authority or body ('requested authority'), which is 	1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2000/31/EC, a national regulatory authority or body ('requesting authority') may submit a duly justified may request to another national regulatory authority or body ('requested authority'), which is competent for

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	imposed on video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU.	imposed on video-sharing platforms under Article 28b of Directive 2010/13/EU.	competent for the subject matter of the request, to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations imposed on video- sharing platformsplatform providers under Article 28b 28b(1) to 28b(3) of Directive 2010/13/EU.	<pre>the subject matter of the request, to take necessary and proportionate actions for the effective enforcement of the obligations imposed on video-sharing platformsplatform providers under Article 28b28b(1) to 28b(3) of Directive 2010/13/EU.</pre>
Article 1	4(2)		<u> </u>	
196	2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay	2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay	2. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay	 2. <u>2.</u> The requested <i>national</i> authority or <i>body shallshall inform</i>

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
and within 30 calendar days, inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.	and within, <u>a maximum time</u> <u>period to be specified in the</u> <u>Board's rules of procedure-30</u> calendar days , inform the requesting national authority or body about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1 <u>or</u> <u>justify the reasons for which</u> <u>actions were not taken</u> .	and within 30 calendar daystimelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure , inform the requesting national-authority or body-about the actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1.	<pre>the requesting authority, without undue delay, of the actions it has taken or plans to take, or -and within 30 calendar days, inform the requesting national authority or body about the reasons for which actions taken or plannedwere not taken, pursuant to a request under paragraph 1. The Board shall establish the timelines for that purpose in its rules of procedure.</pre> [Comment: to be in each of the other 2 paragraphs this more general reference ``timelines for that purpose"]

15514/23		ATR/fco	414
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national	3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national	 3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting national 	3. In the event of a disagreement between the requesting <i>national</i>
197	authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.	authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken <i>or planned or a refusal to</i> <i>take actions</i> pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.	authority or body and the requested authority or body regarding actions taken or planned pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.	authority or body and the requested authority regarding actions taken or planned or a lack of actions or body regarding actions taken pursuant to paragraph 1, either authority or body may refer the matter to the Board for mediation in view of finding an amicable solution.
Article 1	4(4)			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
198	4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in agreement with the Commission, without undue delay.	4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority or body or the requested national authority or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1. If the Board considers that the requested authority <u>or body</u> has not complied with such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply with the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in agreement consultation with the Commission <u>where it deems it</u>	4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting national authority-or body or the requested national-authority-or body may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the requested authority or body has complied with a request referred to in paragraph 1 has been sufficiently addressed. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not complied withsufficiently addressed such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to comply withaddress the request. The Board shall issue its opinion, in	 4. If no amicable solution has been found following mediation by the Board, the requesting <i>national</i> authority <i>or body</i> or the requested <i>national</i> authority <i>or body</i> may request the Board to issue an opinion on the matter. In its opinion the Board shall assess whether the <i>requested authority or body has complied with a</i> request referred to in paragraph 1 <i>has been sufficiently</i> <i>addressed</i>. If the Board considers that the requested authority has not <i>complied withsufficiently</i> <i>addressed</i> such a request, the Board shall recommend actions to <i>comply withaddress</i> the request. The Board shall issue its opinion,

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>relevant</u> , without undue delay.	agreementconsultation with the Commission, without undue delay.	in <i>agreement<u>consultation</u></i> with the Commission, without undue delay.
Article 2	14(5)			
199	5. The requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days at the latest from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.	5. <i>Following receipt of the</i> <i>opinion referred to in paragraph</i> <i>4</i> , the requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days at the <i>latest from the receipt of the</i> <i>opinion referred to in paragraph</i> <i>4a maximum time period to be</i> <i>specified in the Board's rules of</i> <i>procedure</i> , inform the Board, the <i>Commission and the</i> requesting authority or body <i>and, where</i> <i>necessary, the Commission</i> of the	5. The requested national-authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days at the latest from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4timelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure, inform the Board, the Commission and the requesting authority or body of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.	5. Following receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4, the requested authorityThe requested national authorityThe requested national authorityThe requested national authority or body shall, without undue delay and within 30 calendar days at the latest from the receipt of the opinion referred to in paragraph 4timelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure, inform the Board, the

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.		Commission and the requesting authority- <i>or body</i> of the actions taken or planned in relation to the opinion.
Article	15			
G 200	Article 15 Guidance on media regulation matters	Article 15 Guidance on media regulation matters	Article 15 Guidance on media regulation matters	Article 15 Guidance on media regulation matters Text Origin: Commission Proposal

15514/23		ATR/fco	418
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article	Article 15(1)						
201	1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in close cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.	1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in <i>close</i> cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU.	1. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, and in close cooperation with the Commission, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementingChapter III of this Regulation and implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU.	 The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices among the national regulatory authorities or bodies, consulting stakeholders, where appropriate, <i>and in close</i> <i>cooperation with the Commission</i>, on regulatory, technical or practical aspects pertinent to the consistent and effective application of <i>Chapter III</i> <i>of</i> this Regulation and <i>of the</i> <i>national rules</i> <i>implementingimplementation of</i> Directive 2010/13/EU. 			



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 1	5(2)			
	202	2. Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the application of this Regulation or the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall assist it by providing expertise on regulatory, technical or practical aspects, as regards in particular:	2. Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the application of this Regulation or the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall assist it by providing expertise on regulatory, technical or practical aspects, as regards in particular:	21a . Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the application of Chapter III of this Regulation or the national rules implementingimplementation of Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall assist it by providing expertise on regulatory, technical or practical aspects, as regards in particular:	2. Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the application of this Regulation or the <i>national</i> <i>rules implementingimplementation</i> of Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall assist it by providing expertise on regulatory, technical or practical aspects, as regards in particular:
	Article 1	5(2), point (a)			
5	203	(a) the appropriate prominence of	(a) the appropriate prominence of	(a) the appropriate prominence of	(a) the appropriate prominence of

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU;	audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU;	audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU;	audiovisual media services of general interest under Article 7a of Directive 2010/13/EU; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU.	(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU <u>and Article</u> <u>6 of this Regulation</u> .	(b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU.	 (b) making information accessible on the ownership structure of media service providers, as provided under Article 5(2) of Directive 2010/13/EU and Article 6 (1) of this Regulation.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	5(1a), second subparagraph			
204a			Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU, it shall consult the contact committee established pursuant to Article 29 of that Directive.	Where the Commission issues guidelines related to the implementation of Directive 2010/13/EU, it shall consult the contact committee established pursuant to Article 29 of that Directive.

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	5(3)			Mandate
205	3. The Commission may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU. The Board shall assist the Commission in this regard, where requested.	3. The Commission, <i>assisted by</i> <i>the Board</i> , may issue an opinion on any matter related to the application of this Regulation and of the national rules implementing Directive 2010/13/EU. <i>The Board</i> <i>shall assist the Commission in this</i> <i>regard, where requested</i> .	3. Where the Commission may issueissues an opinion on anya matter related to the application of Chapter III of this Regulation and of the national rules implementingimplementation of Directive 2010/13/EU-, the Board shall assist the Commission in this regard, where requested.	3. <i>Where</i> the Commission <i>may</i> <i>issueissues</i> an opinion on <i>anya</i> matter related to the application of this Regulation and <i>of the national</i> <i>rules implementingimplementation</i> <i>of</i> Directive 2010/13/EU ₇₂ the Board shall assist the Commission <i>in this regard, where requested</i> .
Article 1	5(4)			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
206	4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to facilitate the development of technical standards related to digital signals or design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services.	4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to <i>faeilitatepromote</i> the development of <i>technical</i> <u>harmonised European</u> standards related to digital signals or design of devices, <i>including</i> <i>their remote controls or user</i> <i>interfaces</i> -or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media <i>services</i> .	deleted	4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to facilitate the development of technical standards related to digital signals or design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of audiovisual media services. paragraph 4 to be deleted and migrated to Article 19(4)

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 1	Article 16					
207	Article 16 Coordination of measures concerning media service providers established outside the Union	Article 16 Coordination of measures concerning media <i>service</i> <i>providers establishedservices</i> <i>which come from</i> outside the Union	Article 16 Coordination of measures concerning media service providers establishedservices from outside the Union	Article 16 Coordination of measures concerning media <i>service providers</i> <i>establishedservices from</i> outside the Union		
Article 1	6(1)					
208	1. The Board shall coordinate measures by national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service	1. The Board shall coordinate measures by national regulatory authorities or bodies related to the dissemination of or access to media services provided by media service	1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall, upon request of the national regulatory authorities or bodies from at least two	1. <i>Without prejudice to Article 3</i> of Directive 2010/13/EU, the Board shall, upon request of the national regulatory authorities or bodies from at least two Member		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
providers established outside the	providers established outside the	Member States, coordinate	<u>States,</u> coordinate <u>relevant</u>
Union that target audiences in the	Union that <u>, <i>irrespective of their</i></u>	relevant measures by the national	measures by <u>the</u> national
Union where, inter alia in view of	means of distribution or the	regulatory authorities or bodies	regulatory authorities or bodies
the control that may be exercised	means by which they can be	concerned, related to the	concerned, related to the
by third countries over them, such	accessed, target or reach audiences	dissemination of or access to media	dissemination of or access to media
media services prejudice or present	in the Union where, inter alia in	services originating from outside	services originating from outside
a serious and grave risk of	view of the control that may be	the Union or provided by media	the Union or provided by media
prejudice to public security and	exercised by third countries over	service providers established	service providers established
defence.	them, such media services	outside the Union that,	outside the Union that, <i>irrespective</i>
	prejudice or present a serious and	irrespective of their means of	of their means of distribution or
	grave risk of prejudice to public	distribution or access, target or	access, target or reach audiences
	security and defence.	reach audiences in the Union	in the Union where, inter alia in
		where, inter alia in view of the	view of the control that may be
		control that may be exercised by	exercised by third countries over
		third countries over them, such	them, such media services
		media services prejudice or present	prejudice or present a serious and
		a serious and grave risk of	grave risk of prejudice to public
		prejudice to public security-and	security and defence.
		defence .	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	6(1), point (aa)			
208a		(aa) contain a public provocation to commit a terrorist offence as set out in Article 5 of Directive (EU) 2017/541;		
Article 1	6(1), point (ba)			
208Ь		(ba) manifestly, seriously and gravely prejudice, or present a serious and grave risk of prejudice to, public security, including the safeguarding of national security and defence.		

15514/23		ATR/fco	427
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 16(2) 2. The Board, in agreement with	2 The Poord in general with	2. The Board, in	2. The Board, in
 2. The Board, in agreement with the Commission, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board. 	2. The Board, <i>in agreement with</i> <i>the Commission</i> , may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1 <i>in</i> <i>accordance with its rules of</i> <i>procedure</i> . All competent national authorities, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board. <i>Such authorities and bodies shall</i> <i>provide reasons for a refusal to</i> <i>take into account the opinions of</i> <i>the Board.</i>	2. The Board, in agreementconsultation with the Commission, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. AllWithout prejudice to their powers under national law, the competent national authorities concerned, including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.	2. The Board, in <i>agreement_consultation</i> with the Commission, may issue opinions on appropriate national measures under paragraph 1. <i>AllWithout</i> <i>prejudice to their powers under</i> <i>national law the</i> competent national authorities <i>concerned</i> , including the national regulatory authorities or bodies, shall do their utmost to take into account the opinions of the Board.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 16	5(2a)			
209a		2a. Member States shall ensure that, where relevant, national regulatory authorities or bodies which decide to take action against a media service provider established outside the Union, have a legal basis to take into account at least one of the following: (a) a decision taken against that provider by a national regulatory authority or body from another Member State;		2a. Members States shall ensure that the national regulatory authorities or bodies concerned are not precluded from taking into account an opinion issued by the Board according to paragraph 2 when considering to take measures against a media service provider under paragraph 1. [Comment: a Recital to explain the idea behind 2a (it stops MS from blocking it)



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		(b) an opinion of the Boardrelating to that provider and takenon the grounds set out in thisArticle;(c) any assessment of how themedia service from that provideris received on the territory of theUnion.		and also to develop in a recital the following: the Board to take into account how the "signal" is received and "assessment of how the media service from that provider is received on the territory of the Union".]
Article 16(3)				
209b			3. The Board, in consultation	2b. The Board, in consultation

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			with the Commission, shall draw up a list of criteria that national regulatory authorities or bodies may take into consideration when exercising their regulatory powers over media service providers referred to in paragraph 1.	with the Commission, shall develop a set of criteria for the use of national regulatory authorities or bodies when they exercise their regulatory powers over media service providers referred to in paragraph 1. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall do their utmost to take into account the criteria developed by the Board.
Article 1	6(2b)			
209c		2b. The Board shall develop a set of guidelines concerning media service providers established outside the Union. Where the competent authorities or bodies of		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		a Member State take action against such a provider, they shall do their utmost to take into account the guidelines developed by the Board.		
Article 1	6(2c)			
209d		2c. Where a media service provider established outside the Union falls under the territorial jurisdiction of a Member State pursuant to Article 2(4) of Directive 2010/13/EU, in addition to any opinions of the Board issued under paragraph 2 of this Article, a regulatory authority or body of another Member State		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		may request the competent authorities or bodies of the Member State under whose territorial jurisdiction the media service provider falls to take appropriate action against that provider where it assesses that the provider has manifestly, seriously and gravely infringed Article 6(1), point (b), of Directive 2010/13/EU or has prejudiced or presented a serious and grave risk of prejudice to public security, including the safeguarding of national security and defence.		
Section	4			
210				

15514/23		ATR/fco	433
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
		Section 4 Provision of media services in a digital environment	Section 4 Provision of media services in a digital environment	Section 4 Provision of and access to media services in a digital environment		
	Article 1	7				
G	211	Article 17 Content of media service providers on very large online platforms	Article 17 Content of media service providers on very large online platforms	Article 17 Content of media service providers on very large online platforms	Article 17 Content of media service providers on very large online platforms Text Origin: Commission Proposal	
	Article 17(1)					



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
212	1. Providers of very large online platforms shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:	1. Providers of very large online platforms shall <u>ensure that</u> <u>decisions concerning content</u> <u>moderation and any other actions</u> <u>they undertake do not negatively</u> <u>impact media freedom and</u> <u>pluralism. They shall ensure that</u> <u>their content moderation and</u> <u>monitoring processes have</u> <u>adequate human resources to</u> <u>cover all languages and</u> <u>geographical regions of the</u> <u>Union. They shall</u> provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that :	1. Providers of very large online platforms shall provide a functionality allowing recipients of their services to declare that:	
Article 1	7(1), point (a)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
213	(a) it is a media service provider within the meaning of Article 2(2);	 (a) <i>it is athat they are</i> media service <i>providerproviders</i> within the meaning of Article 2(2) <i>and fulfil the duty set out in Article</i> 6(1); 	(a) declare that it is a media service provider within the meaning of Article 2(2) and complies with Article 6(1) ;	
Article 1	7(1), point (b)			
214	(b) it is editorially independent from Member States and third countries; and	(b) <i>it isthat they are</i> editorially independent from <i>any Union</i> <i>institution, body, office or agency</i> <i>and from</i> Member States, <i>political</i> <i>parties</i> and third countries; and <i>that they are functionally</i> <i>independent from private entities</i> <i>whose corporate purpose is not</i>	(b) declare that it is editorially independent from Member States and third countries; and	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>related to the creation or</u> dissemination of media services;		
Article 17(1)	, point (c)			
rec edi mc a c 215 me sta acc sec) it is subject to regulatory quirements for the exercise of itorial responsibility in one or ore Member States, or adheres to co-regulatory or self-regulatory echanism governing editorial undards, widely recognised and cepted in the relevant media ctor in one or more Member ates.	(c) <i>it isthat they are</i> subject to regulatory requirements for the exercise of editorial responsibility <i>and oversight by a competent</i> <i>national regulatory authority or</i> <i>body</i> in one or more Member States, <i>or adheres to or that they</i> <i>comply with</i> a co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards <i>that</i> <i>is transparent, legally, widely</i> recognised and <i>widely</i> accepted in the relevant media sector in one or	(c) declare that it is subject to regulatory requirements-for the exercise of editorial responsibility in one or more Member States, or adheres to a co-regulatoryco- or self-regulatory mechanism governing editorial standards,[] widely recognised by and accepted in the relevant media sector in one or more Member States-, for the exercise of editorial responsibility and editorial standards; and	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
		more Member States .				
Article 1	7(1), point (ca)					
215a		(ca) that they do not provide content generated by an artificial intelligence system without subjecting such content to human oversight and editorial control;				
Article 1	Article 17(1), point (cb)					
215b		(cb) their name and the name of their managing director, their professional contact details,				

15514/23		ATR/fco	438
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		including an email address and telephone number, and their place of establishment;		
Article 1	7(1), point (cc)			
215c		(cc) information about the competent national regulatory authority or body or the representative of the co-regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism to which they are subject.		
Article 1	7(1), point (d)			
215d				

15514/23		ATR/fco	439
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			(d) provide the contact details of the relevant national regulatory authorities or bodies or representatives of the co- or self- regulatory mechanisms referred to in point (c).	
Article 1	7(-1), second subparagraph		·	
215e			In case of reasonable doubts concerning the media service provider's compliance with point (c), the provider of a very large online platform shall seek confirmation on the matter from the relevant national regulatory authority or body or the relevant	

15514/23		ATR/fco	440
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			co- or self-regulatory body.	
Article 1	7(1a)			
215f		1a. Providers of very large onlineplatforms shall ensure that thefunctionality referred to inparagraph 1 allows forinformation declared thereunder,with the exception of theinformation set out in paragraph1, point (cb), to be publicly andeasily accessible		
Article 1	7(1b)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
215g		<i>Ib.</i> Providers of very large online platforms shall acknowledge receipt of declarations submitted under paragraph 1. They shall state in the acknowledgement whether or not they accept the declaration. They shall immediately communicate the acknowledgement of receipt to the media service provider concerned, the competent national regulatory authority or body concerned or the representative of the co- regulatory or self-regulatory mechanism concerned. In the acknowledgement of receipt, providers of very large online platforms shall indicate a competent contact person or body		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	through which the media service provider can communicate directly and quickly with the provider of the very large online platform. Where a provider of a very large online platform accepts a declaration submitted by a media service provider under paragraph 1, that media service provider shall be deemed to be a recognised media service provider.		
Article 17(1c)			
215h	<u>1c.</u> <u>On a request from a provider</u> of a very large online platform which has not accepted a declaration submitted under		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	paragraph 1, point (c), due to		
	having a reasonable doubt as to		
	the nature of that declaration, the		
	<u>relevant national regulatory</u>		
	authority or body or the		
	<u>representative of the relevant co-</u>		
	regulatory or self-regulatory		
	mechanism shall confirm the		
	<u>nature of or invalidate that</u>		
	declaration. Where the relevant		
	national regulatory authority or		
	body or the representative of the		
	relevant co-regulatory or self-		
	regulatory mechanism confirms		
	the nature of that declaration, the		
	<u>media service provider shall be</u>		
	deemed to be a recognised media		
	<u>service provider.</u>		

Commissi	on Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 17(1d)				
215i		Id. On a request from a media service provider that considers that the provider of a very large online platform has unjustly invalidated its declaration submitted under paragraph 1, the relevant national authority or body or the representative of the relevant co-regulatory or self- regulatory mechanism concerned shall clarify the matter. Where the provider of a very large online olatform decides not to accept the clarification provided by the relevant national authority or body or the representative of the clarification provided by the relevant national authority or body or the representative of the relevant co-regulatory or self-		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		regulatory mechanism, the media service provider may appeal against that decision to the competent national regulatory authority or body. The competent national regulatory authority or body shall rule on the matter without delay. The Board shall issue a recommendation. Where the competent national regulatory authority or body confirms the declaration, the media service provider shall be deemed to be a recognised media service provider.		
Article 1	7(1e)	1		
215j		<u>1e.</u> <u>Where a provider of a very</u>		

15514/23		ATR/fco	446
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	large online platform has		
	frequently suspended or restricted,		
	pursuant to paragraph 2, the		
	provision of its online		
	intermediation services in relation		
	<u>to a media service provided by a</u>		
	media service provider on the		
	basis of a breach of its terms and		
	conditions, that provider of the		
	very large online platform may		
	invalidate the declaration		
	submitted by the media service		
	provider under paragraph 1. The		
	provider of the very large online		
	platform shall inform the		
	supervising or regulatory entity		
	and the Board that it has		
	invalidated the declaration.		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreeme
Article 1	7(2)			
	2. Where a provider of very large	2. Where a provider of \underline{a} very	2. Where a provider of a very	
	online platform decides to suspend	large online platform decides to	large online platform decides to	
	the provision of its online	suspend or restrict the provision of	suspend the provision of its online	
	intermediation services in relation	its online intermediation services in	intermediation services in relation	
	to content provided by a media	relation to content provided by a	to content provided by a media	
	service provider that submitted a	media service provider that	service provider that submitted a	
	declaration pursuant to paragraph 1	submitted a declaration pursuant	declaration and contact details	
216	of this Article, on the grounds that	to paragraph 1 of this Article, on	pursuant to paragraph 1 of this	
	such content is incompatible with	the grounds that such	Article or to restrict the visibility	
	its terms and conditions, without	contentprovided by a recognised	of the content provided by such	
	that content contributing to a	<u>media service provider because</u>	media service provider, on the	
	systemic risk referred to in Article	that media service is incompatible	grounds that such content is	
	26 of the Regulation (EU)	with its terms and conditions, it	incompatible with itsthe terms and	
	2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],	shall, without that content	conditions of the online	
	it shall take all possible measures,	contributingprejudice to the	intermediation services, without	
	to the extent consistent with their	<i>mitigating measures in relation</i> to	that content contributingprejudice	
	obligations under Union law,	a systemic risk referred to in	to the mitigating measures in	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
including Regulation (EU)	Article 26 of the 34 of Regulation	relation to a systemic risk referred	
2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],	(EU) 2022/XXX [Digital Services	to in Article 26 of the 34 of	
to communicate to the media	Act], it shall take all possible	Regulation (EU) 2022/XXX	
service provider concerned the	measures, to the extent consistent	[Digital Services Act]2022/2065, it	
statement of reasons accompanying	with their obligations under Union	shall take all possible measures, to	
that decision, as required by Article	law, including<mark>2022/2065,</mark>	the extent consistent with their	
4(1) of Regulation (EU)	communicate to that recognised	obligations under Union law,	
2019/1150, prior to the suspension	media service provider the reasons	including Regulation (EU)	
taking effect.	accompanying that decision,	2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],	
	specifying the specific clause in	to communicate to the media	
	the terms and conditions with	service provider concerned the	
	which the media service was	statement of reasons accompanying	
	incompatible, as required by	that decision, as required by Article	
	Article 4(1) of Regulation (EU)	4(1) of Regulation (EU)	
	2022/XXX [Digital Services Act],	2019/1150, and to provide the	
	to communicate to the media	media service provider with an	
	service provider concerned the	opportunity to reply to the	
	statement of reasons	statement of reasons within an	
	accompanying that decision, as	appropriate period prior to the	
	required by Article 4(1) of	restriction or suspension taking	
	Regulation (EU)	effect. If following, or in the	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		2019/1150,2019/1150 and Article17(3) of Regulation (EU)2022/2065.The provider of the very largeonline platform shall give therecognised media service providerthe opportunity to respond to thereasons accompanying its decisionwithin 24 hoursprior to thesuspension or restrictiontakingeffect.	absence of, such a reply, the provider of a very large online platform still intends to restrict or suspend the provision of its online intermediation services, it shall inform the media service provider concerned.	
Article 1	7(2a)			
216a		2a. Where, following the 24-hour period referred to in paragraph 2, the second subparagraph, and		

15514/23		ATR/fco	450
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	after due consideration of the		
	response of the recognised media		
	service provider, the provider of		
	the very large online platform		
	considers the media service		
	concerned to be incompatible with		
	its terms and conditions, it may		
	refer the case to the relevant		
	competent national regulatory		
	authority or body or the body of		
	the relevant self-regulatory or co-		
	regulatory mechanism. The		
	relevant competent national		
	regulatory authority or body or		
	the representative of the relevant		
	self-regulatory or co-regulatory		
	mechanism shall decide, without		
	delay, whether the intended		
	suspension or restriction is		
	justified in view of the specific		
	<u>clause in the terms and conditions</u>		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		of the provider of the very large online platform, taking into account fundamental freedoms.		
Article 1	.7(3)			
217	3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.	3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 <u>or</u> <u>Article 20 of Regulation (EU)</u> <u>2022/2065 by recognised by</u> media service providers that submitted a <u>declaration pursuant to paragraph</u> <u>1 of this Article are processed and</u> <u>decided upon with priority and</u>	3. Providers of very large online platforms shall take all the necessary technical and organisational measures to ensure that complaints under Article 11 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150 by media service providers that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article are processed and decided upon with priority and without undue delay.	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		without undue delayare processed and decided upon with priority and, in any event, no later than 24 hours after submission of the complaint. The media service provider may be represented by a body in complaints procedures.		
Article 1	7(4)			
218	4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service	4. Where a <u>recognised</u> media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph <i>I</i> -considers that a provider of very large online platform frequently restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content <u>or services</u> provided by the media	4. Where a media service provider that submitted a declaration pursuant to paragraph 1 considers that a provider of very large online platform frequentlyrepeatedly restricts or suspends the provision of its services in relation to content provided by the media service	

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
provider without sufficient	service provider without sufficient	provider without sufficient	
grounds, the provider of very la	arge grounds and in a manner that	grounds, the provider of very large	
online platform shall engage in	a undermines media freedom and	online platform shall engage in a	
meaningful and effective dialog	gue <u>media pluralism</u> , the provider of	meaningful and effective dialogue	
with the media service provider	, <u><i>the</i></u> very large online platform	with the media service provider,	
upon its request, in good faith v	with shall, <i>at the request of the media</i>	upon its request, in good faith with	
a view to finding an amicable	service provider, engage in a	a view to finding an amicable	
solution for terminating unjusti	fied meaningful and effective	solution, within a reasonable	
restrictions or suspensions and	dialogueconsultation with the	timeframe for terminating	
avoiding them in the future. Th	e media service provider, upon its	unjustified restrictions or	
media service provider may no	tify request, in good faith with a view	suspensions and avoiding them in	
the outcome of such exchanges	to finding an amicable solution <i>for</i>	the future. The media service	
the Board.	terminating within a reasonable	provider may notify the details	
	timeframe that avoids unjustified	and outcome of such exchanges to	
	restrictions or suspensions and	the Board.	
	avoiding them in the future. The		
	media service provider may notify		
	the outcome of such		
	exchangesconsultations to the		
	Board and to the national digital		
	services coordinator referred to in		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		Regulation (EU) 2022/2065. Where no amicable solution can be found, the media service provider may lodge a complaint before a certified out-of-court dispute settlement body in accordance with Article 21 of Regulation (EU) 2022/2065.		
Article 1	7(4a)			
218a			4a. In case a provider of very large online platforms rejects a declaration by a media service provider submitted pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article or in case no amicable solution was found following the dialogue	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			pursuant to paragraph 4 of this Article, the media service provider concerned may use the mediation mechanism under Article 12 of Regulation (EU) 2019/1150. The media service provider concerned may notify the outcome of such mediation to the Board.	
Article 1	7(5)			
219	5. Providers of very large online platforms shall make publicly available on an annual basis information on:	5. Providers of very large online platforms shall make publicly available on an annual basis information on:	5. Providers of very large online platforms shall make publicly available on an annual basis detailed information on:	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	.7(5), point (a)			
220	(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and	(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a mediain which they initiated the process to suspend or restrict the provision of their online intermediation service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions; and2;	(a) the number of instances where they imposed any restriction or suspension on the grounds that the content provided by a media service provider that submitted a declaration in accordance with paragraph 1 of this Article is incompatible with their terms and conditions;-and	
Article 1	.7(5), point (b)			
221				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	(b) the grounds for imposing such restrictions.	(b) the grounds for imposing such <u>suspensions or</u> restrictions, <u>including the specific clause in</u> <u>their terms and conditions with</u> <u>which the media service provider</u> <u>was incompatible</u> ;	(b) the grounds for imposing such restrictions- or suspensions; and	
Article 1	7(5), point (ba)			
221a		(ba) the number of instances in which they refused to accept declarations submitted by a media service provider under paragraph 1 and the grounds for refusing to accept them.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 1	7(5), point (c)		·	
221b			(c) the number of dialogues with media service providers pursuant to paragraph 4.	
Article 1	7(6)			
222	6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission may issue guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1.	 6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission, <i>in consultation with the Board, shall-may</i> issue guidelines to establish the form and details of the declaration set out in paragraph 1. 	6. With a view to facilitating the consistent and effective implementation of this Article, the Commission mayshall issue guidelines to establish the form and detailsfacilitate the effective implementation of the declaration set outfunctionality referred to in	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		paragraph 1, including the modalities of involvement of civil society organisations and, where relevant, national regulatory authorities or bodies in the review of the declarations under paragraph 1.	
Article 17(6a)			
222a	<u>6a.</u> <u>This Article shall be without</u> prejudice to the right of media <u>service providers to effective</u> judicial protection.		
Article 18		·	



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	223	Article 18 Structured dialogue	Article 18 Structured dialogue	Article 18 Structured dialogue	Article 18 Structured dialogue Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1)			
-	224	1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to	 The Board, with the involvement of the Expert Group, shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, providers of very large 	1. The Board shall regularly organise a structured dialogue between providers of very large online platforms, representatives of media service providers and representatives of civil society to	



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
discuss experience and best	online search engines,	discuss experience and best	
practices in the application of	representatives of media service	practices in the application of	
Article 17 of this Regulation, to	providers and representatives of	Article 17-of this Regulation, to	
foster access to diverse offers of	civil society to discuss experience	foster access to diverse offers of	
independent media on very large	and best practices in the	independent media on very large	
online platforms and to monitor	application of Article 17 of this	online platforms and to monitor	
adherence to self-regulatory	Regulation, to foster access to	adherence to self-regulatory	
initiatives aimed at protecting	diverse offers of independent media	initiatives aimed at protecting	
society from harmful content,	on very large online platforms and	society from harmful content,	
including disinformation and	to monitor adherence to self-	including disinformation and	
foreign information manipulation	regulatory initiatives aimed at	foreign information manipulation	
and interference.	protecting society from harmful	and interference.	
	content, including disinformation		
	and foreign information		
	manipulation and interference. in		
	order to:		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
224a		(aa) foster access to diverse offers of independent media on very large online platforms and very large online search engines;		
Article 1	8(1), point (ba)			
224b		(ba) monitor compliance with self-regulatory initiatives aimed at protecting society from harmful content, including disinformation and foreign information manipulation and interference;		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 1	Article 18(1), point (ca)					
224c		(ca) examine the potential and actual impact of the design and functioning of very large online platforms or very large online search engines, of the design and functioning of their respective recommendation systems and content moderation processes and of decisions by providers of very large online platforms and providers of very large online search engines on media freedom and media pluralism.				
Article 18(2)						

464 EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
225	2. The Board shall report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission.	2. The Board shall <u>present the</u> report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission <u>, to the</u> <u>European Parliament and to the</u> <u>Council</u> . Such results shall be <u>made publicly available.</u>	2. The Board shall report on the results of the dialogue to the Commission.	
Article 1	9		-	
226	Article 19 Right of customisation of audiovisual media offer	Article 19 Right of customisation of <u>the</u> <u>audio and</u> audiovisual media offer	Article 19 Right of customisation of audiovisual -media offer	Article 19 Right of customisation of <i>audiovisual</i> media offer Text Origin: Council



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Mandate
Article 1	.9(1)			
	1. Users shall have a right to easily	1. Users shall have a right to easily	1. Users shall have a right to easily	1. Users shall have a right to easily
	change the default settings of any	change the default settings of any	change the default settings of any	change the <u>configuration</u> ,
	device or user interface controlling	device or configuration of	device or user interface controlling	including default settings-, of any
	or managing access to and use of	audiovisual media services or of	or managing access to and use of	device or user interface controlling
	audiovisual media services in order	applications allowing users to	audiovisual media services	or managing access to and use of
227	to customise the audiovisual media	access such services on a user	providing programmes in order to	audiovisual[] media services
221	offer according to their interests or	interface or on devices, including	customise the audiovisual media	providing programmes in order to
	preferences in compliance with the	remote controls, controlling or	offer according to their interests or	customise the audiovisual[]
	law. This provision shall not affect	managing access to and use of	preferences in compliance with	media offer according to their
	national measures implementing	audiovisual media	theUnion law. This provision shall	interests or preferences in
	Article 7a of Directive	services in order to customise the	not affect national measures	compliance with <i>the Union</i> law.
	2010/13/EU.	audio or audiovisual media offer	implementing Article 7a of	This provision shall not affect
		according to their interests or	Directive 2010/13/EU.	national measures implementing
		preferences in compliance with the		Article 7a and 7b of Directive

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
		law. This provision shall not affect national measures implementing <i>Article 7a <u>Articles 7a and 7b</u></i> of Directive 2010/13/EU.		2010/13/EU. [Comment: to draft a recital with concrete examples on what configuration and default settings include and what is meant; the meaning is not any configuration]		
Article 1	Article 19(2)					
228	2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in	 When placing the <u>Any person</u> who places on the market devices, 	2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in	2. When placing the devices and user interfaces referred to in		

Commission Prop	osal EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
paragraph 1 on the market manufacturers and develop ensure that they include a functionality enabling user freely and easily change th settings controlling or mar access to and use of the au media services offered.	bers shall user interfaces referred to paragraph 1- <i>on the market</i> rs to <i>manufacturers and develop</i> he default shall ensure that they inclu- functionality enabling user	in manufacturers, developers and importers and developers shall pers, ensure that theysuch devices a ude a user interfaces include a functionality enabling users to at any freely and easily change the de- default settings controlling or managing ual media services offered. <i>cations</i> uch anaging idiovisual he	IIIimporters-and developersandensure that they such devices anduser interfacesinclude auser interfacesinclude afunctionality enabling users toefaultfreely and easily change at anyngtime the configuration, including



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article 19(2	Article 19(2a)						
228a		2a. Any person operating devices as referred to in paragraph 2 or user interfaces shall ensure that the identity of the media service provider who has editorial responsibility for a media service is consistently and clearly visible and identifiable, provided that this information has been provided by the relevant media service provider.		2a. Manufacturers, developers and importers of devices and user interfaces referred to in paragraph 1 shall ensure that the visual identity of media service providers, to whose services their devices and user interfaces give access, is consistently and clearly visible to the users. [Comment: a Recital to clarify the meaning that those people should make sure that it is not removed or modified			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				and why the visibility is important]
Article 1	9(3a)			
228b				3a. Member States shall take appropriate measures to ensure that manufacturers, developers and importers comply with paragraph 2 and 2a.
Article 1	9(3)			
228c			3. Member States shall take	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			appropriate measures to ensure that manufacturers, developers and importers comply with paragraph 2.	
Article 1	9(4)			
228d			4. The Board shall foster cooperation between media service providers, standardisation bodies or any other relevant stakeholders in order to facilitate the development of harmonised standards related to design of devices or user interfaces controlling or managing access to and use of media services	4a. The Board shall fostercooperation between media serviceproviders, standardisation bodiesor any other relevant stakeholdersin order to promote thedevelopment of harmonisedstandards related to design ofdevices or user interfacescontrolling or managing access toand use of media servicesproviding programmes or related



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			providing programmes or those devices related to carrying the digital signals.	<pre>to digital signals carried by such devices. (former article 15(4))</pre>
Section	15			
s 229	Section 5 Requirements for well- functioning media market measures and procedures	Section 5 Requirements for well- functioning media market measures and procedures	Section 5 Requirements for well- functioning media market measures and procedures	Section 5 Requirements for well- functioning media market measures and procedures
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	0			
230	Article 20 National measures affecting the operation of media service providers	Article 20 National measures affecting the operation of media service providers	Article 20 National measures affecting the operation of media service providers	Article 20 National measures affecting the operation of media service providers
Article 2	0(1)	I	I	Γ
231	 Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect the operation of media 	 Any legislative, regulatory or administrative measure taken by a Member State that is liable to affect media pluralism and the 	1. Any-Legislative, regulatory or administrative measuremeasures taken by a Member State that isare liable to affect the operationmedia	 Any-Legislative, regulatory or administrative measuremeasures taken by a Member State that isare liable to affect the operation media



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.	editorial independencethe operation of media service providers regarding either the provision or the operation of their media services in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.	pluralism or editorial independence of media service providers in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.	<i>pluralism or editorial</i> <i>independence</i> of media service providers <i>operating</i> in the internal market shall be duly justified and proportionate. Such measures shall be reasoned, transparent, objective and non-discriminatory.
Article 2	0(2)			
232	2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in	2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in	2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the preparation or the adoption of a regulatory or an administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to clear timeframes set out in	2. Any national procedure used for the purposes of the <i>preparation or</i> <i>the</i> adoption of <i>a regulatory or an</i> administrative measure as referred to in paragraph 1 shall be subject to <i>clear</i> -timeframes-, set out in



advance. advance. advance. advance. advance and carried out without undue delay. advance and carried out without undue delay. such measures and their consequences can be properly considered and that media service providers directly affected can provide feedback on them. (Comment: In a Recital (38): Such timeframes should have a sufficient length to ensure an adequate assessment of the envisaged measures by media service providers and their foreseeable consequences. Article 20(3) Article 20(3)	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		of sufficient length to ensure that such measures and their consequences can be properly considered and that media service providers directly affected can		<pre>Image: Imag</pre>

15514/23		ATR/fco	475
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	3. Without prejudice and in			
	addition to its right to effective			
	judicial protection, any media	judicial protection, any media	judicial protection, Any media	j udicial protection, Any media
	service provider subject to an			
	administrative or regulatory	administrative or regulatory	administrative or regulatorya	administrative or regulatory <mark>a</mark>
	measure referred to in paragraph 1	measure referred to in paragraph 1	regulatory or administrative	regulatory or administrative
	that concerns it individually and	that concerns it individually and	measure referred to in paragraph 1	measure referred to in paragraph 1
	directly shall have the right to	directly shall have the right to	that concerns it individually and	that concerns it individually and
233	appeal against that measure to an	appeal against that measure to an	directly shall have the right to	directly shall have the right to
	appellate body. That body shall be	appellate body, <i>which may be a</i>	appeal against that measure to an	appeal against that measure to an
	independent of the parties involved	court of law. That body shall be	appellate body. That body, which	appellate body. That body, <i>which</i>
	and of any external intervention or	independent of the parties involved	may be a court, shall be	<u>may be a court,</u> shall be
	political pressure liable to	and of any external intervention or	independent of the parties involved	independent of the parties involved
	jeopardise its independent	political pressure liable to	and of any external intervention or	and of any external intervention or
	assessment of matters coming	jeopardise its independent	political pressure liable to	political pressure liable to
	before it. It shall have the	assessment of matters coming	jeopardise its independent	jeopardise its independent
	appropriate expertise to enable it to	before it. It shall have the	assessment of matters coming	assessment of matters coming
	carry out its functions effectively.	appropriate expertise and funding	before it. It shall have the	before it. It shall have the
		to enable it to carry out its	appropriate expertise to enable it to	appropriate expertise to enable it to

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		functions effectively <u>and to</u> respond to any appeals timely. Such appellate bodies may take opinions issued by the Board on the matter into consideration.	carry out its functions effectively.	carry out its functions effectively and in a timely manner. [Comment: In Recital (probably a new Recital 38a) to add: "in the case of a non-judicial body that they should be provided with adequate resources"]
Article 2	0(4)			
234	4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an	 The Board, <u>on its own initiative</u> <u>or</u> upon request of the Commission 	4. The Board, upon request of the Commission, shall draw up an	4. <u>If a regulatory or</u> administrative measure referred to

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
opinion where a national	<u>or the European Parliament</u> , shall	opinion where a national	in paragraph 1 is likely to
legislative, regulatory or	draw up an opinion where a	legislative, regulatory or	significantly affect the operation
administrative measure is likely to	national legislative, regulatory or	administrative measure is likely to	of media service providers in the
affect the functioning of the	administrative measure is likely to	affect the functioning of If a	<u>internal market,</u> the Board <u>shall,</u>
internal market for media services.	affect the functioning of the	regulatory or administrative	<u>on its own initiative or </u> upon
Following the opinion of the	internal market for media services	measure referred to in	request of the Commission, shall
Board, and without prejudice to its	or to impact media pluralism or	paragraph 1 is likely to	draw up an opinion where a
powers under the Treaties, the	editorial independence. Following	significantly and adversely affect	national legislative, regulatory or
Commission may issue its own	the opinion of the Board, and	the operation of media service	administrative measure is likely to
opinion on the matter. Opinions by	without prejudice to its powers	providers in the internal market,	affect the functioning of the
the Board and, where applicable,	under the Treaties, the Commission	the Board may draw up an -for	internal market for media services.
by the Commission shall be made	mayshall issue its own opinion on	media services. Following the	Following the or upon a duly
publicly available.	the matter. Opinions by the Board	opinion of the Board on the	justified and reasoned request of a
	and, where applicable, by the	measure. Following that opinion,	<u>media service provider that is</u>
	Commission shall be made	and without prejudice to its powers	individually and directly affected
	publicly available.	under the Treaties, the Commission	<u>by such measure, draw up an</u>
		may issue its own opinion on the	opinion of the Board, and<mark>on the</mark>
		matter. Opinions by The Board	measure. Without prejudice to its
		and , where applicable, by the	powers under the Treaties, the
		Commission shall be mademake	Commission may issue its own
		their opinions publicly available.	opinion on the matter. Opinions by

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				The Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be mademake their opinions publicly available. [Comment: in a Recital to explain the meaning of "in duly justified cases"]
Article 2	0(5)			
235	5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media	5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects <i>individually and</i> directly a media	5. Where a national authority or body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media	5. <i>Where a national authority or</i> body adopts a measure that affects individually and directly a media



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
service provider and is likely to	service provider and is likely to	service provider and is likely to	service provider and is likely to
affect the functioning of the	affect media pluralism and	affect the functioning of the	affect the functioning of the
internal market for media services,	<u>editorial independence or</u> the	internal market for media services,	internal market for media services,
it shall communicate, at the request	functioning of the internal market	it shall communicate, at the request	it shall communicate, at the request
of the Board, and where applicable,	for media services, it shall	of For the purposes of drawing	of For the purposes of drawing up
of the Commission, without undue	communicate, at the request of the	up an opinion under paragraph	<u>an opinion under paragraph 4,</u> the
delay and by electronic means, any	Board, and where applicable, of the	4, the Board, and where applicable,	Board, and where applicable, of the
relevant information, including the	Commission, without undue delay	of the Commission, without undue	Commission, without undue delay
summary of the facts, its measure,	and by electronic means, any	delay and by electronic means, any	and by electronic means, any
the grounds on which the national	relevant information, including the	relevant information, including the	relevant information, including the
authority or body has based its	summary of the facts, its measure,	summary of the facts, its measure,	summary of the facts, its measure,
measure, and, where applicable,	the grounds on which the national	the grounds on whichmay request	the grounds on which<mark>may request</mark>
the views of other authorities	authority or body has based its	relevant information from a	relevant information from a
concerned.	measure, and, where applicable,	national authority or body that	national authority or body that
	the views of other authorities or	adopts a regulatory or	adopts a regulatory or
	bodies concerned. On a request	administrative measure referred	administrative measure referred to
	<u>from a media service provider</u>	to in paragraph 1 that concerns,	in paragraph 1 that concerns,
	affected directly by a measure	individually and directly, a	individually and directly, a media
	taken by a Member State, the	media service provider. The	service provider. The national
	Board shall issue an opinion on	national authority or body has	authority or body has based its
	the measure concerned.	based its measure, and, where	measure, and, where applicable,

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				applicable, the views of other authorities concerned shall provide that information without undue delay and by electronic means.	the views of other authorities concerned <u>concerned shall provide</u> that information without undue delay and by electronic means.
	Article 2	1			
G	236	Article 21 Assessment of media market concentrations	Article 21 Assessment of media market concentrations	Article 21 Assessment of media market concentrations	Article 21 Assessment of media market concentrations Text Origin: Commission Proposal



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 22	1(1), first subparagraph			
	237	1. Member States shall provide, in their national legal systems, substantive and procedural rules which ensure an assessment of media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:	1. Member States shall provide, in their national legal systems law, substantive and procedural rules which ensure an assessment of media market concentrations that could have a significant an impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:	1. Member States shall provide, in their national legal systemslaw, substantive and procedural rules which ensureallow for an assessment of media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:	1. Member States shall provide, <i>in</i> <i>their in</i> national <i>legal systemslaw</i> , substantive and procedural rules which <i>ensureallow for</i> an assessment of media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence. These rules shall:
	Article 22	1(1), first subparagraph, point (a)			
i	238	(a) be transparent, objective, proportionate and non-	(a) be transparent, objective, proportionate and non-	(a) be transparent, objective, proportionate and non-	(a) be transparent, objective, proportionate and non-

ATR/fco LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	discriminatory;	discriminatory;	discriminatory;	discriminatory; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	21(1), first subparagraph, point (b)			
239	(b) require the parties to a media market concentration that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;	(b) require the parties to a media market concentration that could have <i>a significantan</i> impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies;	(b) require the parties to a media marketinvolved in the concentration to notify such concentration that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or	(b) require the parties to ainvolved in the media market concentration that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence to notify that concentration in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies to notify such concentration in advance to the relevant national

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			bodies in advance to the relevant national authorities or bodies or provide such authorities or bodies with appropriate powers to obtain information from those parties necessary to assess the concentration;	authorities or bodies or provide such authorities or bodies with appropriate powers to obtain information from those parties necessary to assess the concentration;
Article 2 240	1(1), first subparagraph, point (c) (c) designate the national regulatory authority or body as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable concentration on media pluralism and editorial independence or ensure the involvement of the national regulatory authority or	 (c) designate the national regulatory <i>authority or</i> <i>bodyauthorities or bodies</i> as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable <i>media market</i> concentration on media pluralism and editorial independence or ensure <i>thetheir</i> 	(c) designate the national regulatory authority or bodyauthorities or bodies as responsible for the assessment of the impact of a notifiable concentration on media pluralism and editorial independence or ensure thetheir involvement of the	(c) designate the national regulatory <i>authority or</i> <i>bodyauthorities or bodies</i> as responsible for the assessment of <i>the impact of a notifiable</i> <i>concentration on media pluralism</i> <i>and editorial independence</i> or ensure <i>the involvement of the</i>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	body in such assessment;	substantial involvement of thein such assessment or require them to consult other national regulatory authority or body in suchauthorities or bodies of the Member State that could contribute to the assessment of a media market concentration;	national regulatory authority or body in such assessment;	national regulatory authority or bodytheir substantive involvement in such assessment;
Article 2	1(1), first subparagraph, point (d)			
241	(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence and for assessing the	(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying <i>media market concentrations that</i> <i>could have a significant impact on</i> <i>media pluralism and editorial</i> <i>independence and for <u>and</u></i>	(d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying such media market concentrations that could have a significant impact on media pluralism and editorial independence and for assessing the	 (d) set out in advance objective, non-discriminatory and proportionate criteria for notifying <u>such</u> media market concentrations <u>that could have a significant</u> <u>impact on media pluralism and</u> <u>editorial independence</u> and for



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.	assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence	impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.	assessing the impact -of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence.
Article 2	1(1), first subparagraph, point (da)			
241a		(da) specify in advance a reasonable period of time by which the national regulatory authority or body conducting the assessment is to complete the assessment, taking into account the period of time required for the involvement of the Board, the Commission, or both, in accordance with paragraphs 4 and 5;		(da) specify in advance the timeframes for completing the assessment;



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	1(1), first subparagraph, point (db)			
241b		(db) specify the consequences of not completing the assessment by the end of the period referred to in point (da).		[No change]
Article 2	1(1), second subparagraph			
242	The assessment referred to in this paragraph shall be distinct from the competition law assessments including those provided for under merger control rules. It shall be	The assessment referred to in this paragraph shall be distinct from the competition law assessments including those provided for under merger control rules. It shall be	The assessment referred to in this paragraph shall be distinct from theUnion and national competition law assessments, including those provided for under	The assessment referred to in this paragraph shall be distinct from <i>theUnion and national</i> competition law assessments, including those provided for under



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	without prejudice to Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, where applicable.	without prejudice to Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, where applicable.	merger control rules. It shall be without prejudice to Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, where applicable.	merger control rules. It shall be without prejudice to Article 21(4) of Regulation (EC) No 139/2004, where applicable.
Article 2	1(2)			
243	2. In the assessment referred to in paragraph 1, the following elements shall be taken into account:	2. In the assessment referred to in paragraph 1, the following elements shall, <i>in particular</i> , be taken into account:	2. In the assessment referred to in paragraph 1, the following elements shall be taken into account:	2. In the assessment referred to in paragraph 1, the following elements shall be taken into account:
Article 2	1(2), point (a)			
244	(a) the impact of the concentration	(a) the impact of the concentration	(a) the expected impact of the	(a) the <u>expected</u> impact of the





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;	on media pluralism <i>at Union</i> , <i>national and regional level</i> , including its <i>geographical reach</i> <i>and its</i> effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media players <i>and content</i> on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non-media businesses;	media market concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media playersservices and media offer on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non- media businesses;	<pre>media market concentration on media pluralism, including its effects on the formation of public opinion and on the diversity of media playersservices and media offer on the market, taking into account the online environment and the parties' interests, links or activities in other media or non- media businesses; [Comment: "geographical reach" idea to be included in the Recital 40, Row 50]</pre>
Article 2	1(2), point (b)		[



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
245	(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;	(b) <i>the</i> safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing <i>ethical and</i> <i>professional standards and</i> the independence of <i>individual</i> editorial decisions;	(b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the impact of the concentration on the functioning of the editorial teams and the existence of measuresmeasures taken by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions;	 (b) the safeguards for editorial independence, including the <i>impaet</i> of the concentration on the functioning of the measures taken by media service providers with a view to guaranteeing the independence of editorial teams and the existence of measures by media service providers taken with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual decisions; A new point to be added: (e) Where applicable, the commitments that any of the party



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				<u>involved in the media market</u> <u>concentration may offer to</u> <u>safeguard media pluralism and</u> editorial decisions<u>independence</u>;
				[Comment: "standards" to be included in a recital"]
Article 2	1(2), point (c)			
246	(c) whether, in the absence of the concentration, the acquiring and acquired entity would remain economically sustainable, and whether there are any possible	(c) whether, in the absence of the concentration, the acquiring and acquired entity would remain economically sustainable, and whether there are any possible	(c) whether, in the absence of the media market concentration, the acquiring and acquired entityentities concerned would remain economically sustainable,	(c) whether, in the absence of the <u>media market</u> concentration, the <u>acquiring and acquired</u> <u>entityentities concerned</u> would remain economically sustainable,

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	alternatives to ensure its economic sustainability.	alternatives to ensure its economic sustainability.	and whether there are any possible alternatives to ensure itstheir economic sustainability.	and whether there are any possible alternatives to ensure <i>its<u>their</u></i> economic sustainability.
Article 2	1(2), point (ca)			
246a		(ca) the results of the risk assessment carried out as part of the Commission's annual rule of law report and the Media Pluralism Monitor to identify, analyse and assess risks to media freedom and media pluralism in the Member States.		(ca) Where relevant, the findings of the Commission's annual rule of law report concerning media pluralism and media freedom.
Article 2	1(3)	1	1	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
247	3. The Commission, assisted by the Board, may issue guidelines on the factors to be taken into account when applying the criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence by the national regulatory authorities or bodies.	3. The Commission, <i>assisted by</i> <u>in</u> <u>consultation with</u> the Board, <u>mayshall</u> issue guidelines on the factors to be taken into account when applying the criteria for by national regulatory authorities or bodies in assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence by the national regulatory authorities or bodies	3. The Commission, assisted by the Board, may issue guidelines on the factors to be taken into account when applying the criteria for assessing the impact of media market concentrations on media pluralism and editorial independence by the national regulatory authorities or bodieselements referred to in paragraph 2.	3. The Commission, assisted by the Board, <i>may <u>shall</u> issue guidelines on the <i>factors to be</i> <i>taken into account when applying</i> <i>the criteria for assessing the</i> <i>impact of media market</i> <i>concentrations on media pluralism</i> <i>and editorial independence by the</i> <i>national regulatory authorities or</i> <i>bodies<u>elements referred to in</u></i> <i>paragraph 2, point (a) to (c)</i>.</i>
Article 2	21(4)			
248	4. The national regulatory authority or body shall consult the	4. The national regulatory authority or body shall	4. The national regulatory authority or body shall consult the	4. <i>The national regulatory</i> authority or body shall consult the



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Board in advance on any opinion	consultinform the Board before	Board in advance on any opinion	Board in advance on any opinion
or decision it aims to adopt	conducting the assessment	or decision it aims to adopt	or decision it aims to adopt
assessing the impact on media	referred to in the first	assessing the impact on media	assessing the impact on Where a
pluralism and editorial	subparagraph of paragraph 1 and	pluralism and editorial	media market concentration is
independence of a notifiable media	shall consult the Board before	independence of a notifiable media	likely to affect the functioning of
market concentration where such	issuingin advance on any opinion	market concentration where such	the internal market for media
concentrations may affect the	or <i>taking any</i> decision it aims to	concentrations may affect the	pluralism and editorial
functioning of the internal market.	adopt assessing concerning the	functioning of the internal	<i>independence of a notifiable medi</i>
	impact on media pluralism and	marketWhere a media market	market concentration where such
	editorial independence of a	concentration is likely to affect	concentrations may affect the
	notifiable media market	the functioning of the internal	functioning of the internal
	concentration <i>or</i> where such	market for media services, the	market.services, the national
	concentrations may affect the	national regulatory authority or	regulatory authority or body shal
	functioning of the internal market.	body shall consult the Board in	consult the Board in advance on
		advance on its draft assessment	its draft assessment or draft
		or its opinion, as relevant.	opinion.
		or no opinion, as row and	
icle 21(5)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
249	Commission Proposal 5. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of the consultation referred to in paragraph 4, the Board shall draw up an opinion on the draft national opinion or decision referred to it, taking account of the elements referred to in paragraph 2 and transmit that opinion to the consulting authority and the Commission.	EP Mandate 5. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of the consultation referred to in paragraph 4, the Board shall draw up an opinion on the draft national opinion or decision referred to it, taking account of the elements referred to in paragraph 2 and transmit that opinion to the consulting authority <i>or body</i> and the Commission.	Council Mandate 5. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of the consultation referred to in paragraph 4the timelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure, the Board shallmay draw up an opinion on the draft nationalassessment or draft opinion or decision referred to itof the consulting national regulatory authority or body, taking account of the elements referred to in paragraph 2 and transmit that opinion to the consultingsuch authority or body and the Commission.	5. Within 14 calendar days from the receipt of the consultation referred to in paragraph 4the timelines to be established by the Board in its rules of procedure, the Board shall draw up an opinion on the draft national assessment or draft opinion or decision referred to itof the consulting national regulatory authority or body, taking account of the elements referred to in paragraph 2 and transmit that opinion to the consulting authority such authority or body and the Commission.
Article 2	21(6)			



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
250	Commission Proposal 6. The national regulatory authority or body referred to in paragraph 4 shall take utmost account of the opinion referred to in paragraph 5. Where that authority does not follow the opinion, fully or partially, it shall provide the Board and the Commission with a reasoned justification explaining its position within 30 calendar days from the receipt of that opinion. Without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter.	EP Mandate 6. The national regulatory authority or body referred to in paragraph 4 shall take utmost account of the opinion referred to in paragraph 5. Where that authority does not follow the opinion, fully or partially, it shall provide the Board and the Commission with a reasoned justification explaining its position within 30 calendar days from the receipt of that opinion. Without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter. <i>The competent national</i> <i>regulatory authority or body shall</i> ,	Council Mandate 6. The national regulatory authority or body referred to in paragraph 4 shall take utmost account of the opinion referred to in paragraph 5. Where that authority does not follow the opinion, fully or partially, it shall provide the Board and the Commission with a reasoned justification explaining its position within 30 calendar days from the receipt of that opinion. Without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter.	6. The national regulatory authority or body referred to in paragraph 4 shall take utmost account of the opinion referred to in paragraph 5. Where that authority does not follow the opinion, fully or partially, it shall provide the Board and the Commission with a reasoned justification explaining its position within <i>30 calendar days from the</i> <i>receipt of that opinion. Without</i> <i>prejudice to its powers under the</i> <i>Treaties, the Commission may</i> <i>issue its own opinion on the</i> <i>matter.the timelines to be</i> <i>established by the Board.</i>



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>Commission with the reasons for</u> <u>which it did not fully or partially</u> <u>follow it.</u>		[Comment: timelines to be confirmed when discussing the Board Rules of Procedure]
Article 2	1(6a)			
250a		6a. <u>National regulatory</u> authorities or bodies may request entities involved in a media market concentration to make commitments regarding the safeguarding of media pluralism and editorial independence based on the elements set out in paragraph 2.		[No change - new point (e) above]

15514/23		ATR/fco	497
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 2	2			
6	251	Article 22 Opinions on media market concentrations	Article 22 Opinions on media market concentrations	Article 22 Opinions on media market concentrations	Article 22 Opinions on media market concentrations Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	2(1)			
	252	1. In the absence of an assessment			

ATR/fco LIMITE



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
or a consultation pursuant to	or a consultation pursuant to	or a consultation pursuant to	or a consultation pursuant to
Article 21, the Board, upon request	Article 21, the Board, on its own	Article 21, the Board, upon request	Article 21, the Board, on its own
of the Commission, shall draw up	initiative or upon request of the	of the Commission, shall draw up	initiative or upon request of the
an opinion on the impact of a	Commission, shall draw up an	an opinion on the impact of a	Commission, shall draw up an
media market concentration on	opinion on the impact of a media	media market concentration on	opinion on the impact of a media
media pluralism and editorial	market concentration on media	media pluralism and editorial	market concentration on media
independence, where a media	pluralism and editorial	independence, where a media	pluralism and editorial
market concentration is likely to	independence, where, according to	market concentration is likely to	independence, where a media
affect the functioning of the	its own preliminary assessment or	affect the functioning of the	market concentration is likely to
internal market for media services.	the Commission's preliminary	internal market for media services.	affect the functioning of the
The Board shall base its opinion on	assessment, that-a media market	The Board shall base its opinion on	internal market for media services.
the elements set out in Article	concentration is likely to affect the	the elements set out in Article	The Board shall base its opinion on
21(2). The Board may bring media	functioning of the internal market	21(2). The Board may bring media	the elements set out in Article
market concentrations likely to	for media services. The Board shall	marketsuch concentrations-likely	21(2). The Board may bring media
affect the functioning of the	base its opinion on the elements set	to affect the functioning of the	marketsuch concentrations-likely to
internal market for media services	out in Article 21(2). The Board	internal market for media services	affect the functioning of the
to the attention of the Commission.	shall may bring such media market	to the attention of the Commission.	internal market for media services
	concentrations likely to affect the		to the attention of the Commission.
	functioning of the internal market		
	for media services to the attention		
	of the Commission.		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	2(2)			
253	2. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter.	2. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission <i>mayshall</i> issue its own opinion on the matter. <i>The</i> <i>competent national regulatory</i> <i>authority or body shall, within</i> <i>four weeks of receipt of such an</i> <i>opinion, provide the Commission</i> <i>with the reasons for which it did</i> <i>not fully or partially follow it.</i>	2. Following the opinion of the Board, and without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter.	2. <i>Following the opinion of the</i> <i>Board, and</i> Without prejudice to its powers under the Treaties, the Commission may issue its own opinion on the matter.
Article 2	2(3)			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
254	3. Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available.	 Opinions by the Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be made publicly available. 	3. Opinions by The Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be mademake their opinions publicly available.	 Opinions by The Board and, where applicable, by the Commission shall be mademake their opinions publicly available.
Article 2	2a			
254a		<u>Article 22a</u> <u>Delegated acts</u>		[No change]
Article 2	2a(1)			
254b				

15514/23		ATR/fco	501
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
		1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.		[No change]			
Article 22a(2)							
254c		2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in this Regulation shall be conferred on the Commission for an indeterminate period of time from [OP please insert the date = 6 months after the date of entry into force of this Regulation].		[No change]			





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article 22a(3)							
254d		3. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in this Regulation may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.		[No change]			
Article 22a(4)							



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
254e		4. <u>Before adopting a delegated</u> <u>act, the Commission shall consult</u> <u>experts designated by each</u> <u>Member State in accordance with</u> <u>the principles laid down in the</u> <u>Interinstitutional Agreement of 13</u> <u>April 2016 on Better Law-Making.</u>		[No change]		
Article 22a(5)						
254f		5. <u>As soon as it adopts a delegated</u> act, the Commission shall notify it <u>simultaneously to the European</u> <u>Parliament and to the Council.</u>		[No change]		

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 22a(6)				
254g		6. <u>A delegated act adopted</u> pursuant to this Regulation shall enter into force only if no objection has been expressed either by the European Parliament or the Council within a period of one month of notification of that act to the European Parliament and the Council or if, before the expiry of that period, the European Parliament and the Council have both informed the Council have both informed the Commission that they will not object. That period shall be extended by one month at the initiative of the European Parliament or of the		[No change]



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>Council.</u>		
Section	6			
			T	
	Section 6 Transparent and fair allocation of economic resources	Section 6 Transparent and fair allocation of economic resources	Section 6 Transparent and fair allocation of economic resources	Section 6 Transparent and fair allocation of economic resources
	anocation of coolionite resources			
255				
				Text Origin:
				Commission Proposal
Article 2	2			
Article 2			Т	1
256				
230	Article 23	Article 23	Article 23	Article 23

15514/23		ATR/fco	506
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Audience measurement	Audience measurement	Audience measurement	Audience measurement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	23(1)			
257	 Audience measurement systems and methodologies shall comply with principles of transparency, impartiality, inclusiveness, proportionality, non-discrimination 	1. Audience measurement systems and methodologies shall comply with principles of transparency, impartiality, inclusiveness, proportionality, non-	 Providers of audience measurement systems and methodologies shall ensure that their systems and methodologies comply with the principles of 	1. <u>Providers of</u> audience measurement systems <u>shall ensur</u> <u>that their systems and the</u> <u>methodology used by their system</u> and methodologies shall-comply
	and verifiability.	discrimination, <u>comparability</u> and verifiability. <u>Audience</u> <u>measurement shall be conducted</u> <u>in accordance with self-regulatory</u>	transparency, impartiality, inclusiveness, proportionality, non- discrimination and verifiability.	with <u>the</u> principles of transparency impartiality, inclusiveness, proportionality, non- discrimination, <u>comparability</u> and



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	mechanisms jointly agreed and widely accepted within the media industry.		verifiability.
3(2)			
2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers and advertisers, as well as to third parties authorised by media service providers and advertisers, accurate, detailed, comprehensive,	2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' <i>businesstrade</i> secrets <i>as defined in</i> <i>Article 2, point (1), of Directive</i> <i>(EU) 2016/943</i> , providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers, and advertisers, as well as to third parties authorised by media service	 2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems developed outside relevant self-regulatory organisations or whose methodologies do not comply with standards and best practices agreed by the industry shall provide, without undue delay and 	2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' <i>business-trade</i> secrets, <i>as defined</i> <i>in Article 2, point (1), of Directive</i> <i>(EU) 2016/943,</i> providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of <i>costscharge</i> , to media service providers- <i>and advertisers, as well</i> <i>as, to advertisers and</i> to third
	3(2) 2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers and advertisers, as well as to third parties authorised by media service providers and advertisers, accurate,	mechanisms jointly agreed and widely accepted within the media industry.3(2)2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers and advertisers, as well as to third parties authorised by media service providers and advertisers, accurate,2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business trade secrets as defined in Article 2, point (1), of Directive (EU) 2016/943, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without advertisers, as well as to third parties authorised by media service providers and advertisers, as well as to third	Image: Note of the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers and advertisers, as well as to third2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers and advertisers, as well as to third advertisers, and advertisers, accurate,2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers and advertisers, and advertisers, accurate,2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers and advertisers, and advertisers, accurate,2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers and advertisers, and advertisers, accurate,2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers and advertisers, as well as to third2. Without prejudice to the protection of undertakings' business secrets, providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers, and advertisers, as well as to third2. Without protection of undertakings' protective protective systems shall provide, without undue delay and free of costs, to media service providers, and advertisers, as well as to third2. With standards and best practices agreed by the industry shall



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
information on the methodology used by their audience measurement systems. This provision shall not affect the Union's data protection and privacy rules.	detailed, comprehensive, intelligible and up-to-date information on the methodology used by their audience measurement systems. <i>Providers of</i> <i>proprietary audience</i> <i>measurement systems shall</i> <i>provide free of charge to each</i> <i>media service provider the</i> <i>audience measurements relating</i> <i>to its content and services. An</i> <i>independent body shall audit once</i> <i>a year the methodology used by</i> <i>proprietary audience</i> <i>measurement systems and the</i> <i>application of that methodology.</i> This provision shall not affect the Union's data protection and privacy rules.	providers and advertisers, as well as to third parties authorised by media service providers and advertisers, accurate, detailed, comprehensive, intelligible and up- to-date information on the methodology used by their audience measurement systems. This provision shall not affect the Union's data protection and privacy rules.	providers and advertisers, accurate, detailed, comprehensive, intelligible and up-to-date information on the methodology used by their audience measurement systems. Providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall ensure that the methodology used by their systems and the way in which it is applied is independently audited once a year. Providers of proprietary audience measurement systems shall provide, upon request, to each media service provider information on audience measurement results, including non aggregated data, which relate to its media content and media

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<u>services.</u>
			The obligations laid down in this
			paragraph <u>This provision</u> shall not affect the Union's data
			protection and privacy rules.
			[Comment: a recital
			to explain the concerns that the
			results need to be
			useful among the media services
			providers related to
			<pre>the 2nd paragraph; "high quality"];</pre>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 2	Article 23(2a)					
258a		2a. Audience measurement data provided to media service providers shall be as granular as the information provided by industry self-regulatory mechanisms, including non- aggregated data.				
Article 2	3(3)	·				
259	3. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall encourage the drawing up of codes of conduct by providers of audience measurement	 National regulatory authorities or bodies shall encourage the drawing up of codes of conduct by Providers of audience 	3. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall encourage the drawing up of codes of conduct by providers of audience measurement	3. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall encourage the drawing up of providers of <u>audience measurement systems to</u>		



Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
systems, together with media	measurement systems, together	systems, together with media	draw up, together with media
service providers, their	with media service providers, their	service providers, providers of	<u>service providers, providers of</u>
representative organisations and	representative organisations,	online platforms, their respective	online platforms, their
any other interested parties, that are	online platforms and any other	representative organisations andor	representative organisations and
intended to contribute to	interested parties, shall draw up	any other interested parties, that	any other interested parties, codes
compliance with the principles	codes of conduct, with the support	are or encourage adherence with	of conduct by or shall encourage
referred to in paragraph 1,	of national regulatory authorities	existing codes of conduct by	providers of audience measurement
including by promoting	or bodies, that are intended to	these entities. Such codes of	systems , <i>together with</i> <u>to comply</u>
independent and transparent audits.	contribute to compliance with the	conduct shall be intended to	with codes of conduct jointly
	principles referred to in paragraph	contribute to compliance with the	agreed and widely accepted by
	1, including by promoting	principles referred to in paragraph	media service providers, their
	independent and transparent audits.	1, including by promoting	representative organisations and
	Such codes of conduct shall	independent and transparent audits.	any other interested parties, that
	provide for the regular,		are intended to contribute to .
	transparent and independent		
	monitoring and evaluation of the		
	achievement of compliance with		Codes of conduct as referred to in
	the principles referred to in		the first subparagraph of this
	paragraph 1. When drawing up		paragraph shall be intended to
	<u>codes of conduct, special</u>		promote the regular, independent
	<u>consideration shall be given to</u>		and transparent monitoring of

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		small media in order to ensure that their audiences are properly measured.		<pre>effective achievement of their objectives and compliance with the principles referred to in paragraph 1, including by promoting through independent and transparent audits. (redrafting to be checked)</pre>
Article 2	3(4)			
260	4. The Commission, assisted by the Board, may issue guidelines on the practical application of paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article.	 4. The Commission, assisted by the Board, <i>mayshall</i> issue guidelines on the practical application of paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, <i>taking into account codes of</i> 	4. The Commission, assisted by the Board, may issue guidelines on the practical application of paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, considering, where appropriate, the codes of	4. The Commission, assisted by the Board, may issue guidelines on the practical application of paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, <i>taking into</i> <i>account, where appropriate, the</i>



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>conduct as referred to in</u> <u>paragraph 3 of this Article.</u>	conduct referred to in paragraph 3 -of this Article .	<u>codes of conduct_referred to in</u> paragraph 3 -of this Article .
Article 2	3(5)		1	
261	5. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment of audience measurement systems through a regular dialogue between representatives of the national regulatory authorities or bodies, representatives of providers of audience measurement systems and other interested parties.	5. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment of audience measurement systems through a regular dialogue between representatives of the national regulatory authorities or bodies, representatives of providers of audience measurement systems, <u>media service providers</u> and other interested parties.	5. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment of audience measurement systems through a regular dialogue between representatives of the national regulatory authorities or bodies, representatives of providers of audience measurement systems, media service providers, providers of online platforms and other interested parties.	5. The Board shall foster the exchange of best practices related to the deployment of audience measurement systems through a regular dialogue between representatives of the national regulatory authorities or bodies, representatives of providers of audience measurement systems, <i>representatives of media service</i> <i>providers, representatives of</i> <i>providers, representatives of</i> <i>providers of online platforms,</i> and other interested parties.

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	3(5a)			
261a		5a. The obligations set out in this Article are without prejudice to the right of audiences to the protection of personal data concerning them as provided for in Article 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and Regulation (EU) 2016/679.		
Article 2	4			
262				

15514/23		ATR/fco	515
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 24	Article 24	Article 24	Article 24
	Allocation of state advertising	Allocation of <u>public funds for</u> state advertisin <u>g</u> and purchases	Allocation of public funds for state advertising and purchases	Allocation of <u>public funds for</u> state advertising <u>and supply or service</u> <u>contracts</u>
Article 2	4(1)			
263	1. Public funds or any other consideration or advantage granted by public authorities to media service providers for the purposes of advertising shall be awarded according to transparent, objective, proportionate and non- discriminatory criteria and through open, proportionate and non- discriminatory procedures. This	 Public funds or any other consideration or advantage grantedallocated by public authorities to media service providers, providers of online platforms and providers of online search engines for the purposes of advertising and purchases shall be awarded according to transparent, objective, proportionate and non- 	 Public funds or any other consideration or advantage grantedmade available, directly or indirectly, by public authorities or entities to media service providers for the purposes of state advertising shall be awarded according to transparent, objective, proportionate and non- discriminatory criteria and through 	 Public funds or any other consideration or advantage <i>granted</i> <i>made available, directly or</i> <i>indirectly,</i> by public authorities <i>or</i> <i>entities</i> to media service providers <i>and providers of online platforms</i> for the purposes of <i>state</i> advertising <i>and supply or service</i> <i>contracts with them</i> shall be awarded according to transparent,



 Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article shall not affect public procurement rules.	discriminatory criteria and through open, proportionate and non- discriminatory procedures. <u>Such</u> <u>public funding allocated for the</u> <u>purposes of advertising to a</u> <u>singular media service provider,</u> <u>including to an online platform</u> <u>provider or to an online search</u> <u>engine provider, shall not exceed</u> <u>15 % of the total budget allocated</u> <u>by the public authority to the</u> <u>totality of media service providers</u> <u>operating at national level.</u> This Article shall not affect public procurement <u>rules or the</u> <u>application of State aid</u> rules.	open, proportionate and non- discriminatory procedures. The award of supply or service contracts by public authorities or entities to media service providers shall be based on transparent, open, proportionate and non-discriminatory procedures. This Article shall not affect the awarding of public contracts and concession contracts under Union public procurement rules or the application of Union state aid rules.	objective, proportionate and non- discriminatory criteria <u>made</u> <u>publicly available in advance by</u> <u>electronic and user-friendly</u> <u>means</u> and through open, proportionate and non- discriminatory procedures. <u>Member States shall seek to</u> <u>ensure that the overall yearly</u> <u>public expenditure allocated for</u> <u>the purposes of state advertising is</u> <u>distributed to a wide plurality of</u> <u>media service providers</u> <u>represented on the market, taking</u> <u>into account the national and</u> <u>local specificities of the respective</u> <u>media markets.</u>

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			<u>This Article shall not affect the</u> awarding of public contracts and concession contracts under Union public procurement rules or the application of Union state aid rules. This Article shall not affect public procurement rules.
			[Comment: In a Recital: Distribution of funding for the purposes of state advertising should not create an unjustified advantage for the beneficiaries; Definition on Row 92b in line with the



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				text]
Article 2	4(1a)			
263a		Ia. Public authorities shallensure that the criteria andprocedures used to determine theallocation of public funds for thepurposes of State advertising andpurchases to media serviceproviders, online platforms andonline search engines inaccordance with paragraph 1 aremade available to the public inadvance by electronic and user-friendly means. The nationalregulatory authorities or bodiesshall consult the Board and		[No change]



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		national media stakeholders on the development of the methodology for such criteria and procedures.		
Article 2	24(2)			
	2. Public authorities, including national, federal or regional governments, regulatory authorities	 Public authorities, including <u>at</u> <u>Union</u>, national, federal, <u>regional</u>, or local level-or regional 	 Public authorities, including national, federal or regional governments, regulatory authorities 	 Public authorities, including national, federal or regional governments, regulatory
264	or bodies, as well as state-owned enterprises or other state-controlled entities at the national or regional level, or local governments of	<i>governments</i> , <i>national</i> regulatory authorities or bodies, as well as state-owned enterprises or other state-controlled entities at the	or bodies, as well as state-owned enterprises or other state controlled or entities at the national or regional level, or local	authorities or bodies, as well as state-owned enterprises or other state-controlled <u>or</u> entities at the national or regional level, shall
	territorial entities of more than 1 million inhabitants, shall make publicly available accurate, comprehensive, intelligible,	<u>Union</u> national-or, regional-level, or local governments of territorial entities of more than 1 million inhabitants, shall make publicly	governments of territorial entities of more than 1 million inhabitants, shall make publicly available accurate, comprehensive,	make publicly available by electronic and user-friendly means, or local governments of territorial entities of more than 1

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	detailed and yearly information about their advertising expenditure allocated to media service providers, which shall include at least the following details:	available level, shall make publicly available by electronic and user- friendly means accurate, comprehensive, intelligible, detailed and yearly information about their advertising expenditure and purchase expenditures allocated to media service providers, providers of online platforms and providers of online search engines, which shall include at least the following details:	intelligible, detailed and yearly information about their state advertising expenditure allocated to media service providers, which shall include at least the following details:	<i>million inhabitants, shall make</i> <i>publicly available</i> -accurate, comprehensive, intelligible, detailed and yearly information about their <i>state</i> advertising expenditure- <i>allocated to media</i> <i>service providers</i> , which shall include at least the following details:
Article 2	4(2), point (a)			
265	(a) the legal names of media service providers from which	(a) the legal names of media service providers, <i>providers of</i>	(a) the legal names of media service providers from which	(a) <u>Council proposal to be</u> <u>discussed:</u>



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	advertising services were purchased;	online platforms or providers of online search engines from which advertising services and purchases were obtained were purchased;	advertising services were purchased;	the legal names of media service providers <i>or providers of online</i> <i>platforms</i> from which <i>advertising</i> services were purchased ; <i>[as well</i> <i>as, where applicable of the</i> <i>business group they are part of</i>]
Article 2	4(2), point (aa)			
265a		(aa) a short reasoning of the criteria and procedures applied for the allocation of public funds for the purposes of State advertising and purchases to media service providers, providers of online platforms or providers of		[No change]

ATR/fco LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>online search engines;</u>		
Article 24	4(2), point (b)			
266	(b) the total annual amount spent as well as the amounts spent per media service provider.	(b) the total annual amount spent as well as the amounts spent per media service provider., provider of online platform or provider of online search engine;	(b) the total annual amount spent as well as the amounts spent per media service provider.	(b) the total annual amount spent as well as the amounts spent per media service provider <u>or provider</u> <u>of online platform</u> .
Article 24	4(2), point (ba)			
266a		(ba) state advertising and state financial support allocated to media service providers, providers		[No change]

15514/23		ATR/fco	523
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		of online platforms or providers of online search engines;		
Article 2	4(2), point (bb)			
266b		(bb) details of revenue from contracts with State bodies received by companies that belong to the same business grouping as the media service provider.		(bb) Council proposal to redraft and to move this point to paragraph 24.2(a) - to be discussed
Article 2	4(1a), second subparagraph			
266c			Member States may exempt subnational governments of	Council proposal to be discussed:





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			territorial entities of less than 100,000 inhabitants, and entities controlled, directly or indirectly, by such subnational governments, from the obligations under this paragraph.	<u>Member States may [in duly</u> <u>justified cases] exempt</u> <u>subnational governments of</u> <u>territorial entities of less than</u> 100,000 inhabitants, and entities controlled, directly or indirectly, by such subnational governments, from the obligations under this paragraph.
Article 2	24(3)			
267	3. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall monitor the allocation of state advertising in media markets. In order to assess	3. National regulatory authorities or bodies shall monitor the allocation of state <i>advertisingfunding</i> in media	3. National regulatory authorities or bodies or other competent independent authorities or bodies in the Member States shall	 National regulatory authorities or bodies <u>or other competent</u> <u>independent authorities or bodies</u> <u>in the Member States</u> shall monitor

Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
the accuracy of the information on	markets and to providers of online	monitor the allocation of state	and report annually on the
state advertising made available	platforms and providers of online	advertising in media markets- and,	allocation of state advertising into
pursuant to paragraph 2, national	search engines. In order to assess	in order to assess the	media markets. service providers
regulatory authorities or bodies	the accuracy of the information on	accuracycompleteness of the	and to providers of online
may request from the entities	state advertising expenditures made	information on state advertising	platforms based on the details set
referred to in paragraph 2 further	available pursuant to paragraph 2,	made available pursuant to	out in paragraph 2. Annual
information, including information	national regulatory authorities or	paragraph 2, national regulatory	reports shall be made publicly
on the application of criteria	bodies may request from the	authorities or bodies may request	available in an easily accessible
referred to in paragraph 1.	entities referred to in paragraph 2	from the may request from those	manner. In order to assess the
	further information, including	public authorities or entities	accuracy completeness of the
	more detailed information on the	referred to inthat fall under	information <i>on state advertising</i> on
	application of <u>the</u> criteria <u>and</u>	paragraph 2 further information,	s tate advertising made available
	procedures referred to in paragraph	including information on the	pursuant to paragraph 2, national
	1.	application of criteria referred to in	regulatory authorities or bodies or
		paragraph 1. In case the	other competent independent
		monitoring and assessment are	authorities or bodies in the
		carried out by other competent	Member States may request from
		independent authorities or	the those public authorities or
		bodies, they shall keep the	entities referred to in that fall
		national regulatory authorities	under paragraph 2 further
		or bodies duly informed.	information, including <i>more</i>

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				<i>detailed</i> _information on the application of <i>the</i> _criteria <i>and</i> <i>procedures</i> _referred to in paragraph 1. <i>In case the monitoring</i> , <i>assessment and reporting are</i> <i>carried out by other competent</i> <i>independent authorities or bodies</i> , <i>they shall keep the national</i> <i>regulatory authorities or bodies</i> <i>duly informed</i> .
Article 2	4(3a)			
267a		<u>3a.</u> <u>National regulatory</u> <u>authorities or bodies monitoring</u> <u>the allocation of State expenditure</u> <u>shall report annually in a detailed</u> <u>and intelligible manner on the</u>		[No change]

Com	mission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		allocation of State expenditure to media service providers, providers of online platforms and providers of online search engine from the details set out to paragraph 2. Annual reports shall be made publicly available in an easily accessible manner.		
Article 24(3b)				
267b		<u>3b.</u> <u>The allocation of State</u> <u>expenditure to media service</u> <u>providers, providers of online</u> <u>platforms and providers of online</u> <u>search engines for the purposes of</u> <u>emergency messages by public</u> <u>authorities shall become subject to</u>		[No change]

ATR/fco LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		the requirements set out in paragraphs 2 and 3 once the emergency situation has ended. Such allocations shall be subject to the requirements set out in paragraph 1.		
Article 2	4(4)			
268	4. The allocation of state resources to media service providers for the purpose of purchasing goods or services from them other than state advertising shall be subject to the requirements set out in paragraph 1. This Article shall not affect the application of the State aid rules.	4. The allocation of state resources to media service providers for the purpose of purchasing goods or services from them other than state advertising shall be subject to the requirements set out in paragraph 1. This Article shall not affect the application of the State aid rules.	deleted	4. The allocation of state resources to media service providers for the purpose of purchasing goods or services from them other than state advertising shall be subject to the requirements set out in paragraph 1. This Article shall not affect the application of the State aid rules. <u>deleted</u>



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Chapter	r IV – Final Provisions			
	Chapter IV – Final Provisions			
3 269				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 25	.5			
270				
₆ 270	Article 25	Article 25	Article 25	Article 25

15514/23		ATR/fco	530
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Monitoring exercise	Monitoring exercise	Monitoring exercise	Monitoring exercise
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	5(1)			
	1. The Commission shall ensure	1. The Commission, <i>in</i>	1. The Commission shall ensure	
	an independent monitoring of the	<u>consultation with the Board,</u> shall	an independent monitoring of the	
0.51	internal market for media services,	ensure an independent <u>and</u>	internal market for media services,	
271	including risks to and progress in its functioning and resilience. The	continuous monitoring of the internal market for media services,	including risks to and progress in its functioning-and resilience. The	
	findings of the monitoring exercise	includingconcerning its	findings of the monitoring exercise	
	shall be subject to consultation	<i>functioning and resilience</i> , risks to	shall be subject to consultation	
	with the Board.	<u>it and its and</u> progress in its	with the Board. They shall be	
		functioning and resilience. The	presented and discussed with the	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		findings of the monitoring exercise shall be subject to consultation with the Boardthe area of media freedom and media pluralism. The Commission may involve European bodies with relevant expertise in media freedom and media pluralism in that monitoring exercise.	contact committee established by Article 29 of Directive 2010/13/EU.	
Article 2	5(2)			
272	2. The Commission shall define key performance indicators to be used for the monitoring referred in paragraph 1, in consultation with the Board.	2. The Commission shall define key performance indicators to be used for the monitoring referred in paragraph 1, in consultation with the Board.	2. The Commission shall define key performance indicators, methodological safeguards to protect the objectivity, and selection criteria of the researchers- to be used for the	



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
			monitoring referred in paragraph 1, in consultation with the Board.	
Article 2	25(2a)	·	·	
272a		2a. In the monitoring exercise referred to in paragraph 1, the Commission shall take into account the Board's reports, assessments and recommendations, input from civil society, the results from the Media Pluralism Monitor and the findings of its annual rule of law reports.		

533

EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article 2	Article 25(3)						
273	3. The monitoring exercise shall include:	3. The monitoring exercise shall <u>,</u> in particular-include:	3. The monitoring exercise shall include:				
Article 2	5(3), point (a)	1					
274	(a) a detailed analysis of the resilience of media markets of all Member States, including as regards the level of media concentration and risks of foreign information manipulation and interference;	 (a) <i>take into account</i> a detailed analysis of the resilience of media markets of all Member States, including <i>as regards an overview of</i> the level of media concentration and risks <i>to media pluralism and the editorial independence of media service providers</i>, including of foreign information 	(a) a detailed analysis of the resilience of media markets of all Member States, including as regards the level of media concentration and risks of foreign information manipulation and interference;				



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		manipulation and interference;		
Article 2	5(3), point (b)			
275	(b) an overview and forward- looking assessment of the resilience of the internal market for media services as a whole;	 (b) <i>include</i> an overview and forward-looking assessment of the resilience of the internal market for media services as a whole, <i>including as regards the degree of concentration of the market</i>; 	(b) an overview and forward- looking assessment of the resiliencefunctioning of the internal market for media services as a whole, including as regards the impact of online platforms;	
Article 2	5(3), point (ba)			
275a		<u>(ba)</u> include a continuous and detailed assessment of the		

15514/23		ATR/fco
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
		<u>implementation of Articles 3, 4</u> and 7;		
Article 2	25(3), point (c)			
276	(c) an overview of measures taken by media service providers with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions.	(c) <i>include</i> an overview of measures taken by media service providers with a view to guaranteeing the independence of <i>individual</i> editorial decisions.;	(c) an overview of measures taken by media service providers with a view to guaranteeing the independence of individual editorial decisions and an analysis of the expected reduction in risks for the functioning of the internal market for media services .	
Article 2	25(3), point (ca)			

536 EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
276a		(ca) include a detailed assessment of the allocation of public funds for State advertising and purchases;		
Article 2	5(3), point (cb)			
276b		(cb) include an overview of national measures affecting media pluralism and the editorial independence of media service providers, taking into account their political independence and accessibility;		





	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement		
Article 2	Article 25(3), point (cc)					
276c		(cc) include an overview of the implementation and impact of the functionality of very large online platforms for recognised media service providers as referred to in Article 17;				
Article 2	Article 25(3), point (cd)					
276d		(cd) assess the independence of the national regulatory authorities or bodies.				

15514/23		ATR/fco	538
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article 2	Article 25(3a)						
276e		3a. <u>The Commission shall</u> establish an easy-to-use and publicly available alert mechanism to detect risks concerning the application of this <u>Regulation.</u>					
Article 2	Article 25(4)						
277	4. The monitoring shall be carried out annually, and its results shall be made publicly available.	4. The monitoring shall be carried out annually , <i>and its results</i>. <i>The</i> <i>results of the monitoring shall be</i> <i>presented annually to the</i> <i>European Parliament and</i> shall be	4. The monitoring shall be carried out annually, and <i>itsthe</i> results thereof, including the methodology and data, shall be made publicly available.				



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
			made publicly available.			
	Article 20	6				
G	278	Article 26 Evaluation and reporting	Article 26 Evaluation and reporting	Article 26 Evaluation and reporting	Article 26 Evaluation and reporting Text Origin: Commission Proposal	
	Article 26(1)					
	279	1. By [four years after the entry	1. By [fourtwo years after the	1. By [four years after the entry		



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	into force of this Regulation] at the latest, and every four years thereafter, the Commission shall evaluate this Regulation and report to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	entry into force of this Regulation] <i>at the latest,</i> and every <i>fourtwo</i> years thereafter, the Commission shall evaluate <i>the implementation</i> <i>of</i> this Regulation and report to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee, <i>including on</i> <i>the findings and follow-up</i> <i>measures to be taken</i> .	into force of this Regulation]-at the latest, and every four years thereafter, the Commission shall evaluate this Regulation and report to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	
Arti	icle 26(2)			
G 28	2. For the purposes of paragraph 1 and upon its request, Member States and the Board shall send relevant information to the Commission.	2. For the purposes of paragraph 1 and upon its request, Member States and the Board shall send relevant information to the Commission.	2. For the purposes of paragraph 1 and upon its request, Member States and the Board shall send relevant information to the Commission.	2. For the purposes of paragraph 1 and upon its request, Member States and the Board shall send relevant information to the Commission.



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	26(3)			
g 281	3. In carrying out the evaluations referred to in paragraph 1, the Commission shall take into account:	3. In carrying out the evaluations referred to in paragraph 1, the Commission shall take into account:	3. In carrying out the evaluations referred to in paragraph 1, the Commission shall take into account:	 3. In carrying out the evaluations referred to in paragraph 1, the Commission shall take into account: Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement	
Article 2	.6(3), point (a)		1		
282	(a) the positions and findings of the European Parliament, the Council and other relevant bodies or sources;	(a) the positions and findings of the European Parliament, the Council and other relevant bodies or sources;	(a) the positions and findings of the European Parliament, the Council and other relevant bodies or sources;	 (a) the positions and findings of the European Parliament, the Council and other relevant bodies or sources; Text Origin: Commission Proposal 	
Article 2	Article 26(3), point (b)				
283	(b) outcomes of the relevant discussions carried out in relevant	(b) outcomes of the relevant discussions carried out in relevant	(b) outcomes of the relevant discussions carried out in relevant	(b) outcomes of the relevant discussions carried out in relevant	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	fora;	fora;	fora;	fora; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	6(3), point (c)			
g 284	(c) relevant documents issued by the Board;	(c) relevant documents issued by the Board;	(c) relevant documents issued by the Board;	 (c) relevant documents issued by the Board; Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
Article 2	26(3), point (d)			
	(d) findings of the monitoring exercise referred to in Article 25.	(d) findings of the monitoring exercise referred to in Article 25.	(d) findings of the monitoring exercise referred to in Article 25.	(d) findings of the monitoring exercise referred to in Article 25.
285				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	27			
286	Article 27	Article 27	Article 27	Article 27
	Amendments to Directive 2010/13/EU	Amendments to Directive 2010/13/EU	Amendments to Directive 2010/13/EU	Amendments to Directive 2010/13/EU

			<u> </u>
15514/23		ATR/fco	545
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	27(1)			
G 287	 Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU is deleted. 	1. Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU is deleted.	1. Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU is deleted.	 Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU is deleted.
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	.7(2)	1	1	



		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G	288	2. References to Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be read as references to Article 12 of this Regulation.	2. References to Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be read as references to Article 12 of this Regulation.	2. References to Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be read as references to Article 12 of this Regulation.	2. References to Article 30b of Directive 2010/13/EU shall be read as references to Article 12 of this Regulation. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	7(3)			
G	289	 References in Union law to the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) shall be read as references to the European Board for Media 	 References in Union law to the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) shall be read as references to the European Board for Media 	 References in Union law to the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) shall be read as references to the European Board for Media 	 References in Union law to the European Regulators Group for Audiovisual Media Services (ERGA) shall be read as references to the European Board for Media



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Services (the Board).	Services (the Board).	Services (the Board).	Services (the Board). Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 2	28			
s 290	Article 28 Entry into force and application	Article 28 Entry into force and application	Article 28 Entry into force and application	Article 28 Entry into force and application Text Origin: Commission Proposal

15514/23		ATR/fco	548
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

		Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	Article 28	8(1)	, 	, 	
G	291	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	 This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 28	8(2), first subparagraph	·	·	
	292	2. This Regulation shall apply from [6 months after the entry into	2. This Regulation shall apply from [6 months after the entry into	 This Regulation shall apply from [618 months after the entry 	

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
	force].	force].	into force]. However, Articles 7 to 12 and 27 shall apply from [12 months after the entry into force] and Article 19 shall apply from [48 months after the entry into force].	
Article 2	8(2), second subparagraph			
293	However, Articles 7 to 12 and 27 shall apply from [3 months after the entry into force] and Article 19(2) shall apply from [48 months after the entry into force].	However, Articles 7 to 12 and 27 shall apply from [3 months after the entry into force] and Article $\frac{19(2)19}{2}$ shall apply from [4824 months after the entry into force].	deleted	

ATR/fco LIMITE



	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement			
Article 2	Article 28(3)						
c 294	<i>3</i> . This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	3. This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	3. This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States .	 3. This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States. Text Origin: Commission Proposal 			
Formula							
295	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,			

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Formula				
296	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament	For the European Parliament Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Formula				
297	The President	The President	The President	The President
5514/23				TR/fco

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Formula	l		I	I
	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council
298				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Formula				

15514/23		ATR/fco	553
ANNEX	TREE.1.B	LIMITE	EN

	Commission Proposal	EP Mandate	Council Mandate	Draft Agreement
G 299	The President	The President	The President	The President Text Origin: Commission Proposal